

8.	Consultat	tion	8-4
8	.1 Con	sultation Approach and Activities	8-4
8	.2 Pub	lic Consultation	8-4
	8.2.1	Project Website Updates	8-5
	8.2.1.1	March 2012 Website Update	8-5
	8.2.1.2	June 2012 Website Update	8-5
	8.2.1.3	June 2013 Website Update	8-5
	8.2.1.4	December 2013 Website Update	8-6
	8.2.1.5	January 2014 Website Update	8-6
	8.2.2	Stakeholder Working Session (March 2012)	8-6
	8.2.2.1	Summary of Stakeholder Working Session Discussion	8-7
	8.2.3	Public Update Meeting (June 2012)	8-7
	8.2.3.1	Summary of Public Update Meeting Discussion	8-8
	8.2.4	Public Open Houses (June 2013)	8-8
	8.2.4.1	Summary of Public Comments Received (June 2013 POH)	8-10
	8.2.5	Notice of Commencement	8-34
	8.2.6	Public Open Houses (January/February 2014)	8-35
	8.2.6.1	Summary of Public Comments Received (January/February POH)	8-37
8	.3 Abo	riginal Communities	8-51
	8.3.1	Notifications and Correspondence – Aboriginal Peoples	8-52
	8.3.2	Summary of Aboriginal Peoples Comments Received	8-53
8	.4 Elec	ted Officials	8-55
	8.4.1	Meetings with Elected Officials	8-55
	8.4.1.1	Jonah Schein, MPP and Staff from Andrew Cash, MP office	8-55
	8.4.1.2	City of Toronto Councillor Mike Layton	8-55
	8.4.2	Letters from Elected Officials	8-56
	8.4.2.1	Mike Sullivan, MP	8-56
	8.4.2.1	Laura Albanese, MPP	8-56
	8.4.2.2	Jonah Schein, MPP	8-56
		City of Taranta Councillar, Claria Lindsov Luby	0 57







8.5	Revi	iew Agency Consultation	8-58
8.5	5.1	Federal	8-58
	8.5.1.1	Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada	8-58
	8.5.1.2	2 Transport Canada	8-59
	8.5.1.3	8 Nav Canada and Greater Toronto Airports Authority	8-59
8.5	5.2	Provincial	8-60
	8.5.2.1	Ministry of the Environment	8-60
	8.5.2.2	2 Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs (MAA)	8-61
	8.5.2.3	8 Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport	8-62
	8.5.2.4	Ministry of Natural Resources	8-63
	8.5.2.5	5 Ministry of Transportation	8-63
	8.5.2.6	6 Hydro One Networks Inc	8-64
8.5	5.3	Municipal	8-64
	8.5.3.1	City of Toronto	8-64
	8.5.3.2	2 Toronto Public Health	8-66
	8.5.3.3	3 Toronto and Region Conservation Authority	8-66
	8.5.3.4	Fort York National Historic Site	8-67
	8.5.3.5	5 Toronto Transit Commission	8-67
	8.5.3.6	5 Toronto Hydro	8-68
8.5	5.4	Summary of Review Agency Comments Received	8-68
8.6	Othe	er Stakeholders	8-90
8.6	5.1	Canadian National Railway	8-90
8.6	5.2	Canadian Pacific Railway	8-90
8.6	5.3	Canada Lands Company/CN Tower	8-91
8.6	5.4	Oxford Properties	8-91
8.6	5.5	Weston Golf Club	8-91
8.6	5.6	Utilities	8-91





#### List of Tables





# 8. Consultation

In accordance with Section 8 of *O. Reg. 231/08,* this chapter summarizes how Metrolinx consulted with the public, property owners, review agencies, aboriginal peoples and other stakeholders during the UP Express Electrification EA, including a summary of feedback and comments received and how they were considered.

# 8.1 Consultation Approach and Activities

Metrolinx carried out numerous consultation activities during the Pre-Planning Phase (i.e., Pre-Notice of Commencement), as well as during the 120-day Transit Project Assessment Process phase (i.e., post Notice of Commencement) of the UP Express Electrification project.

The consultation process consisted of the following activities to engage a diverse set of participants, provide information and updates on the project, and to allow opportunities for interested persons (including members of the public, aboriginal peoples, and review agencies) to provide comments and feedback throughout the process.

- Project Web Site
- Electrification e-mail address
- Stakeholder Working Session
- Public Open Houses
- Public Update Meeting
- Newspaper Advertisements
- Meetings with Review Agencies
- Meetings with Elected Officials
- Meetings with Other Stakeholders
- Meetings with Property Owners

# 8.2 Public Consultation

As part of engaging the public in the EA process, Metrolinx carried out the following activities to solicit comments and feedback on the project:

- Project Web Site Updates
- Stakeholder Working Session
- Public Update Meeting
- Public Open Houses
- Notifications/Newspaper Advertisements





#### 8.2.1 Project Website Updates

#### 8.2.1.1 March 2012 Website Update

The Metrolinx project website (<u>http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/en/default.aspx</u>) was updated in March 2012 to provide the following information:

- Status update on the early work on the first phase of the electrification project including: i) performance specifications for electrification, ii) advancing the electrification design of the Kitchener and Lakeshore rail corridors, and iii) carrying out an EA for electrification of the UP Express service.
- Notification that an initial Stakeholder Working session would be held on March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2012
- Information that the EA process for the UP Express Electrification undertaking includes a broader consultation program to engage members of the public (including property owners), review agencies, and Aboriginal peoples in the project.

#### 8.2.1.2 June 2012 Website Update

The Metrolinx project website was updated again in June 2012 to provide the following information and updates on key project milestones including:

- Development of Performance Specifications for Electrification
- Summary of Stakeholder Working Session #1
- Commenced electrification design for the UP Express
- Initiated Environmental Baseline Conditions data collection
- Timing of upcoming June 2012 Public Update Meeting

#### 8.2.1.3 June 2013 Website Update

The Metrolinx project website was updated in June 2013 to provide the following information and updates:

- Held Public Open Houses in June 2013 to share project update, provide an overview of conceptual design, EA studies, and next steps;
- Posting of display boards from June 2013 Public Open Houses for information;
- Identified how comments/feedback from members of the public and interested stakeholders can be shared with the project team; and
- Posting of the latest Electrification Performance Specifications.





#### 8.2.1.4 December 2013 Website Update

The Metrolinx project website (<u>http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/en/default.aspx</u>) was updated in December 2013 to provide the following information:

- Notification that the Notice of Commencement had been issued to start the 120 day TPAP phase of the UP Express Electrification project
- The posting also included information regarding how comments/feedback could be submitted to the project team
- The June 2013 Public Open House Summary Report was made available, including responses to comments received.

#### 8.2.1.5 January 2014 Website Update

The Metrolinx project website (<u>http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/en/default.aspx</u>) was updated in January 2014 to provide the following information:

• Advertisement of the upcoming January / February 2014 Public Open House sessions including the dates, times, and locations, as well as how comments could be submitted to the project team

### 8.2.2 Stakeholder Working Session (March 2012)

With the objective of engaging interested stakeholders in a discussion about key project topics via a workshop style meeting format, a Stakeholder Working Session was held in March 2012. The initial invitation list for the meeting was based on previous Metrolinx projects, including the Georgetown South Service Expansion EA and the 2010 *GO Transit Electrification Study* as a starting point. In addition, resident groups, local interest groups, local politicians and any interested stakeholders were invited to attend the session.

The meeting was held as a workshop format, with an opening presentation from the project team followed by small-table discussion on specific focus questions, followed by a full-room plenary to discuss the small-table results. The session was held on Thursday, March 8th, 2012 from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. at the Metro Central YMCA, 20 Grosvenor Street, Toronto.

Invitations were sent via email (see **Appendix J-1** for a copy of the email invitation). A total of 15 stakeholder participants attended the session, representing a range of organizations and interests, including: business, energy, public safety and security, environment, transit and urban planning.





The primary purpose of this stakeholder session was to introduce the project, and provide an update on electrification since the completion of the 2010 *GO Transit Electrification Study*. The project team's presentation summarized background information on the project, status of work completed to date, a summary of the technical/engineering design components, as well as an overview of the Environmental Assessment process being followed, and next steps.

#### 8.2.2.1 Summary of Stakeholder Working Session Discussion

As mentioned, the group discussion followed the presentation was dedicated to hearing from participants, giving them an opportunity to ask questions and provide feedback on the project.

Participants inquired about the economics and cost of electricity compared to diesel. It was also clarified that a detailed study on the economic benefits of electrification is not part of the scope of the UP Express Electrification EA, as Metrolinx has already established and documented the need/justification for electrification in the previously completed 2010 *GO Transit Electrification Study*.

Participants also asked questions about the EA process, specifically related to whether or not diesel will be an alternative considered in the EA. The project team responded by noting that the scope of the UP Express Electrification EA will be on identifying the potential environmental impacts of electrifying the rail corridor, as opposed to assessing alternative ways to electrify or alternatives "to" electrifying (e.g., diesel).

Questions were also raised in relation to the rolling stock and whether the DMUs being purchased would be converted from diesel to electric. Metrolinx clarified that the UP Express service that will start in 2015 will operate with DMUs. Other comments were raised in relation to project costs, timelines, and involvement of Hydro One.

A copy of the March 2012 Stakeholder Working Session Summary Report (including email invite, summary of discussion topics/feedback, and presentation slides) is contained in **Appendix J-1.** 

### 8.2.3 Public Update Meeting (June 2012)

A public update meeting was held on Wednesday, June 27, 2012 from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. at the Lithuanian House, 1573 Bloor St. West, Toronto.

The purpose of this public update meeting was three-fold:

- Provide an overview of the work completed to date including the 2010 GO Transit Electrification Study and the Metrolinx recommendation to proceed with Phase 1 (UP Express);
- Introduce the public to the electrification team and work that is currently underway; and
- To seek feedback on the key issues and opportunities related to the UP Express electrification project, and on the planned consultation process.





A total of 47 people attended the meeting including local politicians, stakeholder groups and members of the public. The format of the meeting entailed a short presentation by the project team followed by a discussion period where participants posed questions and comments directly to Metrolinx and the project team. Following the meeting, a draft Summary Report was prepared to document the comments received and how they were responded to. The draft report was circulated to all those who attended the meeting for their review/comment prior to finalizing.

#### 8.2.3.1 Summary of Public Update Meeting Discussion

In general, the key themes of the discussion session were related to:

- Commitment to electrification and timing of implementation
- Cost of electrification
- Air quality effects (diesel vs. electric powered trains)
- Proposed noise walls related to the Georgetown South project

A copy of the June 2012 Final Public Update Meeting Summary Report (including email invitation, newspaper ad, presentation slides, summary of questions/comments/responses, and supplementary information) has been included in **Appendix J-2**. As mentioned above, there were a number of questions and comments raised regarding sound walls proposed as part of the Georgetown South Project along the corridor. As a result, additional information on sound walls was provided by Metrolinx as an appendix to the Final Summary Report.

#### 8.2.4 Public Open Houses (June 2013)

Four Public Open House sessions were held at various locations along the corridor between June 4<sup>th</sup> and June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2013 during the Pre-Planning Phase of the EA. Four different venues were selected as follows, in order to provide locations that were reasonably distributed along the 25 km length of the UP Express route:

Tuesday, June 4, 2013	Monday, June 10, 2013	Tuesday, June 11, 2013	Wednesday, June 12, 2013
Toronto Pearson International	Metro Hall	Mimico Presbyterian Church	Mount Dennis (Weston) Legion
Airport	Room 314	119 Mimico Avenue	1050 Weston Road
Viscount Station	55 John Street	Etobicoke, ON	Toronto, ON
6100 Viscount Road	Toronto, ON		
Mississauga, ON			

The purpose of the June 2013 Public Open Houses was to share a project update and seek feedback on the following:

8-8





- Overview of Conceptual Design for UP Express Electrification:
  - Traction power supply
  - $\circ$  Traction power distribution
  - Maintenance requirements
- Overview of Environmental Studies
- Next Steps

The open houses were advertised broadly in local newspapers including: Parkdale Liberty Villager, City Centre Mirror, Etobicoke Guardian, York Guardian, Mississauga News, Bloor West Villager, North York Mirror, Metro News Toronto, L'Express de Toronto, Toronto le Metropolitain (Brampton) so that the public was made aware of the multiple date and location options. In addition, the Public Open House Notice was published in the Georgetown South Monthly Newsletter which is circulated to approximately 3300 members of the public and other stakeholders.

In addition to newspaper ads, the open house notice was posted on Metrolinx's website, and invitation emails/letters were sent to the project contact list which included over 1,000 contacts: elected officials, review agencies, public/stakeholders, property owners, and aboriginal peoples. In addition, individually addressed letters were mailed directly to identified property owners within 30 m of the study area. A copy of the Notice was also sent directly to the management offices of a number of condominium/apartment residences located along the Union Station Rail Corridor portion of the study area, with a request to post copies of the Notice in the common areas of these buildings (e.g., mail room, elevators, etc.).

Attendees were welcomed by the project team, asked to sign-in, and were given a comment sheet and were encouraged to provide comments and feedback. The format of the meeting was an open house session, with 39 presentation boards displayed around the room with members of the project team on-hand to answer questions and provide further detail about the project. A copy of the display board material was also posted on Metrolinx's project website.

The display panels presented the following key information:

- Purpose/Scope of the Project
- Overview of EA Process
- Assessment of Potential Facility Locations
- EMU Maintenance Facility options
- Overview of Power Distribution System components
- Station/bridge modifications
- Summary of baseline environmental conditions
- Next Steps







A total of 95 people attended the four open houses including: local politicians, local business owners, professional/educational interests, stakeholders and members of the public.

**Appendix J-3** contains a copy of the June 2013 Public Open House Summary Report which includes a copy of the newspaper ad, email/letter invitation, sign-in sheet, and display panels. Appendix J-3 also contains copies of the comment forms from the POH.

#### 8.2.4.1 Summary of Public Comments Received (June 2013 POH)

In general, strong support for the UP Express Electrification project has been expressed by the public. In addition, some of the key topics raised as part of the comments/feedback received at the POHs included but were not limited to the following:

- Commitment to electrification and timing of implementation
- Cost of electrification
- Potential construction related impacts along the corridor (e.g., noise)
- Air quality effects (diesel vs. electric powered trains)
- Overhead contact system vs. third rail
- Additional venue considerations/locations for next round of POHs
- Locations and size of electrification facilities
- Inquires related to EA process and timelines
- Opportunities for more stations and for future integrated transit system
- Distinction between scope of GTS construction project and UP Express Electrification project
- General support for electrification

**Table 8-1** summarizes the key issues/comments/questions raised by members of the public as part of the Pre-Planning Phase, including the June 2013 Public Open Houses, and how they were considered by Metrolinx. **Appendix J-6** contains copies of public correspondence.



8-10



Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comme
Via email	Project Timeline and Implementation	EA of Diesel takes 6 months. EA of electric will take over 3 years.	The Environmental Assessment process (Tra electrification of the UP Express includes a 12
			To provide clarification, the Transit Project A planning phase where background studies a environmental conditions in the study are performance specifications, and pre-TPAP stakeholders, First Nations and Metis commu
			Following the pre-planning phase, the second of environmental impact assessment studies, public/agency consultation activities, as we studies.
			Following the TPAP phase, there is a 30-d submitted to the Ministry of the Environment
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Project Timeline and Implementation	UP Express should be built right the first time – electrify now.	Comment noted.
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Project Timeline and Implementation	Is the budget finalized? / Why do people think it will never happen?	As part of the Electrification Study, the cost Million. We will be refining these cost estin included in the "Next Wave" of Metrolinx pr unusual that Environmental Assessments ar implementation. Upon confirmation of fundir
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Project Timeline and Implementation	When will the electric trains actually start operating?	Given the three-year electrification construct 2014, the earliest electrification could be con
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Project Timeline and Implementation	Why not start the service as electric?	The 2009 Georgetown South Service Expans therefore did not include MOE approval Electrification Environmental Assessment is t require approvals and funding. Depending of approximately 3 years from that date. Based UP Express Service. These assumptions were
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Project Timeline and Implementation	How many tracks will be electrified	Based on preliminary design for UP Express tracks within the rail corridor will be electr Pearson Airport.

#### ent was Considered by Metrolinx

ansit Project Assessment Projects) that needs to be followed for 20 day regulated timeline.

Assessment Process (TPAP) involves two phases: the first is a preare undertaken such as collection and documentation of existing ea, as well as conceptual engineering work and preparation of consultation/engagement activities with review agencies, public, unities.

nd phase involves a 120 day TPAP phase which includes: completion s, preparation of the Environmental Project Report (EPR), additional ell as more detailed preliminary engineering design and technical

day review period for the public to review the Final EPR that is at for approval.

ost estimate to electrify the UP Express (formerly ARL) was \$440 mates throughout the process. Electrification of the UP Express is riorities and funding is subject to the Investment Strategy. It is not re completed and approved before funding is committed to their ing, the project can proceed.

ction timeline, and the fact that the EA will not be complete until npleted is 2017.

sion & Union-Pearson Rail Link EA did not study electrification and for electrification of the UP Express service. The UP Express targeted for submission to the MOE in 2014. Then the project will on the decision date, the estimated construction phase would be d on this, we have estimated 2017 for the operation of an electrified re also presented as part of the 2010 Electrification Study.

Electrification, it is currently anticipated that a minimum of three rified and two tracks will be electrified along the spur portion to







Source	Topic /Issue Raised		Question/Comment	How Comm
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Project Timeline a Implementation	and	What are the plans for the electrification of the whole GO network system?	A full study of electrification of the GO network, phased in over time. The decision Kitchener and Lakeshore corridors, subject to Express (which will operate on the existing k
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Project Timeline a Implementation	and	Switching from diesel to electric: how will that happen? What will happen to the diesel vehicles? If you have rolling stock that claims to do both, I understand it's not easy.	The vehicles are designed and constructed t
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Project Timeline a Implementation	and	When will electrification of the UP Express be completed?	The UP Express Electrification Environment the project will require approvals and fun phase would be approximately 3 years from of an electrified UP Express Service. These Study.
Open House #3 Mimico	Project Timeline : Implementation	and	What type of technology will be used for the rolling stock for the UP Express? Will there be dual locomotives?	The UP Express will launch with Diesel Mu Electric Multiple Units (EMUs).
Open House #3 Mimico	Project Timeline : Implementation	and	Is this the first time GO Transit will have an electric line?	Yes.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline Implementation	and	Would like electrification to be implemented as soon as possible.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline Implementation	and	Would like this electrification to happen before the diesel trains start running.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline a Implementation	and	Would like to know what other city in the world could possibly be comparable to study or be nearly equitable to our situation in Toronto, every other city is electric, not nearly so densely populated or as busy; this will be the busiest rail corridor on the planet.	There are a number of places in North Amerailways of a much higher capacity have been In Canada, a similar railway system exists a example of an electrified rail system is the
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline : Implementation	and	This should be built as EMU from day one, not DMU to convert later, and make it happen; it's long overdue in Canada to have 20 <sup>th</sup> century transit built (we need 21 <sup>st</sup> century transit)	Commuter Rail in Chicago Illinois. Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline a Implementation	and	If no money is committed to Electrification, then this is all another PR exercise to calm the communities along the corridor.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline a Implementation	and	Don't know how it got to this point when Toronto's former chief city (urban) planner declared it must be done and must be electric. He and thousands were ignored by McGuinty.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline in the second	and	Suggest that Metrolinx tax each businesses \$5/employee/month in the GTA; if 2 employees = \$10/month, 2,000 employees = \$10,000/month etc would ensure that Metrolinx got the money	Comment noted

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

etwork was conducted in 2010 and assessed electrification of the n of the Metrolinx Board of Directors was to begin by electrifying the to funding. The first phase is the EA for the electrification of the UP Kitchener rail corridor).

to be convertible from diesel to electric propulsion.

tal Assessment is targeted for submission to the MOE in 2014. Then nding. Depending on the decision date, the estimated construction in that date. Based on this, we have estimated 2017 for the operation assumptions were also presented as part of the 2010 Electrification

Itiple Units (DMUs). Upon electrification, the rolling stock will be

erica, Europe, Asia, Australia and Africa where electrified commuter en in service for a number of years.

and was built to serve the Montreal area. In the U.S., a comparable North East Corridor in New Jersey and Chicago's and Metra Electric







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
		needed and business would get their employees to work on time and with less stress.	
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline and Implementation	Why is it a separate project? Why not electrify as part of the current UP Express project?	The 2009 Georgetown South Service Expan not include MOE approval for electrification completed by Metrolinx for electrification Express service from diesel to electric powe <i>Projects and Metrolinx Undertakings</i> which propulsion on existing commuter rail corride In addition, electrification of the UP Express provided by Hydro One. Hydro One will be connection lines to their existing grid. These <i>Class Environmental Assessment for Minor T</i> As a result, Metrolinx and Hydro One a requirements under the Transit Project As requirements under the Class EA for Minor T Regarding timing, the UP Express Electrific MOE in 2014. Then the project will req estimated construction phase would be app 2017 for the operation of an electrified UP the 2010 Electrification Study.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Project Timeline and Implementation	Recent announcement of establishing a new airport in Pickering has the CP rail line to Peterborough running through it, opening the possibility of GO service from Milton to Pickering and possibly to Peterborough. Will electrification of this line result in redundant or extra costs with regard to the electrification being undertaken?	If the question is whether there is a need f will not be redundant. The need for the UP Corridor Expansion – Union Pearson Rail Lin
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Project Timeline and Implementation	Why can't the EA process be carried out together with procurement? Will the procurement process delay a potential 2017 date for electrification?	Procurement will occur once funding is conf
Via email	Support for the Project	I am in full support of the electrification of the rail system in the GTA. I welcome further developments in this direction, as burning diesel fuels for transportation in a populated urban setting, is just plain wrong.	Thank you for your comments.

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

nsion & Union-Pearson Rail Link Environmental Assessment (EA) did on of the UP Express service. As a result, an EA is required to be of the UP Express service. Specifically, the conversion of the UP er falls under *Schedule 1, Subsection 2 (1) 7 of O. Reg. 231/08 - Transit* applies to transit projects including: "Electrification of rail equipment or and associated power distribution system."

ss will necessitate new electrical connection facilities, which will be be responsible for connecting a new transformer station via 230kV e particular project components fall within the scope of *Hydro One's Transmission Facilities (1992).* 

re carrying out parallel EA processes to satisfy both Metrolinx's ssessment Process (TPAP) (O. Reg. 231/08) as well as Hydro One's Transmission Facilities (Class EA).

cation Environmental Assessment is targeted for submission to the uire approvals and funding. Depending on the decision date, the proximately 3 years from that date. Based on this, we have estimated Express Service. These assumptions were also presented as part of

for an air rail link to Pearson Airport (versus Pickering Airport), no it P Express service was demonstrated as part of the Georgetown South k Environmental Assessment.

firmed for the UP Express Electrification project,







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
Via email	Support for the Project	I wish to state my support for the electrification of Go Transit and the Union Pearson Express. In my opinion it will not only be environmentally acceptable, it is much better than diesel power.	Thank you, comment noted.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Support for the Project	Overall – this project is very high value to the broader community and I hope that individual or local objections can be overcome quickly and efficiently. I fully support.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Support for the Project	Glad/hopeful electrification of commuter rails will be built in our world class city.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Support for the Project	General support for the project./ Applaud the team for their work on this project; do not remember the same work being done for the diesel train	Thank you. Comment noted.
Via email	Consultation Process	How about having a meeting location at Lithuanian Hall near a lot of people affected by this? And can we have more notice on these meetings in the future?	The scope of this EA begins west of Union corridors, then along the UP Express rail spin also covers part of the GO Lakeshore lin Willowbrook rail maintenance facility in Etol Metrolinx's goal is to reach as many people open houses were chosen to cover as mu proposed electrification infrastructure such location in your Junction neighbourhood b corridor. The Mount Dennis Weston Legion would encourage you to attend this session you at this meeting
Via email	Consultation Process	I'm just wondering why there is not going to be an EA Open House meeting in the Junction. Many of the residents who live there have expressed their concern around electrification with regards to the UP Express. From what I understand, the UP Express does not go through Mimico nor Metro Hall, so I don't understand why these two locations would have been chosen as priority locations for an Open House for the UP EA when there are other locations closer to the affected residents that would seem much more suitable.	The scope of the UP Express Electrification Station and Kitchener rail corridors, then al Airport. The power supply portion of the pr St. to connect to a potential substation near Metrolinx's goal is to reach as many people open houses were chosen to cover as mu proposed electrification infrastructure such location in your Junction neighbourhood b corridor. The Mount Dennis Weston Legion would encourage you to attend this session you at this meeting.

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

n Station and travels along the GO Union Station and Kitchener rail ur to Terminal 1 at Pearson International Airport. The power supply the from about Bathurst St. to connect to a substation near our bicoke.

e as possible. The locations for the UP Express Electrification public uch of the EA study area as possible and reflect the locations of n as power stations. We appreciate that there is no public meeting but that is the case for several other neighbourhoods along the rail a location is in relatively close proximity to the Junction area, and we n to share your comments/feedback on the project. We hope to see

n EA begins west of Union Station and travels along the GO Union long the UP Express rail spur to Terminal 1 at Pearson International roject also covers part of the GO Lakeshore line from about Bathurst r GO's Willowbrook rail maintenance facility in Etobicoke.

e as possible. The locations for the UP Express Electrification public uch of the EA study area as possible and reflect the locations of n as power stations. We appreciate that there is no public meeting but that is the case for several other neighbourhoods along the rail a location is in relatively close proximity to the Junction area, and we n to share your comments/feedback on the project. We hope to see







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
			You can still participate in the public consuland you can share your thoughts by contact
Via email	Consultation Process	I would like to attend the open house tonight. Is there free parking available near 6100 Viscount road? If so, where is it, I cannot locate it on the map.	Additional information was provided on how There is no unpaid parking at the venue, ho the UP Express Electrification Study Area, w
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Consultation Process	Hold more community meetings west of Weston Station	We recognize that there are many interest airport. As you know, in June, four open he accessible to the community: at Toronto P Presbyterian Church (June 11), and Mount provide locations that were distributed alo Pearson Airport. The open houses were advertised broadly Guardian, York Guardian, Mississauga New L'Express de Toronto, Toronto le Metropolit date and location options. In addition to m notification emails were sent to the p stakeholders, and first nations, and letters w We have received several suggestions for a endeavour to accommodate these requests the EA process. We appreciate your fe consultation. Please also note that a copy to our website: http://www.gotransit.com/e
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Consultation Process	Very impressed with the level of professionalism shown to me as all of my present concerns were answered; the coffee was also very good.	Thank you. Comment noted.
Via email	Information request	I will try to enjoy one of your presentation next week and I would like to receive information about the UP project. I will appreciate if you send me more information by mail.	Additional information was provided as requ
Via email	Information request	I have just received your notice of proposals for the facilities that you intend to build. The map variance in shading makes this difficult to read.	In response to this email inquiry, additio provided for reference purposes.
		I am unable to locate your proposed EMU Maintenance Facility?	

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

tation as the materials from these public meetings will posted online ting the project team at electrification@metrolinx.com

w to access the parking.

owever there are additional POH's being held at other venues along here unpaid parking is available.

ted neighbourhoods along the Union Pearson Express route to the ouses were held at various locations along the route that would be rearson International Airport (June 4), Metro Hall (June 10), Mimico t Dennis Legion (June 12). These venues were selected in order to ong the 25 km length of the UP Express route from Union Station to

v including: Parkdale Liberty Villager, City Centre Mirror, Etobicoke ws, Bloor West Villager, North York Mirror, Metro News Toronto, tain (Brampton) so that neighbours were made aware of the multiple newspaper ads, the open house notice was posted on our website, project contact list including: elected officials, review agencies, were mailed directly to property owners along the corridor.

areas in which to hold our next round of public open houses and will s. Metrolinx is committed to keeping the public informed throughout eedback and invite you to join us during our next round of of the June 2013 Public Open House display panels has been posted electrification/.

uested.

onal information on the proposed EMU Maintenance Facility was







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
		I am requesting the address or an updated clearer key map so I can review this before the meeting.	
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Air Quality	Diesel pollutants –concerns about particulates	The purpose of the UP Express Electrificatio Multiple Units (EMUs). As a result, diesel po In addition, an air quality impact assessmer quality effects (positive and negative) of UP E
Via email	Air Quality	<ul> <li>Union Pacific Pearson Express Electrification Environmental Assessment Project.</li> <li>To whom it may concern.</li> <li>For your information regarding the need for electric trains, over cheaper, more extremely dangerous 'diesel option's which assure cancers and various harms to the communities.</li> <li>Diesel Exhaust Chemicals : <ul> <li>There is a need to use environmental science to understand human biology and human diseases.</li> <li>Fetal origins of diseases need scientific clarification and much more discussion.</li> <li>The science is not 100%, but it is suggested that Diesel Exhause )(DE) may play a causal role in asthma, autism, Huntingtons Disease, Parkinsons Disease, various cancers, ischemetic disorders, myocardial infarctions, arterial vasidilation, and fetuses aborting before coming to term.</li> <li>When a person is subject to (DE), arterial vasoconstriction occurs that is the arteries constrict in response, shying away from the exposure.</li> <li>This causes less blood flow to the fetus in the case of a pregnant woman, and decreases lung size and function, possibly leading to asthma and other possible respiratory ailments such as autism, Huntingtons disease, or Parkinsons disease.</li> <li>There is a basic biological need to determine public health by using interdisciplinary research, that is Health officials at all levels of government along with all agencies should unite. In working together, this research and the articles are submitted and peer-reviewed by scientists. Pending approval, submissions would then be published. The information would then be more available for dissemination to the public.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regarding implementation of an electrified L</li> <li>We're committed to delivering the service will launch with state-of-th engine emissions standard set by th</li> <li>In addition, UP Express will take up congestion and improving air quality</li> <li>Metrolinx is moving forward with at design and engineering is already ur</li> <li>The EA is a critical step toward elect</li> <li>The vehicles which have been p infrastructure improvements currer allow for electrification.</li> </ul>

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

on project is to convert the Diesel Multiple Units (DMUs) to Electric owered UP Express trains will be replaced by electric powered trains. ent will be undertaken as part of the EA to assess the potential air Express electrification.

UP Express Service, please note the following:

e UP Express service in an environmentally responsible manner. The he-art Tier 4 diesel multiple units. Tier 4 is the strictest non-road he U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

to 1.2 million car trips off the road in the first year alone – reducing ty.

an environmental assessment (EA) for an electrified ARL. Preliminary inderway.

trification and the study is expected to be completed in 2014.

purchased for UP Express are fully convertible to electric, and ently underway on the Georgetown South Corridor are being built to







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
		- There needs to be a focused understanding of the chemicals and biologics in the environment, and how this affects humans.	
		- There is a need to develop local issues regarding microbal environmental disruption on human growth cells.	
		- There needs to be very clear and concise research before developing any new transportation systems, which accurately reflect all of the potential harm that is imposed on the communities.	
		- Ischemetic diseases, - blood spurts (uneven blood flow) in arteries and viens.	
		- Mycardial infarction, - irregular and uneven heartbeats.	
		New areas of research will include:	
		- Environmental Epiginetics: Environmental Stress-Gene Expression and Human Fetal Development, including DNA Methylation, Genomic Imprinting, Histone Modification.	
		- Cumulative affects of environmental and all other exposures (home, diet, lifestyle, exercise).	
		- Endocrine disruptors that negatively impact gene growth.	
		All of these areas will contribute to developing diseases in populations, especially regional populations.	
		Specific to Cancer:	
		Agencies such as the World Health Organization's – International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and the United States National Toxicology Program follow a scientific process and consider the weight of scientific evidence to determine whether a substance or chemical causes cancer.	
		Class 2A: exposure probably causes cancer in humans.	
		Class 2B: exposure possibly causes cancer in humans.	
		Class 3: scientists are unable to determine or classify whether exposure does or does not cause	

nent was Considered by Metrolinx







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
		cancer in humans.	
		Class 4: exposure probably does not cause cancer in humans.	
		Please let me know if I can help in any discussions.	
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Air Quality	No diesel trains because of smoke and smell; wait and do electric only.	Comment noted.
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Economic Impact/Jobs	Career initiative program to engage those in the area with opportunities on the project. / What are the job opportunities/ impact on job opportunities / Remember when tendering to consider	Your comment is noted and will be consid underway known as the Georgetown Sou
		community benefits leveraging local access to jobs and economic growth.	available on GO's website at: (Georgetown S
			http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/resource
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Economic Impact/Jobs	Lack of Canadian manufacturing involvement in UP Express locomotives: manufactured in Japan, assembled in US.	Two critical factors led Metrolinx to award t
			First, there are currently no Canadian ma
			exempt from provincial policy on domes available.
			Second, this purchase was a significant cos
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Economic Impact/Jobs	Check with CUE and Ryerson Engineering on training specialist for the Maintenance and Storage	Thank you for the suggestion.
			There will be a need for engineers in this a ensure people are aware of upcoming oppo
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Economic Impact	Mount Dennis-Weston Network have been working for 4 years to get jobs on that site and to have small businesses along Industry St and Ray Ave; it will not help the local economy or the streetscape to have a switching station there.	Comment noted. As part of the UP Expre identify potential facility sites to ensure reli assessment process was to minimize po switching station that are not Metrolinx own
			We are aware of the community's efforts
			Metrolinx's mobility hub study for the Moun
			Additional information on this study is av

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

dered as part of the implementation phase. We have a pilot project uth Project Employment Initiative. Additional information is also South Project Employment Initiative):

es/employment\_initiative.aspx

the vehicle contract to Sumitomo Corporation of America.

anufacturers of this locomotive technology -- powered rail vehicles senger and freight traffic. The procurement process was therefore stic content requirements, since no Canadian manufacturer was

t-savings opportunity, as we were able to enter a joint procurement t (SMART), which resulted in a cost savings on each vehicle.

area and we will work with the local community and universities to ortunities.

ess Electrification EA, a site assessment process was carried out to iable system operation. One of the factors considered as part of the tential property requirements associated with implementing the ned.

s to grow local employment in the area and this is referenced in nt Dennis station on the Eglinton Crosstown line.

vailable online: http://thecrosstown.ca/Online-Consultation-Mount-







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comn
			Dennis-Text-Summary
Open House #3 Mimico	Economic Impact (Property Values)	Impact of construction and increase in rail traffic on property values.	It is noted that no additional capacity (trac project. With regard to potential construction relate
			the construction and operations phase of the as part of the impact assessment phase of adverse effects will be developed as require the next public consultation round.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Economic Impact (Property Values)	Many of us will be asking for compensation, if when we move our properties are devalued.	Comment noted.
Open House #3 Mimico	Economic Impact (Revenue generation)	Projections regarding the demand for the UP Express (how many people will take it) and particularly regarding the expected usage of the Weston stop, especially considering the lack of further connections.	Regarding the Weston Station stop and rel the scope of the UP Express Electrification E
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Environmental Effects/Economic Impact	Studies show more cars will be taken off the road in electric than diesel, this whole project will fail miserably financially, be an environmental disaster and in ridership.	<ul> <li>When the UP Express service starts we expert the road in the first year alone. This ridersh travelling to and from the airport every minimizing the impact on the environment most efficient technology available for its lo</li> <li>UP Express will launch with Tier 4 of By using these leading edge trainsh be no higher than today, in spite of a signification.</li> </ul>
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Environmental Effects	Missing the trees and nature aspects already.	A Natural Environment Impact Assessment will assess potential environmental effects UP Express system, including establishm mitigate potential adverse effects.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Environmental Effects	This facility has the potential to leach substances (unless regularly maintained and audited to environmental standards) into the soil and possible the water-table.	As part of the EA process, potential efforest considered and assessed as part of the imp or eliminate potential adverse natural environment assessment studies will be docum available for public review.
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	EMF	EMF emissions from electrification facilities?	As part of the EA process, potential effects Fields (EMF) will be considered and assesse measures to reduce or eliminate potential

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

ks/rail traffic) are proposed as part of the UP Express Electrification

ed effects, as part of the EA process, potential effects related to both he UP Express electrification project will be considered and assessed f the project. Mitigation measures to reduce or eliminate potential ed. The results of the impact assessment studies will be presented at

lated connections, demand for the UP Express service is not part of A.

ect about 5,000 riders per day, and take up to 1.2 million car trips off hip level will mean a greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction in private cars year. Metrolinx is committed to reducing its carbon footprint and by removing vehicles from the highways and roads and by using the promotives.

compliant diesel multiple units.

, emissions in the corridor from GO Transit vehicles are expected to cant increase in service along the corridor.

t will be carried out as part of the UP Express Electrification EA that (aquatic and terrestrial) related to implementation of the electrified ent of mitigation/compensation measures to either minimize or

ects on the natural environment (including groundwater) will be act assessment phase of the project. Mitigation measures to reduce ronmental effects will be developed as required. The results of the nented in the Environmental Project Report, which will be made

s related to Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) and Electromagnetic ed as part of the impact assessment phase of the project. Mitigation al adverse effects related to EMI and EMF will be developed, as







Source Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
		required. The results of the impact assessme which will be made available for public revie
Open House #2 Metro EMF Hall	Are there concerns for the noise with the projected levels of trains?	We assume that projected level of trains m additional level of trains are proposed as par Units (DMUs) that will initially operate alo trains once electrification of the UP Express part of the EA and will be made available up
Open House #4 Mount EMF Dennis	No mention of catenary voltage; since this will be a source of EMF radiation all along the ROW, it should be stated clearly.	For clarification, the overhead voltage is 25k
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	There is a Toronto Hydro Substation Yard at Old Weston Road south of Junction Road, north west corner of the Junction diamond. Hope it still exists. Might be ready made for a switching station.	The existing Toronto Hydro Substation Yarecommended location for the SWS at 3500 comparative evaluation of the two sites: <u>Proximity to Rail Corridor and Property Size I</u> Although the Old Weston Rd. site is located is site has very limited space to accommodate site. <u>Technical and Cost</u> As a result of the space constrained site at W implement the facility such as: locating he make the equipment very difficult to maint the site is constrained, the facility equipment design is not typically applied in Ontario standard, proven design proposed for the Ston the site. <u>Property Availability</u> The Old Weston Rd. site is not owned by M compared to the Kodak site which is current

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

nent studies will be documented in the Environmental Project Report, ew.

neans increased number of trains in the corridor., It is noted that no art of the UP Express Electrification project, rather the Diesel Multiple ong the UP Express route will be replaced by Electric Multiple Unit s is implemented. A noise impact assessment is being carried out as boon completion.

kV*,* 60Hz.

ard at Old Weston Road was reviewed and compared with the Deglinton Ave. W. (Kodak). The following provides a summary of the

#### Requirements:

in close proximity to the existing rail corridor (UP Express route), the e a standard and reliable SWS facility design, compared to the Kodak

Weston, complex engineering solutions would be required in order to heavy equipment (e.g. autotransformer) underground which would tain throughout the operational phase. In addition, since the size of nt would need to be stacked and enclosed in a building. This type of as it is less reliable and significantly more costly than the more SWS at Kodak which reflects the optimal configuration of equipment

Netrolinx. Therefore, development of the site would be more costly the owned by Metrolinx.







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
Via email	Facility Siting/ Project Timeline and Implementation	If the Kodak site is chosen, can we open discussion with Hydro through you on a renewable energy installation for the site and connecting into the grid at this point? Lastly, we spoke about the Minister saying we are in the 80 per cent certainty range for delivery by 2017 and he is pushing for soonercan you give me an outline of what you think the 20 percent items that are holding electrification back from happening sooner	The function of the proposed paralleling stat be a Metrolinx facility. Renewable energy o Regarding implementation and timing, the submission to the MOE in 2014. Then the pr date and confirmation of funding, the estim date. Based on this, we have estimated 201
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Facility Siting	Electrification facilities need to be as far away from residential as possible. Can they be buried?	<ul> <li>Burying railway electrification facilities is not world. Locating heavy equipment (e.g. auto to maintain throughout the operational phareliable and significantly more costly than reflects the optimal configuration of equipmer Furthermore, it is noted that several criter locations, as follows: <ul> <li>Natural Environment - consideration</li> <li>Built/Social Environment - consideration vicinity of the facility location.</li> <li>Cultural Environment - consideration facility location.</li> <li>Technical - consideration of Proper</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Facility Siting	Is there going to be a paralleling station or any other facility in Peel Region?	With regard to UP Express Electrification, the
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Facility Siting	Who owns the ordnance site near the proposed Fort York Bridge?	Metrolinx currently owns the Ordnance site.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Facility Siting	How did you choose the sub-station locations?	<ul> <li>The assessment of potential traction power</li> <li>Step 1 - Background study involvinumber and type of traction power</li> <li>Step 2: Generate Potential Facility</li> <li>Step 3: Assess Potential Facility Loc</li> <li>The following criteria will be considered in o</li> <li>Natural Environment - consideration</li> </ul>

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

tion at 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. will be to boost the OCS voltage. It will options will be reviewed at the detailed design stage of the project. UP Express Electrification Environmental Assessment is targeted for roject will require approvals and funding. Depending on the decision nated construction phase would be approximately 3 years from that .7 for the operation of an electrified UP Express Service.

t the industry practice in North America and other places around the otransformer) underground would make the equipment very difficult ase. This type of design is not typically applied in Ontario as it is less the more standard, proven above ground design proposed which nent on the site.

eria will be considered as part of identifying the preferred facility

on of sensitive natural features in the vicinity of the facility location. deration of existing/planned land use (including residential) in the insideration of social features (i.e., schools, daycares, etc.) in the

ion of sensitive cultural/archaeological features in the vicinity of the

rty Availability, Development Cost, Site Accessibility

nted as part of the next consultation round for the EA. ere will not be a paralleling station in Peel Region.

facility locations is based on a four step process as follows:

ing computer- aided train operation simulations to determine the facilities required to electrify the UP Express Service Locations

cations

order to assess potential facility locations: on of sensitive natural features in the vicinity of the facility location.







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
			<ul> <li>Built/Social Environment - consideration/consideration of social feed location.</li> <li>Cultural Environment - consideration facility location.</li> <li>Technical - consideration of Properties</li> <li>Step 4: Identify Recommended Face</li> </ul>
Open House #3 Mimico	Facility Siting	What are the criteria used to determine siting of the maintenance facility? / Preference to locate facilities away from residential areas	<ul> <li>As part of generating initial maintenation considered/applied:</li> <li><u>Location</u> – Does the site avoid the rest of the catenary, traction power facilities,</li> <li><u>Site Constraints</u> – Can the site accompanite nance of the EMU's?</li> <li><u>Operations</u> – Is the maintenance factor storage and maintenance of the EMU</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Following identification of the three main Facility, Purpose-Built EMU Maintenance F construction)), the following additional crimaintenance facility option: <ul> <li>Natural Environment - consideration</li> <li>Built/Social Environment - consideration location/consideration of social fer location.</li> <li>Cultural Environment - consideration facility location.</li> <li>Technical - consideration of Property</li> </ul></li></ul>
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Facility Siting	Regarding the two sites being considered as alternatives to the Kodak site for the Switching station: one has an approval in place for residential development, and would be a very unpopular	It is noted that the Built/Social Environment potential facility sites, including resident recommended facility locations will be ident with each siting option. Comments noted. The initial step to ident criteria:
		site for this type of utility; the other is about to approved by the City for a gas station.	

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

deration of existing/planned land use in the vicinity of the facility eatures (i.e., schools, daycares, etc.) in the vicinity of the facility

ion of sensitive cultural/archaeological features in the vicinity of the

rty Availability, Development Cost, Site Accessibility

cility Locations

ance facility options for EMUs, the following criteria were

need to construct/implement additional electrification infrastructure , etc.)?

ommodate the electrification infrastructure required for storage and

acility currently set up to provide for a safe work environment for the MU's?

ntenance facility options (i.e., Existing Willowbrook Maintenance Facility at Resource Rd., and East Rail Maintenance Facility (under iteria are to be considered in order to confirm the recommended

on of sensitive natural features in the vicinity of the facility location. deration of existing/planned land use in the vicinity of the facility eatures (i.e., schools, daycares, etc.) in the vicinity of the facility

ion of sensitive cultural/archaeological features in the vicinity of the

rty Availability, Development Cost, Site Accessibility

t criterion includes consideration existing land uses in the vicinity of ial areas. Based on application of the criteria listed above, tified based on the relative advantages and disadvantages associated

ify possible SWS locations was based on applying the following key







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
			Criterion A - Proximity to existing UP Express
			25 kV feeders between the new SWS and C SWS to the catenary along the UP Express proximity to the existing UP Express route/co
			<ul> <li>Shorter 25kV feeders minimize real</li> <li>Shorter 25kV feeders minimize main</li> </ul>
			Criterion B - Property size requirements:
			The approximate footprint size required for potential SWS sites need to be able to accon
			Following identification of potential facil consideration of the following additional crit
			<ul> <li>Natural Environment - consideration</li> <li>Built/Social Environment - consideration of social feat facility location.</li> <li>Cultural Environment - consideration facility location.</li> <li>Technical - consideration of Bronzel</li> </ul>
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Facility Siting	Switching station: 3 possible sites proposed in Mount Dennis, but former Kodak site most likely, this makes more sense but find a site within that space, not on the periphery.	Comment noted. To provide clarification, the proposed SW: boundary, not outside of it.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Facility Siting	Would hope that large critical systems buildings and major tourist attraction locations not be considered as locations for transformer stations, looking at the display boards in the open house presentation, this has been considered.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Facility Siting	What will be the location of the switching station on the Kodak lands?	It is noted that two other potential SWS site corner of Black Creek Dr. and Eglinton Ave. preferred facility location will be determined
			If the Kodak site is identified as the preferred part of the preliminary design phase. In a required to establish viable SWS locations v Crosstown LRT Maintenance and Storage Fa then be carried forward for a more detailed

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

s route/rail corridor:

DCS will be required in order to distribute electrical power from the ss route/corridor. Therefore, TPS sites should be located in close corridor for the following reasons:

l estate/easement requirements; intenance requirements.

r constructing the SWS is anticipated to be 50m X 30m. Therefore, nmodate a minimum footprint area of 50m X 30m.

ility locations, the sites will be further assessed according to teria:

on of sensitive natural features in the vicinity of the facility location. deration of existing/planned land use in the vicinity of the facility atures (i.e., residences, schools, daycares, etc.) in the vicinity of the

ion of sensitive cultural/archaeological features in the vicinity of the

rty Availability, Development Cost, Site Accessibility

/S at Kodak would be located within the existing Kodak property

es are being considered for locating the SWS (one at the southwest W. and one at 955 Weston Rd.). Following the June 2013 POH, the d.

ed SWS site, more specific locations on this site will be determined as addition, coordination with the Eglinton Crosstown LRT team will be within the Kodak site that can accommodate the proposed Eglinton acility as well as the SWS facility. The preferred facility locations will d impact assessment as part of the UP Express Electrification EA and







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
			documented in the Environmental Project R
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Facilities	What is the function of a switching station?	A Switching Station is a traction power facil power between one power source and and power supply station feeds the same railway
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Facilities	How big will the actual substation be at Strachan Avenue?	To provide clarification, there is no traction Paralleling Station proposed at Ordnance St It is also noted that gantries and duct banks ROW in the vicinity of the PS (west of the Or
Open House #3 Mimico	Facilities	Purpose of the Traction Power Station.	The purpose of traction power facilities is powers electric trains.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Facilities	Interested in understanding what a substation is, what it does, and if it looks like a hydro substation. /How will the traction power facilities look?	The Traction Power Substation (TPS) will he from a connection to the existing 230kV tra and then distributed along the rail corridor such as circuit breakers and switches. The Stations.
Open House #3 Mimico	Maintenance Facility	Can the VIA Maintenance facility be used for electric trains.	One of the maintenance options conside Maintenance Facility (WRMF) in order to p WRMF is currently at capacity, the adjacent train maintenance functions. However, thi WRMF (i.e., electrifying a portion of the GC implementation of new yard OCS infrastruct up at VIA's TMC to enable EMU train testin higher cost (capital and operating) compare
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Maintenance Facility	Current proposed site; maintenance facility; does this location cover 'heavy' and 'preventative maintenance'?	The EMU Maintenance Facility will primaril service, train washing, repair functions inclu trains). Heavy maintenance (i.e., engine or facilities.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Maintenance Facility	Is this going to be the facility to also handle to the 'T4 diesel cars' prior to EMU usage?	No. DMU trains will be maintained at Willow
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Alternative Energy	If Kodak site, can we talk how it might relate to a solar farm on the LRT MSF+Yards.	The function of the proposed paralleling sta be a Metrolinx facility. Renewable energy project. The function of the proposed paralleling sta
Open House #4 Mount Dennis Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Maintenance Facility Alternative Energy	Is this going to be the facility to also handle to the 'T4 diesel cars' prior to EMU usage? If Kodak site, can we talk how it might relate to a solar farm on the LRT MSF+Yards.	No. DMU trains will be maint The function of the proposed be a Metrolinx facility. Rene project. The function of the proposed not practical to replace the

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

Report

lity equipped with the electrical equipment that allows for switching other. A switching station is required when more than one traction y system.

n power substation proposed at Strachan Avenue, rather there is a creet. The approximate footprint size of the PS facility is 45 m X 20 m. s containing 25 kV feeders will need to be located within the railway rdnance St. site).

to provide electricity to the OCS along the rail corridor, which then

buse two transformers that will step-down the voltage that comes in ansmission line. The voltage will be converted from 230kV to 25kV, r to the trains. There will be other electrical equipment at the TPS TPS will be similar to some of the smaller Hydro One Transformer

ered by Metrolinx was to modify the existing GO Willowbrook berform maintenance on the electric UP Express trains. Because the VIA Rail TMC facility would need to be used to perform certain EMU is option would require significant shop modifications to both the D Lakeshore West corridor in order to transport EMUs to the WRMF, eture, etc.) and VIA facility (i.e., installing an electric train power picking) that would introduce operational complexities and would entail a ed to the purpose-built Resources Rd. Maintenance Facility option.

ly provide preventative maintenance (e.g., daily/weekly inspections, ading interior wheel truing, railcar truck change-outs, storage of EMU train body repairs) will generally occur off site at appropriate repair

wbrook Maintenance Facility

tion at 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. will be to boost the OCS voltage. It will v options will be reviewed during the detailed design stage of the

ation at 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. will be to boost the OCS voltage. It is panels since the capacity of the energy source required to boost the







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
			OCS voltage at the 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. site In addition, solar panels do not provide a rel on weather/climatic factors that are out or result, there is a risk that train service could
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Operations	How will you deal with power outages?	The UP Express system will be connected to voltage grid. Although the project only req circuits were chosen for connections becaus
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Operation	Would like more information on the fail safe features for the signals and trains in the event of a power outage.	In the event of utility power failure all safe function since this equipment is fed from en Without power, the train will safely stop. Th applying safe operating and failure managen In the event of longer outages, alternative will be provided to bring passengers to their
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Operations	If the signals are still working but the power to the rails are not, will there be a back up battery supply for the trains; perhaps to have the train reach the next station instead of the train being potentially stranded?	The trains are not provided with the onbo traction power, trains will safely come to a s
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Operations	How many people can go on one train and what is the waiting time for the train?	Trains will depart Union Station and Toronto Station and Toronto Pearson is 25 minutes passenger flight schedule. Each unit will provide comfortable and spac to three units, meaning that every 15 minu Pearson Express.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Operation	Concerned with the early and late (05:30 to 01:00) hours of operation of the UP Express. They indicated that particularly the last train at 01:00HRS was excessive, and that technically airplanes were not allowed to land at Pearson International (without penalty) beyond 12:00 midnight, so why would UP Express be operating beyond 12:00 midnight. Frequency and number of trains on the corridor was also a concern, particularly for residence close to the rail corridor.	Trains will depart Union Station and Toronto coincide with Pearson's passenger flight sc closer to the inaugural launch date.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Energy Supply	Would like to understand the availability of volume of electricity that will be required when trains are electrified. Every summer at some point we receive warnings of brown-outs. Reasonably the rail system will have a priority place to receive power but what if any impacts should we expect and again is there sufficient power?	The high-voltage (230 kV) grid of Hydro O requirements of UP Express railway electri anticipated to cause adverse effects on residential).
Open House #2 Metro	Infrastructure Coordination	Coordinate with Fort York bridge proposal re paralleling station on Ordnance Road	As part of the EA process, Metrolinx is coor

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

te significantly exceeds the capacity of solar panel farms.

liable energy source to boost the OCS voltage, as they are dependent of Metrolinx's control (e.g., number of sunny days in a year). As a be negatively affected by the unreliable solar energy source.

to two 230kV electrical circuits, which are part of the provincial high quires one circuit, a second one will provide a back-up. High voltage se they supply more reliable power than distribution voltages

ety critical communication, signaling and control equipment will still mergency power sources.

ne train service will be resume once the power comes back based on ment procedures.

means of transportation such as shuttle busses or GO Transit trains r final destination.

pard propulsion back up batteries. In the event of unlikely loss of stop.

to Pearson every 15 minutes. The total journey time between Union es. The UP Express schedule is expected to coincide with Pearson's

cious seating for up to 60 people, and each vehicle will consist of two nutes we will have seating for up to 180 guests onboard the Union

to Pearson every 15 minutes. The UP Express schedule is expected to chedule. More information related to the schedule will be available

One has very high capacity as compared to the power and energy rification; therefore electrification of the UP Express service is not the power quality supplied to other customers (commercial and

rdinating with the City of Toronto in relation to the proposed bridge







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
Hall			modifications along the corridor that will be de Ordnance Rd. paralleling station will be de the City.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Infrastructure Integration	Will the proposed Fort York Bridge be feasible if electrification happens?	Yes. We are working with the City of Toront
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Infrastructure integration	If it's a healthy corridor electrify it, then add a bike path if possible – it would make sense.	Comment noted.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Construction Impacts	What will the construction impacts of electrification be? For example, digging piles for the poles?	The proposed construction method for inst the UK and in other parts of the world. It noise, dust, vibration, etc.) will be assessed Impact Assessment phase of the EA process
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Noise	Noise walls are planned, but what mitigation is available for people living in high rise condominiums and apartment buildings?	As part of the EA process, a noise impact related to UP Express electrification. The r public consultation round for comments/fee
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Noise	How much noise will come from the hum of the wires?	As part of the EA, a noise impact assessme UP Express electrification, including potenti
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Noise and Vibration	Do something with the noise, vibration and of course safety as well.	Comment noted.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	UP Express Stations	Will there be a stop at Eglinton LRT?	Currently there are four stops/stations ass Bloor, UP Express Weston, and UP Express F
Open House #2 Metro Hall	UP Express Stations	Why are there only 4 stations on the UP Express?	The design and project scope for the open connect Union Station and Terminal 1 at To stations along GO's Kitchener corridor (form The 25-minute trip will offer residents, tou airport that is fast, reliable, convenient and will increase the total travel time, theref and express.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	UP Express Stations	Make sure the train stations are well designed and have the best of amenities, plus provision for new services, also make each station as "green" as possible; e.g. LEED certified and lessen environmental impacts.	Comment noted. To provide clarification, no new stations a project. Additional information related

#### ment was Considered by Metrolinx

be required as part of electrifying the UP Express. The design of the eveloped as part of the preliminary design phase and discussed with

to to ensure compatibility.

stalling OCS poles is via auger excavation, which is commonly used in is noted that potential short term construction related effects (e.g., d and mitigation measures established as appropriate, as part of the

assessment will be carried out to assess the potential noise effects results of the noise impact assessment will be presented at the next eedback/discussion.

ent will be carried out to assess the potential noise effects related to ial noise effects related to the catenary/pantograph.

sociated with the UP Express service: UP Express Union, UP Express Pearson.

line is an important future consideration for UP Express.

ning day of the service in 2015 has been determined. UP Express will Foronto Pearson Airport, with two station stops at Bloor and Weston merly known as the Georgetown line).

urists and business travellers with a high-quality connection to the d comfortable. Adding more stations stops along the UP Express route efore taking away from the purpose of the service as being direct

are being proposed/design as part of the UP Express Electrification

to UP Express stations is contained online:







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
			http://www.upexpress.ca/en/project/station
			GO recognizes the decisions we make too Changing attitudes and shifting mindsets a today and in the future.
			Transit is a clean, sustainable transportat consideration for future growth strategies Transit is leading the way, both in the transp
Open House #2 Metro Hall	OCS	Can you do 3 <sup>rd</sup> rail? What are the advantages of catenary?	One of the key reasons that third rail not p risks, particularly for an open corridor such a vs. catenary can be found in the 2010 Electri http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/er
Open House #3 Mimico	OCS	How will the overhead catenary system would be installed?	One of the proposed construction methods used in the UK and in other parts of the wor (e.g., noise, dust, vibration, etc.) will be asse the Impact Assessment phase of the FA proc
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	OCS	Clarification needed in the displays on the size/frequency of the catenaries along the line.	Comment noted. Additional detail related to will be developed as part of the preliminary as part of the next UP Express Electrification
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	OCS	Presume that the bridges spanning the ROW supporting the catenary will span all tracks but not necessarily have a catenary wire along each track – this, if needed, could easily be added later.	Comment noted. To provide clarification, OCS wires will only purposes of UP Express operation.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	OCS	We need to deal with the eye pollution to save the lung pollution.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	OCS	Questions about the portals and why they need to look the way they do (does Metrolinx have to use the ugly ones?)?	As part of the preliminary design process of engineering standards and proven design is design is aligned with industry best practices in various locations along the UP Express ro- are primarily dictated by operational consist along the same corridor. Furthermore, stat advantages from a capital cost perspective, the operational phase.
			It is noted that Metrolinx's Design Review structure styles during the Detailed Design p

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

ns.aspx

day will have a major impact on the world we live in tomorrow. are putting the environment at the forefront of GO's plans – both

tion option and GO believes the environment should be a key and development. Going green is just one of the many ways GO portation industry and in the eyes of its customers.

preferred is due to safety considerations. Third rail has more safety as GO Transit. Further detail regarding the consideration of third rail ification Study available on Metrolinx's website:

n/default.aspx

s for installing OCS poles is via auger excavation, which is commonly rld. It is noted that potential short term construction related effects essed and mitigation measures established as appropriate, as part of cess.

to the proposed design and installation of the OCS along the corridor design phase. As a result, additional design details will be presented to EA consultation round for comments/feedback.

be installed over tracks that are intended to be electrified for the

for the Overhead Contact System (OCS) for UP Express, applicable solutions were considered and applied in order to ensure the OCS s. The height/size of OCS portals were reduced to the extent possible bute. However, it is noted that vertical clearances along the corridor siderations such as double-stacked freight trains that also operate andardizing the portal structure design as much as possible offers , and maximizes ease/efficiency of maintaining the OCS throughout

 Team will be involved in reviewing potential options for portal hase.







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Rolling Stock and OCS	Interest in understanding the technology that would be used for the rolling stock, the speed of the rolling stock, and general questions about the traction power system.	Metrolinx is purchasing DMUs for the UP process was that DMUs could be converted Vehicles for the Union Pearson Express h Currently being manufactured, the first veh The vehicle's top speed is 152 km/h. Howev restrictions, curvature.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Safety	What safeguards will Metrolinx impose on properties adjacent to electrified catenary system?	As part of the EA process, impact assessme noise, vibration, etc.) related to UP Express impact assessment studies will consider por will be based on the preliminary design for required in order to minimize or mitigate po
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Safety	So long as it is safe for everyone, we have no comment on that.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Bridges	May need to rebuild Wallace Ave bridge when installing the bridge barrier for electrification.	Comment noted. The proposed bridge mo electrification will be refined as part of the properties of the
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Bridges	John/King/Church, where it comes out of the tunnel, need a bridge barrier	Comment noted. The proposed bridge mo electrification will be refined as part of the proposed bridge the properties of t
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Bridges	City/MX: need a pedestrian connection between Weston Rd and the No Frills/Rec Centre; would like a bridge instead of proposed tunnel – may need bridge barriers	Comment noted. Metrolinx consulted with the community o of the John Street Pedestrian Bridge is antic There are currently no plans for Metrolina concerns. However, the City of Toronto ha including pedestrian bridges in Liberty Vi contact the City of Toronto.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Bridges	I liked the bridge protection and railway crossing safety concerns are critical so no one sees it as an opportunity to 'jump'.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Electrical Supply	What are the possible drains on the electrical grid in relation to the power used for the railway?	The high-voltage (230 kV) grid of Hydro C requirements of UP Express railway electr anticipated to cause adverse effects on residential).
Via email	Other - GTS Project	I I am certain that you have noticed a perimeter fence around the property erected by Metrolinx Project. In addition, the entire corner is used to load and unload gravel, sand and other materials.	It is noted that this comment pertains to the Electrification project scope.

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

• Express service that will start in 2015. Part of that procurement to EMU – that is still part of the plan.

have been purchased from the Sumitomo Corporation of America. icle is expected to arrive in early 2014.

ver there will be factors on achieving that i.e., track conditions, speed

ents will be carried out to assess the potential effects (e.g., land use, electrification, and to identify mitigation measures as required. The tential construction related effects as well as operational effects and UP Express electrification. Mitigation measures will be developed as operatial adverse effects.

difications (including bridge barriers) required as part of UP Express preliminary design phase.

difications (including bridge barriers) required as part of UP Express preliminary design phase.

on design options for the John Street Pedestrian Bridge. Construction cipated to begin in 2014.

ix to build additional bridges across the corridor because of safety as a number of proposed projects in various stages of development illage. For more information on future pedestrian bridges, please

One has very high capacity as compared to the power and energy rification; therefore electrification of the UP Express service is not the power quality supplied to other customers (commercial and

he Georgetown South project, and is not included in the UP Express







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
		It's unsightly!	This comment was forwarded to the Metrol
		My doctors and residential tenants are complaining.	interested person to discuss their comments
		The dust, sand, gravel and dirt flows along the road and gutters and is rarely cleaned. Mud is being tracked into the building. Patients, especially the disabled are having a difficult time accessing doctor's offices.	
		Why must the front of the property be used as a construction centre? Can it be moved to the railway lands? There is plenty of space there! We have tolerated the noise and dirt and filth for months. Tenants are threatening to leave!	
		Please do something or inform me who to contact.	
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Other - GTS Project	Pollution from construction equipment on the GTS corridor	It is noted that the UP Express Electrification activities. The effects assessment and Environmental Project Report. The results consultation round for feedback.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Other – GTS Project	Current trains have horns going off. Will the level of horns etc. decrease when the level crossings are removed?	Yes however, please note that all train cre (CROR) to sound the horn in the interest frequency, is federally regulated by Transport Please note that all train crews are governe the horn in the interest of public safety. The regulated by Transport Canada
Open House #3 Mimico	Other - GTS Project	Noise impacts related to GTS construction –participants expressed concerns related to ongoing noise impacts along the corridor.	Construction related impacts of the GTS p Notwithstanding this, it is noted that the po will be assessed as part of the EA and mitig adverse effects on nearby residents.
Open House #3 Mimico	Other – GTS Project	There were issues with noise from existing trains in the Mimico area.	Comment noted. It is noted that this comme
Open House #3 Mimico	Other – GTS Project	Request for more information regarding the location of the new noise walls.	It is noted that this comment pertains to the Electrification project scope. There were Noise Wall Advisory Committee Eight Community Advisory Committees (CAC the Humber River Bridge. Each of the eight work or reside within 200 metres of the G <sup>-</sup> City of Toronto staff and local elected officia

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

linx Community Office in Weston, who followed up directly with the s/concerns.

n EA will consider and assess potential effects related to construction proposed mitigation measures will be documented within the s of the impact assessments will be presented as part of the next

ews are governed by the Federal Canadian Railway Operating Rules of public safety. The need to blow the horn, its noise level and ort Canada.

ed by the Federal Canadian Railway Operating Rules (CROR) to sound he need to blow the horn, its noise level and frequency, is federally

project are outside the scope of the UP Express Electrification EA. otential construction related effects due to UP Express electrification gation measures will be developed to reduce or eliminate potential

ent does not pertain to the UP Express Electrification project scope.

he Georgetown South project, and is not included in the UP Express

ees to help guide the design of noise walls in each neighbourhood. Cs) have been established along the corridor, from Bathurst Street to at Community Advisory Committees is comprised of individuals who TS corridor, representative(s) from local organizations such as BIAs, als.







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
			Additional information is available on Metro
			http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/default.a
Open House #3 Mimico	Other – GTS Project	Confusion over current GTS construction and UP Express Electrification EA consultation process.	To provide clarification, the Georgetown So along the existing GO Kitchener rail corride Station to the Airport is one component of t
			In contrast, the purpose of the Union-Pe project is to convert the UP Express trains f June 2013 were part of the consultation pro
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other – GTS Project	Weston revitalization of our core should try to compensate for the loss all businesses along Weston are suffering at the moment with no access for residents to try to navigate the blockages to get there presently.	It is noted that this comment pertains to the be contacted directly at:
			Georgetown South Project 20 Bay Street, Suite 600 Toronto, ON M5J 2W3 416-406-0489 gts@gotransit.com
			Additional information is also available on N http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/default.a
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other – GTS Project	Do you really need a 4 <sup>th</sup> track? 3 tracks should be enough even for off peak	The electrified UP Express service will opera 4 <sup>th</sup> track will be evaluated as part of conside
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other – GTS Project	Would like to see a soundproofing wall built along Weston Road beside the tracks north of Rogers Rd; would like to use the latest technology to decrease the noise and vibration when building the tracks and trains.	It is noted that this comment pertains to t Electrification project scope.
			However, it should be noted that there were walls in each neighbourhood, eight Comm corridor, from Bathurst Street to the Humbe comprised of individuals who work or reside organizations such as BIAs, City of Toronto s
			Additional information is available on Metro http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/default.a
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other - GTS Project	Extreme concern with carcinogens and particulate matter; the 25% tier 4 will not catch, is lethal	To provide clarification, the scope of the

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

olinx's website:

#### <u>ispx</u>

buth (GTS) project is currently under construction at various locations or. The implementation of the new UP Express service from Union the GTS project.

earson (UP) Express Electrification Environmental Assessment (EA) from diesel power to electric power. The Public Open Houses held in ocess being carried out for the UP Express Electrification EA project. e Georgetown South project. It is recommended that the GTS team

Aetrolinx's website:

ate on the same tracks as the non-electrified service. The need for a ering future service expansion within the Kitchener corridor.

he Georgetown South project and is not included in the UP Express

ere Noise Wall Advisory Committees to help guide the design of noise nunity Advisory Committees (CACs) have been established along the per River Bridge. Each of the eight Community Advisory Committees is de within 200 metres of the GTS corridor, representative(s) from local staff and local elected officials.

olinx's website: Ispx

UP Express Electrification project is to replace the diesel powered







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
		and a seriously compromised air quality for the health of cancer patients, children with smaller lung capacities and the elderly.	trains that will initially operate on the UP Ex air quality impacts are anticipated in relation
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other – GTS Project	Air quality stations must be manned for measuring and strictly enforced service cuts if they exceed an already dangerous situation for those of us breathing this air 24/7.	Comment noted. It that this comment pertains to air qualit South Corridor. With this in mind, Ambien http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/docs/AAM • We're committed to delivering the service will launch with state-of-the-art Ti emissions standard set by the U.S. Environr particulate emissions by 90% and nitrogen o • In addition, UP Express will take up congestion and improving air quality.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other – GTS Project	Live in the area and very concerned with the noise and all the street closures and wonder when it will all end	Metrolinx committed to completing the GTS our neighbours. We are in the home stretch have any concerns, please contact the West
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other – GTS Project	House was damaged by construction, the garage and some cracks inside the house; they say that they are going to do it, or fix it or replace it if necessary and we're looking forward to that; main concern now is what if something happens in my house in the future, due to so much vibration; can we assume that your company will be responsible for that?	This comment pertains to a previous/ongo these types of claims are handled through G to construction damage.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other – GTS Project	There is a health concern because my brother-in-law is a diabetic and had heart surgery a couple of years ago.	Comment noted.
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other – GTS Project	Worries about vibration from GTS construction.	It is noted that this comment pertains to the Electrification project scope. It is recommen <b>Georgetown South Project</b> 20 Bay Street, Suite 600 Toronto, ON M5J 2W3 416-406-0489 <u>gts@gotransit.com</u>

### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

xpress line with electric powered EMU trains. As a result, no adverse n to the UP Express electrification undertaking.

ity monitoring stations currently operating within the Georgetown

nt Air Monitoring and Reporting Plan here: MRP\_Final.pdf

e UP Express service in an environmentally responsible manner. The ier 4 diesel multiple units. Tier 4 is the strictest non-road engine mental Protection Agency (EPA). Tier 4 technology reduces airborne oxides (NOx) by 80%.

to 1.2 million car trips off the road in the first year alone – reducing

S Project as soon as possible with as little disturbance as possible to n of the project with completion scheduled for the end of 2014. If you ton community office at 416-241-2300 or gts@gotransit.com.

bing GO construction project. Notwithstanding this, it is noted that GO Transit's established process to receive and review claims related

e Georgetown South project, and is not included in the UP Express nded that the GTS team be contacted directly at:







Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Topic /Issue Raised Question/Comment	
			Additional information is also available on N http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/default.a
			Also, GTS Noise and Vibration Report can be <a href="http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/docs/GTS">http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/docs/GTS</a>
Via email	Other	Summary of Recommendations General	Information can be obtained via the website
		<ul> <li>More rail, less light rail</li> <li>Integration CN/CP solitudes into one optimized GO grid</li> </ul>	Metrolinx's vision for the Big Move: http://w
		<ul> <li>More users through incentives &amp; Smartphone dispatched ride sharing to/from Go Stations</li> <li>Manner of Funding issue should not detract from Re-Examining Plan Fundamentals</li> <li>Federal funding of public transit should take precedents over defense spending</li> <li>Specific</li> <li>Main/Danforth 'Mobility Hub' similar to Dundas West/Bloor Hub by 2015</li> <li>Downtown Relief Line (DRL) already exists in the Railway Corridor</li> <li>Prioritize Mid-town (CP) Rail Corridor Electrification (Summerhill Hub)</li> <li>Initiative a pilot project for Smart-phone based On-Demand ride sharing</li> <li>Simulation/Modeling based on forecasting of traffic demand to better anticipate growth-patterns</li> </ul>	<u>Investment strategy information:</u> http://ww
Open House #1 – Viscount Rd (Airport)	Other	Environmental impacts of shipping locomotives from Japan	This topic is outside the scope of the UP Exp
Open House #2 Metro O Hall	Other	<ul> <li>Opportunities for more stations for future integrated transit system e.g.</li> <li>Eglinton Crosstown</li> <li>St Clair TTC</li> <li>Islington / Kipling</li> </ul>	The design and project scope for the open Express will connect Union Station and Ter and Weston stations along GO's Kitchener c The 25-minute trip will offer residents, tou
		Can the electrification be leveraged for Downtown Keller Line (subway etc.)?	airport that is fast, reliable, convenient and will increase the total travel time, there and express.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Other	<ul> <li>Proposal of a regional rail network approach to increasing public transit usage, comparable to those found in Zurich and Stuttgart. The submission makes a case for using existing rail corridors in the GTA to increase public transit facilities and capacity. Three rail corridors suggested: <ol> <li>The Downtown Relief Line</li> <li>Mid Town Line: Etobicoke – Junction – Summerhill – Don Mills – Agincourt – Markham</li> <li>Bramalea – Markham</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Comment noted. Consideration of new me network are part of additional studies w Electrification EA.
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Other	Need to co-ordinate with West Toronto Rail Path to maximize Rail Path potential, including possible pedestrian/cycle bridge connecting ward 14 and 18 in Lansdowne/Sorauren Park area	As part of the EA process, Metrolinx is co current land uses as well as how future/plar

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

Aetrolinx's website: Ispx

e accessed online:

S Operational\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Assessment-Main\_Report.pdf e links provided below.

www.bigmove.ca/what-is-the-big-move

ww.bigmove.ca/report

press Electrification EA.

ning day of the UP Express service in 2015 has been determined. UP rminal 1 at Toronto Pearson Airport, with two station stops at Bloor corridor (formerly known as the Georgetown line).

urists and business travellers with a high-quality connection to the comfortable. Adding more stations stops along the UP Express route fore taking away from the purpose of the service as being direct

ethods/programs to increase public transit usage of the regional rail hich are underway but are outside the scope of the UP Express

bordinating with the City of Toronto to assess potential effects on nned land uses (e.g., Railpath) will be considered.







Source	Source Topic /Issue Raised Question/Comment		How Comn	
			Furthermore, Metrolinx is engaging with community organizations to help accommo corridor, where possible.	
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Other	Why are current diesel locomotives noisier that the older ones?	The newer locomotives do have a distinct locomotives, it is understandable that the influence sound levels such as environment Specific to the new MP40 engines, GO Tran	
			for locomotives used in the United States, These new engines do have a different so model engines, however the noise emissio MP40 locomotives. The new engines are additional sound pollution over our older m as well as provide GO Transit the ability to r per train.	
Open House #2 Metro Hall	Other	What is the timing to electrify Lakeshore?	The electrification of Lakeshore is depend currently under review. Therefore, the timi	
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other	Another stop in south Bathurst to serve Toronto Island Airport, considering service and connectivity in and out of both airports will likely increase as more airlines create new local routes, this will make easy transfers between airports; e.g. Windsor/Sarnia to Island, train to YYZ to Paris, etc.	The design and project scope for the open connect Union Station and Terminal 1 at To stations along GO's Kitchener corridor (form The 25-minute trip will offer residents, top	
			airport that is fast, reliable, convenient and will increase the total travel time, therefor express.	
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other	Make future provisions for green energy, solar and wind (like small ones used on Boston Airport).	Comment noted.	
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other	The Minister of Health calls it a danger, David Suzuki expressed on record his concern; thousands of members of the community have expressed outrage from Day one.	Comment noted.	
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other	When will we know if \$400 million will even be committed and budgeted and designated for electrification of the Georgetown Line; it is needed ASAP.	Based on the Electrification Study, the estir approximately \$440 million (2010 dollars). currently underway. Funding has not yet be	
Open House #4 Mount Dennis	Other	Residents will not hold out for long after diesel opens.	Comment noted.	

#### nent was Considered by Metrolinx

the West Toronto Railpath group, the City of Toronto and other odate the Railpath's plan to build its path adjacent to GO's Kitchener

tive sound. Especially if a person is used to hearing the F59 model ne new sound will be noticed. There are many variables that can tal conditions, surrounding buildings, acceleration/deceleration, etc.

nsit has voluntarily complied with the latest noise level standards set , as Canada does not currently stipulate standards for railroad use. , bund signature (pitch) and may appear to be nosier than the older on level is comparable between the old model engines and the new 20 percent more powerful and are not outputting/ emitting any model. These have been introduced to improve on time performance response to increased customer demand by carrying more passengers

dent on funding, which is part of the Investment Strategy that is ng is dependent on this review.

ing day of the service in 2015 has been determined. UP Express will oronto Pearson Airport, with two station stops at Bloor and Weston herly known as the Georgetown line).

urists and business travellers with a high-quality connection to the comfortable. Adding more stations stops along the UP Express route re taking away from the purpose of the service as being direct and

mated incremental capital cost to electrify the UP Express corridor is This estimate will be refined during the preliminary design that is een confirmed and is subject to the Metrolinx Investment Strategy.







### 8.2.5 Notice of Commencement

In accordance with Section 7 of *O. Reg. 231/08*, a Notice of Commencement was issued to publicly announce the start of the TPAP phase of the project. The notice was issued to the following stakeholders:

- Property owners within 30 m of the project study area
  - $\circ$  A list of property owners within 30 m of the study area was obtained through Teranet<sup>1</sup>.
  - The process of notifying property owners also included distribution of the Notice of Commencement to condominium/apartment buildings along the study area/corridor for posting in the common areas of the buildings for resident's information.
- Director, Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch, Ministry of the Environment (the Director)
- Director, Central Region, Ministry of the Environment
- Aboriginal Peoples (as identified through the bodies specified by the Director)
- Review Agencies
- Public/Stakeholders (including members of the public, other stakeholders, anyone who had previously expressed an interest in the project/submitted comments/attended Public Open House sessions/meetings), and
- Elected Officials.

In addition, the Notice of Commencement was published in the following newspapers which have circulation in the areas along the study area/corridor:

- Metro News Toronto
- L'Express de Toronto
- Toronto le Metropolitan (Brampton)
- Mississauga News
- York Guardian
- Parkdale Liberty Villager
- North York Mirror
- City Centre Mirror
- Etobicoke Guardian

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Teranet owns and operates Ontario's Electronic Land Registration System (ELRS) and facilitates the delivery of electronic land registration services on behalf of the Province. The ELRS is the means by which ownership of real property and interests on title are searched, recorded and transferred in the Province of Ontario.







The Notice of Commencement was also posted to the project website (http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/en/default.aspx), as well as within the Georgetown South newsletter (which is circulated to approximately 3300 contacts).

A copy of the Notice of Commencement is contained in **Appendix J-4**.

### 8.2.6 Public Open Houses (January/February 2014)

Four Public Open House sessions were held at various locations along the corridor between January 30<sup>th</sup> and February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2014 during TPAP Phase of the EA. Four different venues were selected as follows, in order to provide locations that were reasonably distributed along the 25 km length of the UP Express route, and based on feedback from the public/stakeholders regarding venue locations from the first round of Public Open Houses:

Tuesday, January 30, 2014	Monday, February 3, 2014	Tuesday, February 4, 2014	Monday, February 10, 2014
Islington Evangel Centre	Locus 144 Restaurant	York West Active Living	Lithuanian House
49 Queen's Plate Drive	171 East Liberty Street	Centre	1573 Bloor Street West
Etobicoke, ON	Unit 144	1901 Weston Road	Toronto, ON
6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m.	Toronto, ON	Weston, ON	6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m.
	6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m.	6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m.	

The purpose of the January/February 2014 Public Open Houses was to share a project update on the design and environmental assessment work completed since the previous June 2013 Open Houses, and seek feedback on the following:

- Overview of Preliminary Design for Union Pearson (UP) Express Electrification:
  - Traction Power Supply (Hydro One)
  - Traction Power Distribution
  - Maintenance Requirements
  - Construction Activities
- EA Studies (including potential environmental effects, mitigation measures)
- Next Steps/Timelines

The open houses were advertised broadly including: Parkdale Liberty Villager, City Centre Mirror, Etobicoke Guardian, York Guardian, Mississauga News, Bloor West Villager, North York Mirror, Metro News Toronto, L'Express de Toronto, Toronto le Metropolitain (Brampton) so that the public was made aware of the multiple date and location options. In addition, the Public Open House Notice was published in the Georgetown South Monthly Newsletter which is circulated to approximately 3300 contacts.





In addition to newspaper ads, the open house notice was posted on Metrolinx's website, and invitation emails/letters were sent to the project contact list which included over 1,000 contacts: elected officials, review agencies, public/stakeholders, property owners, and aboriginal peoples. In addition, individually addressed letters were mailed directly to identified property owners within 30 m of the study area. A copy of the Notice was also sent directly to the management offices of a number of condominium/apartment residences located along the Union Station Rail Corridor portion of the study area, with a request to post copies of the Notice in the common areas of these buildings (e.g., mail room, elevators, etc.). The January/February 2014 Public Open Houses were held jointly by Metrolinx and Hydro One.

As the Traction Power Supply components of the UP Express Electrification project are being assessed by Hydro One under the Class EA for Minor Transmission, it was beneficial to hold joint Public Open House sessions with Metrolinx in order to provide the public with a complete understanding of the project, including how the power supply and power distribution components will be implemented.

For a summary of the information and materials presented by Hydro One at the open house, please refer to: Hydro One Union Pearson Express Traction Power Substation Class Environmental Assessment - Draft Environmental Study Report.

With respect to the format of the sessions, attendees were welcomed by the project team, asked to sign-in and provided with a comment sheet. Attendees were encouraged to discuss questions with the project team and to provide written comments and feedback via the comment sheets. A total of 50 Metrolinx/Hydro One presentation boards were displayed around the room with members of the project team on-hand to answer questions and provide further detail about the project. A copy of the display board material was also posted on Metrolinx's project website.

The display panels presented the following key information:

- Overview of Preliminary Design for Union Pearson (UP) Express Electrification
- Description of Traction Power Supply System
- Description of Traction Power Distribution System
- EMU Maintenance Facility design
- Grounding and bonding requirements
- Summary of environmental impact assessment studies
- Proposed construction activities
- Artistic renderings of OCS design
- Commitments to Future Work
- Next Steps/Timelines

PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF

8-36


A total of 117 people attended the four open houses including: local politicians, local business owners, professional/educational interests, stakeholders and members of the public.

**Appendix J-5** contains a copy of the January/February 2014 Public Open House Summary Report which includes a copy of the newspaper ad, email/letter invitation, sign-in sheet, and display panels. Appendix J-5 also contains copies of the comment forms from the POH.

## 8.2.6.1 Summary of Public Comments Received (January/February POH)

In general, strong support for the UP Express Electrification project has been expressed by the public. In addition, some of the key topics raised as part of the comments/feedback received at the January/February 2014 POHs included but were not limited to the following:

- Commitment to electrification
- Timing of implementation/why was electrification not included into the 2015 time frame
- Cost of electrification
- Inquires related to EA process timelines
- Suggestions for opportunities for more stations (e.g., Mt. Dennis, Liberty Village)
- Future electrification of other GO Transit rail corridors
- Questions related to how the system would be protected in the case of inclement weather (e.g. ice storm of 2013)
- Questions regarding EMI and EMF effects
- Would like to see more visuals/renderings of the electrified system
- Suggestions to consider alternative technologies (e.g., wind power, "steam motion" technology)
- General support for electrification

**Table 8-2** summarizes the key issues/comments/questions raised by members of the public as part of the TPAP phase, including the January/February 2014 Public Open Houses, and how they were considered by Metrolinx. **Appendix J-6** contains copies of public correspondence.





## TABLE 8-2 SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS (TPAP PHASE) AND HOW THEY WERE CONSIDERED BY METROLINX

Source	Topic /Issue Raised	Question/Comment	How Comm
Open House #3 Weston	Support for the Project	I must congratulate you on a very comprehensive design and on beginning a project to fruition that was long overdue, i.e. the downtown to airport rail link	Thanks for your support for the project.
Open House #3 Weston	Support for the Project	A very good explanation and understanding of the different obstacles, especially at bridges.	Thanks for your support for the project.
Open House #4 Junction	Support for the Project	Looks good! Glad to see serious planning for electrification. Now I'm hoping for funding and moving on, very soon, into the building phase. Thanks for the open house!	Thanks for your support for the project.
Via email	Support for the Project	It makes me happy to see that Metrolinx is looking at electrifying the tracks. Diesel is such an old and dirty way of fuelling. Now if only you would consider joining the express with the Eglinton LRT and Bloor subway line! To me it doesn't make sense to not join the lines now. It is inevitable that it will be done. It would be far more cost efficient to do it now rather than 5-10 years from now.	Comments noted. Thanks for your support for
Open House #4 Junction	Support for the Project	I think that the UP Express was a very good idea because it takes over an hour to get to the airport by TTC.	Thanks for your support for the project.
Via email	Support for the Project	If it is indeed decided by the powers that be in the case of the Metrolinx rail system to be used in Toronto chose to utilize diesel commuter trains, as opposed to the safer, healthier electric technology which already has been selected- this would indeed be a 'wrongdoing' regarding public health, safety and security.	Comments noted. Thanks for your support for

# UP Express Electrification Transit Project Assessment Environmental Project Report

ent was Considered by Metrolinx				
or the project.				
or the project.				







Via email	Project Timelin Implementation	e and	<ul> <li>We are decades behind most other countries in infrastructure projects (I've just come back from Hong Kong and weep at our inability to get things done).</li> <li>Why on earth is an environmental assessment necessary to erect electricity wires over an existing railway track? And what on earth does it have to do with First Nations people? Electrical rail traction is known throughout the world to be vastly superior to diesel in terms of environmental impact. All those living close to the track will benefit from reduced noise. Travellers will benefit from faster times (better acceleration) and the air will benefit from less diesel fumes. SO JUST DO IT!!!!!!!!!!!!</li> <li>The only political issue is, and should be, cost. The only reason it was built as a diesel line in the first place was cost, and the fact that finally (several decades late) politicians realized that we needed to do it fast to avoid being the laughing stock of the world (or at least the Americas) by having no rail link to the airport in time for the Pan Am Games.</li> <li>If this were Beijing, or Hong Kong, or Singapore, or any major Chinese or European city, the electrified line would already exist and be on the way to being obsolete by now. If it needed to be built, it would be done in a year or less. My guess is that I won't live to see this line electrified (I'm 75).</li> <li>It's all so sad for this once great city. It's political correctness and over-regulation gone mad.</li> </ul>	Firstly, the requirement for Metrolinx to ca corridor is prescribed in Ontario Undertakings( <u>http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca</u> Environmental Assessment Act. Schedule 1 the completion of an Environmental Assess on existing commuter rail corridor and assoc In addition, O. Reg. 231/08 requires Metro owners, Review Agencies, other sta communities. Specifically, Consultation Se with Aboriginal communities as part of the "
Open House #3 Weston	Project Timelin Implementation	e and	Why was electrification not included into the time frame for completing by 2014 or 2015?	The UP Express Electrification EA is targeted in April 2014. Once the EA is approved, further funding v conducting the final, detailed engineering, like substations and catenary, and commiss
Via email	Project Timelin Implementation	e and	Does the government intend to electrify by 2017 as "promised" by Minister Murray? When will the EA be finished? - What then?	The UP Express Electrification Environment Environment in April 2014. Then the project and funding, the estimated construction ph The EA document (Environmental Project R 1, 2014. Then there is a 30 day review peri the Transit Project Assessment Process, environmental approvals, the project need of the infrastructure required for electrifica

arrying out an Environmental Assessment to electrify an existing rail Regulation 231/08 – Transit Projects and Metrolinx a/html/regs/english/elaws regs 080231 e.htm) under the Ontario 1, Subsection 2 (1) 7 of O. Reg. 231/08 is the regulation that requires assessment for electrification: Electrification of rail equipment propulsion pociated power distribution system.

olinx to consult with interested persons (including public, property akeholders) on the proposed project, including aboriginal ection 8 within *O. Reg. 231/08* includes the requirement to consult Transit Project Assessment Process.

d for submission to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) for review

will need to be identified to move to the next steps, which would be procurement of electric trains, constructing the fixed infrastructure sioning/testing the new system.

ental Assessment is targeted for submission to the Ministry of the ct will require EA approval and funding. Depending on the EA decision nase would be approximately three years.

Report) is to be submitted to the Ministry of the Environment on April riod for public and review agency omment. Following this, as part of there is a 35-day Minister review period, Following the required ds to be funded prior to starting the detailed design and construction ation.







			Can the Diesel Multiple Unit trains on order really be converted to electric as shown in previous Metrolinx presentations?	Yes, Metrolinx is purchasing DMUs for the process was that DMUs could be conve convertible from diesel to electric propulsio
			Yes or No, are electric trains quieter than diesel trains like other rail services claim?	The noise is distinctly different, and margin
			Is Metrolinx considering the lighter European trains that CalTrain is using which are even more quieter than North American electric trains?	No. We are required to be FRA (Federal Ra for Metrolinx.
			Would there be a need for sound walls if we had electric trains?	Yes. This line will see an increase of train future GO Train service. The noise walls ar traffic. For further information p GTS_Noise_Impacts_with_Electrification_U
			Is \$400M still the estimate for the upfront costs of electrification?	As part of the Electrification Study, the c refining these cost estimates throughout th
			Are you talking to the TTC about possible use of line as western leg of relief line?	No, not as part of this EA.
			How close can new stations be built along the line with electric locomotion? What locations are being considered?	The UP Express will be a dedicated Union introduction of electric locomotion does r other. Currently there are four stops/stati Express Bloor, UP Express Weston, and UP I time.
			Will Metrolinx be applying the federal Building Canada Fund for funding to electrify?	The process for applying to the Fund is coor
Open House #3 Weston	Project Tii Implementati	meline an on	d Not that tunnels and trenches still open will you at least "rough in" the infrastructure for electrification rather than go through this much more complicated, expensive, and lengthy	Where possible, electrification infrastructu Bloor and Weston Stations have included th

e UP Express service that will start in 2015. Part of that procurement erted to EMU. The vehicles are designed and constructed to be on.

ally quieter.

ailroad Administration) compliant and therefore this is not an option

n traffic due to the introduction of both UP Express and increase to re meant to benefit local communities based on the increase in train please see: http://www.gotransit.com/gts/en/docs/201303-JP\_GO.pdf

cost estimate to electrify UP Express was \$440 million. We will be he preliminary design process.

n-Pearson link intended specifically for airport-oriented traffic. The not have an impact on how close the stations can be built to each cions associated with the UP Express service: UP Express Union, UP Express Pearson. No additional locations are being considered at this

rdinated through the Government of Ontario.

ure has been incorporated into the construction As an example both he Grounding & Bonding necessary for electrification.







		process of retrofitting electrification?	
Open House #2 Liberty Village	Project Timeline and Implementation	Current speculation by the Ontario government suggests electrification to be under construction by 2017. There should be more clarification as to what timeline would be feasible.	The UP Express Electrification EA is targeted in April 2014.
			Once the EA is approved, further funding v conducting the final, detailed engineering,
Via email	Project Timeline and Implementation	Metrolinx Investment Strategytell me all about this please. It sounds like the money isn't in place yet for electrification project.	Electrification of the Lakeshore Corridor, an projects in the Next Wave of The Big Move Investment Strategy discussion regarding N For additional information on the Investment
			http://www.metrolinx.com/en/regionalplan
Via email	Project Timeline and Implementation	I'm enclosing my suggestions for expediting the electrification eastward to the Main/Danforth TTC/GO interchanger. This mobility hub together with the one at Dundas West hub will complete the trajectory of the TTC's proposed DRL at much less cost and in an earlier timeframe I hope?	Comments noted.
Via email	Project Timeline and Implementation	I am writing with respect to the ad regarding "Union Pearson Express Electrification Environmental Assessment" which recently appeared in the Etobicoke Guardian. This is an issue that has concerned me for a number of years since we learned of the connection between Union Station and Pearson.	Thank you for your support for the UP Exp the project team.
		My Ward (1) runs along rite west side of the I-lumber River and will be impacted by the originally proposed diesel trains running to Pearson. The concern has been the frequency of the trains and the amount of diesel fumes that will he emitted. I am in full support of the electrification of this line and in the past have expressed this support to other parties involved in this process.	
		In the past I chaired the restoration of Union Station and in doing so I had the opportunity to visit the Grand Central Station in New York. I was impressed with the fact that as New York does not allow diesel trains to come within the city boundaries, goods must he transferred to electric trains. This makes good sense to me in dense urbanized areas.	
		I respectfully request that you convey my support for electrification to those involved in this project.	
Via email	Project Implementation and Timeline	I would recommend electrification versus diesel trains for interurban transportation, such as the ones in existence in Europe and the United States.	Your comment regarding you
		I don't understand all the studying going on without action. The biggest factor we may have is	With respect to your second comment, in Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP)

ed for submission to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) for review

will need to be identified to move to the next steps, which would be procurement of electric trains, constructing the fixed infrastructure sioning/testing the new system.

nd the electrification of the UP Express, had been identified as priority e projects. Funding and timing of this project will become part of the lext Wave projects.

ent Strategy and Next Wave Projects, please visit our website: anning/funding/investment\_strategy.aspx

press Electrification project. Your comments have been conveyed to

ur support for electrified trains is noted.

n terms of the study process, Metrolinx is required to carry out the in accordance with the Ontario Environmental Assessment Act prior







			climate. Canadian must become more embracing of new technology whether its transport or	to implementing/constructing the UP Expre
			other things. Unfortunately we seem to have quite a strong resistance to change overall.	
Open House #2	Project Timeline	and	The future will require independent electric suppliers along all railway lines. The power lines will	Thank you for the comment. Metrolinx ha
Liberty Village	Implementation		be required to be replaced. I believe that windmills along the lines should be installed today for	high-voltage grid (which incorporates powe
			the future. We have the technology.	
Via email	Project Timeline	and	I was so pleased to get an email from Metrolinx (Georgetown South Project) and read in my local	Comments noted.
	Implementation		York Guardian - Dec. 5/2013 issue that FINALLY maybe some progressive ideas are truly being	
			considered for the Union-Pearson Express line due to open in time for the Pan American Games	
			in 2015.	
			Toronto should be a forward looking city, not one that lives in the past. When a brand new train	
			line especially one that visitors are quite likely to use is put into service in the 21st	
			century one would expect the environment would be front & centre when building it and	
			operating it Having diesel trains, even the most modern going every 15 minutes	
			in each direction throughout the day (and night) contributing pollution	
			(and noise) to the neighbourhoods it runs through is NOT the way public	
			transit should be.	
			The notice did not mention if the goal is to have the electrification in place for 'day one' of public	
			operations. I truly hope it is. It seems to me that there's been so much 'stone walling' against	
			electrification that one might think it's 'political' especially given all the messes one sees at all	
			levels of governments these days. Whatever, I don't really care as long as we indeed see positive	
			results in favour of electrification and the prompt implementation of such a program	
			beginning with the Union-Pearson Express and eventually having the entire GO system running	
			on cleaner electric power.	
			I find it rather ironic that I keep hearing we are having to pay other jurisdictions to get rid of our	
			extra power and yet have been also hearing, up until this announcement, that diesel is the only	
			way to go for the near future. Having travelled much throughout the world and especially to	
			continental Europe, I know that 'cleaner running' trains are not in the future, they are here	
			and now, and many indeed are made by the Canadian manufacturer Bombardier. So let's 'get	
			with the green script' and 'all aboard' for a environmentally forward looking system of mass	
			transit in the GTA !!	
Via email	Project Timeline	and	Just wondering if you have a standard introductory set of information on this, what are next	We have added you to our mailir
	Implementation		steps/what is status of UPEX EA, and can you please add me to mailing list of future	
			notices/correspondence?	Regarding your inquiries, please see the
		÷		background information, it can be found he
Open House #3	Project Timeline	and	Incorporate portal/cantilever structures, and any additional hardware structures;	The trains which have been purchased for
Weston	Implementation		Need to electrify in the Weston corridor before UP is running in 2015. Access to the empty rail	Intrastructure improvements currently under
			corridor would accommodate work without interfering with the operation of GO and UP lines.	electrification.

ess Electrification project.

has decided that a reliable supply of power tapped directly from the er from many renewable sources) is necessary for its trains.

ing list so that you will receive future project updates.

e electrification webpage as the starting point for the introductory ere: <u>http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/en/default.aspx</u> for UP Express can be converted to electric, and where possible, derway on the Georgetown South Corridor are being built to allow for







Open House #3	Project Timeline and	The project timeline of summer 2015 is realistic and feasible for the initial diesel service. The next	The UP Express Electrification EA is targeted
Weston	Implementation	step depends on financing from the governments and the timeline is 2016? 2017?	on April 1, 2014.
0	Device the Transform and		Once the EA is approved, further funding v conducting the final, detailed engineering, like substations and catenary, and commiss
Weston	Implementation	UP Express	improvements currently underway on the of for electrification.
Open House #3 Weston	Project Timeline and Implementation	Why are we not electrifying now?	The UP Express Electrification EA is targeted on April 1, 2014.
			Once the EA is approved, further funding v conducting the final, detailed engineering, like substations and catenary, and commiss
Open House #4	Project Timeline and	Don't set up or orchestrate false deadlines like your "arms-length" relationship with the Ontario	The UP Express Electrification EA is targeted
Junction	Implementation	government with the Pan AM excuse, Where's the financial stewardship.	on April 1, 2014.
Open House #4	Project Timeline and	Why are we even having this conversation when we know modern countries run electric and we	Once the EA is approved, further funding v conducting the final, detailed engineering, like substations and catenary, and commiss The UP Express service will launch with sta
Junction	Implementation	"cost" the healthcare system with the lethal and deadly consequences of a known and WHO carcinogen diesel?	non-road engine emissions standard set airborne particulate emissions by 90 per cei
Open House #4 Junction	Project Timeline and Implementation	It is not now funded. When will it be funded? Electrification now and make it a commuter train for the people of Toronto.	Electrification of the UP Express is included the Investment Strategy. It is not unusual t funding is committed to their implementati
Via email	Project Timeline and Implementation	It strikes me that physical provisions for platforms at a future Eglinton West GO Transit/UPE station, as well as for the requisite vertical links between the two stations will certainly require pre-planning, and I can only hope that adequate space for these components has been identified for protection. Attempting to add such facilities after operations begin would be prohibitively costly and disruptive.	Comments noted. The Mount Dennis/Eglin
Via email	Technology	I recommend you also look at battery powered EMU hybrid units. They offer recharging while on the grid and about 30+ miles of battery power. They could work well with your system and offer the ability to gain the benefit of electric technology while you build out the infrastructure.	The GO Electrification study included a revealed the GO Transit Network, it was determined information please see EStudy link
		Here are a few links to the technology now being tested in the UK and Japan.	http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/e

d for submission to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) for review

will need to be identified to move to the next steps, which would be procurement of electric trains, constructing the fixed infrastructure sioning/testing the new system.

for UP Express can be converted to electric, and infrastructure Georgetown South Corridor are, where possible, being built to allow

d for submission to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) for review

will need to be identified to move to the next steps, which would be procurement of electric trains, constructing the fixed infrastructure sioning/testing the new system.

d for submission to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) for review

will need to be identified to move to the next steps, which would be procurement of electric trains, constructing the fixed infrastructure sioning/testing the new system.

ate-of-the-art Tier 4 diesel multiple units. Tier 4 diesel is the strictest by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and reduces ent and nitrogen oxides (NOx) by 80 per cent.

d in the "Next Wave" of Metrolinx priorities and funding is subject to that Environmental Assessments are completed and approved before ion. Upon confirmation of funding, the project can proceed. nton station will be included in the Eglinton Crosstown project.

view of alternative technologies and based on the requirements for d that the 2x25k Overhead Contact system was the best fix. For more

en/current\_study/updates.aspx







		http://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=2&ved=0CDEQFjAB&url=h ttp://www.networkrail.co.uk/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=30064788652&ei=xm3oUqrUI MutsQSJq4HwBQ&usg=AFQjCNHkr0dnDKLB6a00GTLFlqUx7E01TQ&sig2=NNmg7EXB2Qr- _BxD4g6gNQ&bvm=bv.60157871,d.cWc http://www.railwaygazette.com/news/single-view/view/battery-emu-ready-to-start-testing.html	
Open House #3 Weston	Technology	I would like to see us work like German, be ingenious like the Japanese with levitation technology instead of the old "steam motion" technology and eliminate hydro right now.	The GO Electrification study included a rev the GO Transit Network, if was determined information please see EStudy link
Via email	Power supply for rolling stock	Use hydrogen fuels with condenser units to improve the gas mileage. Change to electric powered vehicles and monorail trains.	Comment noted.
Open House #3 Weston	Cost	It was very interesting and this was my first meeting. No details on costs of infrastructure or rolling stock and projects of date of completion.	The GO Transit Electrification Study (E-Study we complete the preliminary design for information see E-Study http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/e The UP Express Electrification EA is targeted in April 2014. Once the EA is approved, further funding w conducting the final, detailed engineering, like substations and catenary, and commissi
Open House #3 Weston	Cost	I feel that this 25-kilometer project, which I gather, may cost \$1.5 billion. They should not electrify but stick with the cleaner diesel engines, which I gather are less polluting and less noisy since the quality of diesel is now matching the emission standards. It would be good to move forward fast using existing engines and cars and study the ridership.	Metrolinx is committed to the electrificat selected following Metrolinx's compreher proceeding with electrification contingent o
Open House #3 Weston	Cost	Why is there no projected cost analysis done on this project and why has the feds, provincial, and municipal governments not jointly funded this project. I will like to see an elevated track horizontally across the city with few stops parallel.	The E-Study cost estimate is \$440 million. For http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/e
Open House #3 Weston	Cost	Is there any reason not to go ahead with electrification now even as the train running on diesel? Have you compared your costs with those in other parts of the world where electrification has recently been done? Do you have a travelling research team that studies rapid transit around the world? It would be smart money well spent.	Work is already underway, and we're on tra the corridor is protecting for future electrifi Metrolinx hired consultants who have imp are based on their experience.

view of alternative technologies and based on the requirements for d that the 2x25k Overhead Contact system was the best fix. For more

en/current\_study/updates.aspx

dy) estimated \$440 million for the electrification of the UP Express. As the UP Express, this cost estimate will be updated. For further

en/current\_study/updates.aspx

ed for submission to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) for review

will need to be identified to move to the next steps, which would be procurement of electric trains, constructing the fixed infrastructure sioning/testing the new system.

ation of GO Transit and UP Express services. These corridors were ensive Electrification Study completed in 2010 that recommended on funding availability.

For further information please refer to the E-Study:

en/current\_study/updates.aspx

ack to have UP Express up and running in 2015. Construction work on ication where possible.

plemented electrified railways around the world. The cost estimates







Via email	Rolling Stock	I know you are going to electrify a GO train line but I was wondering if you are going to use	Thank you for your support. For the UP Express trains, we have identi
		electric locomotives or electric multiple unit cars, what company they are going to be built by and what they are going to be called. I know that some people criticize you for using diesel trains	Facility at Resources Rd. will be an Electric N
		because diesel train pollute the air but people are forgetting that they should be grateful for the	As for GO Trains, the scope of the current
		efforts you are making to improve transit in the GTA such as: the GO trains and buses which are	trains.
		good for commuting people to other towns and cities, the upcoming UP express which will get	
		people to the Pearson airport in just 25 minutes, the upcoming LRT lines which will provided	In addition, a decision has not yet been mad
		Yive buses from getting stuck in traffic so Vive sustempts will get to their destination on time.	Regarding adverticing ideas As a governm
		really appreciate these efforts you are making to make commuting in the GTA easier, faster and	nrocess Please watch the Metroliny websit
		more convenient and everybody else should also be grateful. I believe that public transit is one of	process. Trease watch the metrolink websit
		the most important parts of a city. And just so you know, if you need me to come to a meeting to	
		talk about my advertising ideas just give me a date, time and place and I will be there. I have	
		thought of a whole bunch of ads and commercials and have written them down.	
Open House #1	Rolling Stock	Hydro infrastructure must be designed such that it is similar enough to other systems in use	Comment noted.
Highway 27 Area		around the world for ease of procuring rolling stock and locomotives. This will allow for	
		Metrolinx the best price after further electrification for the GO service.	
Via email	GO Trains	Is the system for electrification of the UP Express line the same intended for the GO trains?	The vehicles being purchased for the UP Exp
		Edinton Shennard and Finch lines?	The LRT system uses a different gauge track
Open House #1 Highway 27 Area	Train stops/stations	Don't forget to have lots of parking at the stations.	Comment noted.
Open House #1	Train stops/stations	Stop treating Weston as the only top priority – add Etobicoke as a stop or add a stop at Kipling.	Comment noted. The consideration of a
Highway 27 Area			Electrification EA.
Open House #2	Train stops/stations	After electrification has been completed, are there plans to add more stations in between?	Comment noted. The consideration of a
Liberty Village		Currently three are only two stations planned (Bloor and Weston), but electrification would allow	Electrification EA.
		for quicker acceleration and stations being brought closer together. There are two stations which	
		should be added. One at Mt. Dennis to connect with the Crosstown and the other at Liberty Village.	
Via email	Facility Sites	Please note that further to your public open houses, we have some serious concerns with respect	Letter response was sent as follows:
		to the propose TPS Site at 175 City View Drive, Toronto.	Thank you for your comments on the Uni
			project. We understand that you spoke w
		We and our employees are very concerned with the environmental impact as we are the adjoining property at the proposed TPS site.	We would be pleased to meet with you.

fied that the UP Express will be EMUs (the proposed Maintenance Multiple Unit Maintenance Facility).

: UP Express Electrification EA does no include electrification of GO

de whether the new trains will be EMUs or an electric locomotive.

ment agency, Metrolinx has to go through a mandated procurement te for advertising tenders that may be issued in the future.

press are compatible with the existing rail infrastructure used by GO.

and therefore requires different vehicles.

additional stops along the route is not part of the UP Express

additional stops along the route is not part of the UP Express

ion Pearson Express Electrification Traction Power Substation (TPS) vith members of our team at the public open house in late January.







		In addition we are not and were never interested in being property owners next to such a development, and the negative and adverse impact that will undoubtedly arise both on a health and economic basis.	As you may know, Hydro One is condu Environmental Assessment Act for the p Metrolinx is conducting a parallel process Public consultation is an important part of t
		Please provide me with the individuals that I should be dealing with in respect to these issues. I would like to be directly contacted with any and all ongoing developments with this project so that I may have representation present.	Hydro One's Class EA process is an effective range of effects are planned and carried of experienced in predicting and mitigating environmental effects for this project and draft Environmental Study Report (ESR) th comment period in April. We will be please meeting with you. Please contact us to set
Open House #4 Junction	Air Quality	I am a local property owner and active voter. The WHO has declared diesel a carcinogen, regardless of "clean diesel" purchases. Electrify now, you are directly impacting our health and property values. Electrify now, stop polluting the environment and our air now!	The UP Express service will launch with stands non-road engine emissions standard set airborne particulate emissions by 90 per certain the UP Express service will not result in a signal.
Open House #4 Junction	Air Quality	The climate temperature is rising therefore what we need like a hole in the head is more carbon emissions. Electrifying the UP Express is a good idea, more rail lines should be electrified such as GO Trains and the Ottawa O-Train.	Comment noted.
Via email	Air Quality	I am very excited to hear about the Pearson line and am very curious to know about getting the Lakeshore line West electrified. Further I would like to know how much greenhouse gas and other pollutants would not be put into our Neighborhoods. Please know you have my full support for electrification of our rail system.	Apologies on behalf of the project team for please see responses from the team to your Electrification of the Lakeshore West (LSW Investment Strategy. Timing for the electric review of the Investment Strategy and fund found at <u>http://www.bigmove.ca/report</u> . Metrolinx is committed to reducing our car removing vehicles from the highways an available. It is noted that potential effects need to be determined as part of a separate Regarding your second question, it is estin electric multiple units (EMUs) amounts to le
Open House #1 Highway 27 Area	Noise	There should be more data available on the intensity/level of the "60 Hz buzz" that is inevitable around substations and hydro lines. "Below MoE standards" doesn't mean much.	A preliminary Acoustic Assessment has Electrification Traction Power Substation C Draft Environmental Study Report (Hydro additional assessments will be completed i standards and associated guidelines have them should be addressed to the MOE.

cting a Class Environmental Assessment (EA) under the Ontario proposed Union Pearson Express Electrification TPS project, and to obtain environmental approvals for its proposed electrification. he Class EA process.

re way to ensure minor transmission projects that have a predictable out in a manner that is environmentally acceptable. Hydro One is g environmental effects of transmission projects. The predicted our proposed mitigation measures will be fully documented in the hat Hydro One will make available for a 30-day public review and ed to discuss this document with you with you and we look forward to up a meeting.

ate-of-the-art Tier 4 diesel multiple units. Tier 4 diesel is the strictest by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and reduces nt and nitrogen oxides (NOx) by 80 per cent.

gnificant contribution to air emissions from the rail corridor.

or the delay in getting a response back to you. Further to my email, r questions below:

/) line is dependent on funding which was proposed as part of the ification of LSW is dependent on a number of factors including the ing. More detailed information about the Investment Strategy can be

arbon footprint and minimizing the impact on the environment by nd roads and by using the most efficient locomotive technology on air emissions related to the electrification of the LSW line would e Environmental Assessment study.

mated that replacing UP Express diesel multiple units (DMUs) with ess than a 0.02% reduction in regional GHG emissions.

been completed for the substation as part of the *UP Express Class EA*. This report will be made available in the appendix of the One, 2014). Once the design of the substation has been finalized, in order to ensure that the station will meet MOE standards. These been heavily reviewed by the MOE and any concerns pertaining to







Open House #3	Noise	How far will the noise go from Kodak gantry?	There are no noise emissions associated wit
Weston		Will it go all the way to Rutherford or Nickle?	
Open House #1 Highway 27 Area	Traffic Impacts	It wasn't really addressed how construction would or wouldn't affect road traffic like the Eglinton Crosstown. From an environmental perspective, cars sitting due to construction pollute.	With regard to construction, it is anticipate way and therefore will have negligible traff construction of the facilities, however they There may also be minor disruptions on sor they are anticipated to be of short duration
Open House #2 Liberty Village	West Toronto Railpath	There should be more classification as to how UP Express electrification would impact the West Toronto Rail path extension, which the city of Toronto is currently studying.	Metrolinx is doing its part to support walki Toronto Railpath. Metrolinx continues to be extend the West Toronto Railpath. Metrol initiatives across the Greater Toronto and H Timeline: The earliest that construction car GTS Project construction is completed. The with this construction timeline. In other wo the City to adapt their design to account f outlined for the Railpath. The City's team is
Via email	Consultation process	As per this story, can we see the materials before the meeting ?I find it disingenuous that existing materials will not be made available until AFTER the public meetings. Will there be any actual q and a or any real engagement or is this another panel exhibition? <a href="http://www.insidetoronto.com/news-story/4350435-open-house-offers-a-chance-to-comment-of-electrification-environmental-assessment/">http://www.insidetoronto.com/news-story/4350435-open-house-offers-a-chance-to-comment-of-electrification-environmental-assessment/</a>	Yes, the panels will be posted tomorrow on <a href="http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/e">http://www.gotransit.com/electrification/e</a> As for the format, this will be an open hou information and will be available for one-on
Open House #1 Airport	Consultation process	George (from Metrolinx) provided very useful commentary.	Comment noted
Open House #4 Junction	Consultation Process	There was no real opportunity to participate. The visual were very well-presented but the Ask Me people often gave conflicting information, e.g. the UP Express is not for the people of Toronto.	The format for the meeting was an open h project staff on site to answer questions an comment sheets were provided to all partic by the project team. In addition, a Public online for members of the public.
Open House #4 Junction	Consultation Process	Attend this event, yes, but there was no opportunity to actually participate. Pretty visuals but conflicting information from the "Ask Me" people.	The format for the meeting was an open h project staff on site to answer questions an comment sheets were provided to all partic by the project team. In addition, a Public online for members of the public.

th operation of the gantries.

d that OCS structures will be constructed within the railway right-offic effects. Temporary traffic detours may be required in relation to *i* are anticipated to be of short duration (approximately 6-9 months). me bridges in order to install the bridge barrier protection; however,

ing and cycling in the City of Toronto by helping to extend the West balance its mandate to expand public transit with the City's desire to inx is supportive of walking/cycling and other active transportation lamilton Area.

n begin on the Phase 2 expansion is in late 2014 into 2015 when the City's EA timeline and Metrolinx's electrification EA timeline fit well rds, we will know exactly where the catenary poles will be in time for for any catenary poles that will need to be located on the property aware of this issue and they are including it in their EA investigation. the project webpage in advance of Monday's meeting. n/default.aspx

ise. Project team members will be available to explain the technical n-one Q&A.

nouse and participation opportunities were provided which included d discuss details of the project directly with participants. In addition, cipants and were encouraged to provide comments for consideration Open House Summary report will be prepared and made available

nouse and participation opportunities were provided which included d discuss details of the project directly with participants. In addition, cipants and were encouraged to provide comments for consideration Open House Summary report will be prepared and made available







-			
Open House #3	Consultation Process	More pictures with the OCS portals modeled in would be great.	Your comment will be considered as part of
Weston			
Open House #3	Environmental effects and	Concerns were addressed about numerous environmental concerns and how the community	Comments noted.
Weston	mitigation	look will be taken into consideration.	
Open House #4	Environmental effects and	Most details are TBD or dependent on further study/mitigations. My concerns are what will	The public open house display panels provid
Junction	mitigation	happen if too proximate to parks and rec, too proximate to residences, EMFs are higher than	(including land use, natural environmental,
		anticipated, runoff drainage shifts, train discharge and pollution to parks and residential as well as will EMIs and EMFs affect WiFi reception?	the EA process.
			With regard to the locations of the traction
			part of the EA process and presented at th
			preferred sites were established based on a
			<ul> <li>Natural Features - consideration of</li> <li>Land Use/Social Features - consideration/consideration of social features - consideration.</li> <li>Cultural Features - consideration facility location.</li> <li>Technical - consideration of Proper</li> <li>Furthermore, during the construction phase environmental mitigation measures are im effects are mitigated/minimized to the external social so</li></ul>
			With respect to train discharge and pollution Express trains from diesel to electric power, Express Diesel Multiple Unit trains.
			Based on the EMI and EMF assessments ca affected by EMF emitted by UP Express Elec designed, constructed and integrated to be Committee for Electro-technical Standariz Standards Association, etc.) to ensure that compatibility with Wifi equipment will be a are anticipated.
			Furthermore, Metrolinx will undertake add on the electric train specifications to ensure will include: design verification studies, commissioning phase prior to commencing t

preparing the final EPR.

ded summaries of the potential environmental effects and mitigation EMI and EMFs) based on the technical studies carried out as part of

n power facilities, alternative sites were considered and assessed as ne June 2013 Public Open Houses for feedback. Following this, the pplication of the following list of criteria:

f sensitive natural features in the vicinity of the facility location. deration of existing/planned land use in the vicinity of the facility eatures (i.e., schools, daycares, etc.) in the vicinity of the facility

of sensitive cultural/archaeological features in the vicinity of the

rty Availability, Development Cost, Site Accessibility

se, an Environmental Inspector will be responsible for ensuring that nplemented and functioning as predicted, to ensure environment ent possible.

ion, it is noted that the purpose of the project is to convert the UP r, thereby removing diesel emissions that are associated with the UP

carried out as part of the EA, Wifi reception is not expected to be ectrification equipment. UP express electrification equipment will be e in compliance with the relevant industry standards (e.g., European zation, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, Canadian t the emissions are kept within the permissible limits. As a result, achieved and no adverse effects to the Wifi equipment and services

litional studies and analyses during the detailed design phase based e that no adverse EMI/EMF effects will result from the project. This factory testing and field testing, as part of the testing and the electrified UP Express service.







Open House #3	Environmental Approvals	Would like information as to what the Environmental Compliance Approval at the EMU Facility is	An Environmental Compliance Approval (EC
Weston		required for?	obtained from the Ministry of Environment Act (EPA), prior to its implementation. It is a condition of the ECA which would require
			during construction and operation of the fa sound level limits outlined in the ECA.
Open House #3 Weston	Design	Why is the Weston tunnel being designed for 3 tracks rather than 4?	The OCS design for Weston Tunnel has been once the track alignment becomes known. OCS design utilizing the same type of tunne final design at which time it is not complicat
Open House #4 Junction	Design	How protected would the lines be in the case of inclement weather e.g. ice storm of 2013?	The UP Express OCS preliminary design (portals, gantries, poles etc. catenary syste speed at 35m/s and ice accumulation of 12 proven solutions to prevent ice accumulat heating systems for the OCS contact and r elevated sections of track.
Open House #4 Junction	Energy	What other means will be used to be less dependent on the grid but still keeping it electrified?	Other than the power grid, during normal or propel the UP Express electric trains. implemented to deliver and exceed the rece energy sources and hybrid technologies will
Open House #4 Junction	Other	When people think of the future they think of smartphones and computers but they should be thinking of public transit and the economy because a fancy smartphone can't get you to work and home.	Comment noted.
Via email	Other	We welcome the long awaited start of the electrification process of GO rail and take the opportunity of the Environmental Assessment hearings to voice our support for an extension of this project eastward at least to the Danforth GO if not to Kennedy station in Scarborough. These extensions would enable GO rail to function as relief lines to the overcrowded subways, given convenient 'mobility hubs' at the transfer stations, and more frequent trains.	Comments noted.
		Both can be provided at a fraction of the cost of a new Downtown Relief [subway] Line advocated by the TTC and can be implemented in a much shorter timeframe. This 'low-hanging fruit' of GO/TTC integration is detailed in the December 13th Neptis Foundation report in chapter 5, and in chapter 3 regarding electrification and the use of Electric Multile- Unit (EMU) rolling stock. http://www.neptis.org/sites/default/files/metrolinx_review_2013/review_of_metrolinxs_big_m ove_neptis_foundation_schabas.pdf	
		As advocates for GTA public transit integration and as elected officials we urge you to initiate this pro-active extension.	

CA) for Air and Noise for the EMU Maintenance Facility will need to be t (MOE) in accordance with *Part II*.1 of the *Environmental Protection* also noted there is potential for MOE to require an Acoustic Audit as that a monitoring study be completed by an independent third party acility in order to demonstrate that the facility complies with the MOE

en made to be easily expandable for the future track electrification. The only additional work that needs to be done is to apply the same el arm assemblies. The alignment needs to be confirmed prior to the ted to add the tunnel arm attachment.

meets the AREMA standards, which requires the OCS structures em) to be designed for the extreme weather conditions (-40°C, wind 2.5 mm). In addition, during detail design, further review of industry tion on the wires will be carried out. These solutions may include messenger wires that prevent ice accumulation on the exposed and

operation, there would be no alternative energy sources required to The UP Express traction power system has been designed and quired reliability requirements. Therefore, implementing alternative I not be required.







		PS: For an electrification EUREKA moment going back to 1897 click on the following link: http://home.cc.umanitoba.ca/~wyatt/alltime/pics/toronto-MRloco1897RHill-DonPEyans.jpg	
Open House #1 Highway 27 Area	Other	Metrolinx doesn't think outside the box – elevate the rail and for future track build up not out.	Comment noted.
Open House #1 Highway 27 Area	Other	Most people don't' need heavy rail, it's more for the City. Suspend the rail; use a roller coaster style similar to propulsion. It's perfect for use in the City. One benefit of this approach is that you wouldn't need to implement heavy commuter infrastructure like you normally would. Also consider building a ride like at Canada's Wonderland and let gravity do the work for you.	Comment noted
Open House #1 Highway 27 Area	Other	Whenever possible, this TPAP/EA should include provisions for expanding electrification to adjacent lines (i.e. can we include additional areas/components in the EA to fast-track future electrification?)	Electrification is a critically important issu electrification of the Kitchener and Lakesho its proposed "next wave" of The Big Mo government on May 27, 2013 for these implementation timelines. The design for electrification of UP Express can be applied to other corridors to be ele the future EA's which will be required for the In the meantime, the preliminary design a
Open House #1	Other	Why doesn't the TTC run (bus route 58 A or B) on Sunday? This is not helpful for airport	program, UP Express. Completing the preli are important steps that cannot be rushed of This comment is outside the scope of the U
Highway 27 Area		employees.	
Via email	GO Transit Pricing	Keep services free of charge at GO Transit that keeps services free of charge by using revenues from gambling, advertising, retail and restaurant services. If you must charge, have simple prices like \$10 for a ride not \$8.95 plus taxes. Use TTX cash registers only.	Comment noted. We appreciate that pricin We are currently conducting detailed mar reflective of the needs of the market. Th customer service strategy and business pla announced closer to the inaugural launch d
Via email	Other	Get free hydro power for all of your buildings.	Comment noted.
Via email	Other	Always buy buildings over leasing them.	Comment noted.
Via email	Other	Always use steel frame construction for GO Transit buildings.	Comment noted.

ie and is a multi-billion dollar decision. Metrolinx has selected the ore East and West rail corridors, along with UP Express, to be part of ove projects. Metrolinx provided funding recommendations to the and other next wave projects that will help determine potential

s has been undertaken to accommodate GO Trains. This design work actrified in the future. This work will assist with the pre-planning for the electrification.

and EA is underway for the first phase of a potential electrification minary design and obtaining the necessary environmental approvals or skipped.

P Express Electrification EA.

ng of the planned new UP Express service is of interest.

rket research to ensure that the UP Express service is relevant and ne outcome of this research will guide key elements, including the an, and will influence possible pricing models. These details will be late.







## 8.3 Aboriginal Communities

Subsection 7(4) of *O. Reg. 231/08* requires that the proponent first contact MOE to request assistance in identifying and contacting Aboriginal communities that may be interested in the project. As part of the pre-planning phase, Metrolinx completed contacted MOE in this regard via a letter dated May, 2012 (see **Appendix J-6**) to request a list of bodies that may assist in identifying and contacting Aboriginal communities that may be interested in this project.

In response to Metrolinx's May 2012 letter, email correspondence from MOE was received on June 14, 2012 (see **Appendix J-6**) advising Metrolinx as to the process to be followed in order to identify potentially interested aboriginal communities under TPAP:

"Aboriginal communities must be contacted prior to issuing a Notice of Commencement for the project. In response to your request, the ministry recommends that you contact the following organizations and resources to assist you in identifying interested Aboriginal communities for this project. "

http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/en/eaab/aboriginal-resources.php

http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/industry/assessment\_and\_approvals/environmental\_asses sments/STDPROD\_075744.html"

Following this, Metrolinx sent correspondence via two letters to the Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs (MAA) and Aboriginal Affairs, and Northern Development Canada (AANDC). The purpose of the letters was to advise of the project as well as to request assistance from the MAA and AANDC in identifying potentially interested Aboriginal communities (as per MOE's direction). See **Appendix J-6** for copies of these letters.

In response to these letters, Metrolinx received a response letter from MAA dated April 19, 2013 (**Appendix J-6**). MAA identified that the Mississaugas of the New Credit may be a potentially interested First Nation. Further, MAA also requested that they be removed from the project contact list.

In addition, correspondence from AANDC was received on June 6, 2013, as outlined in Section xx above. The letter included comprehensive information from Consultation Information Service (CIS), which verified that no reserve lands are located within 50 km of the project location. Information for the following aboriginal communities was provided:

- Chippewas of Georgina Island;
- Chippewas of Kettle and Stony Point ;
- Chippewas of Nawash First Nation;
- Chippewas of Rama First Nation;
- Chippewas of the Thames First Nation;
- Mississauga's of Scugog Island First Nation;
- Mississaugas of the Credit;







- Saugeen First Nation;
- Six Nations of the Grand River; and
- Métis Nation of Ontario

As a result, in order to ensure that all potentially interested aboriginal communities were informed of the UP Express Electrification project, all ten aboriginal communities were added to the project contact list.

## 8.3.1 Notifications and Correspondence – Aboriginal Peoples

### June 2013 Public Open House Invitations

On May 28, 2013 Metrolinx sent notification via email and letter to invite the Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation to the upcoming Public Open House sessions scheduled for June 2013. The correspondence provided a description of the project (including study area map) and the environmental assessment process being followed. The correspondence also included a link to the project website and a description of how comments/questions could be submitted to the project team and/or additional project information obtained.

### July 2013 Correspondence

Based on consultation with AANDC, Metrolinx sent another round of direct notification letters to the following Aboriginal peoples groups on July 22, 2013:

- Chippewas of Georgina Island;
- Chippewas of Kettle and Stony Point ;
- Chippewas of Nawash First Nation;
- Chippewas of Rama First Nation;
- Chippewas of the Thames First Nation;
- Mississauga's of Scugog Island First Nation;
- Saugeen First Nation;
- Six Nations of the Grand River; and
- Toronto & York Region Métis Council

The letter correspondence provided an overview of the project scope, study area map, and a description of the environmental assessment process being followed. In addition, a link to the project website was provided, and contact information for the project team was included so that comments or questions could be submitted, or additional information could be requested about the project. A copy of the June 2013 Public Open House Display Panels was also provided as an attachment to the letter package.



#### Notice of Commencement

A copy of the Notice of Commencement was provided to all aboriginal peoples included on the project contact list (as listed above).

In response to the Notice of Commencement, correspondence was received from the Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation acknowledging receipt of the notification and noting their interest in being kept informed by remaining on the contact list.

In addition, correspondence was received from the Chippewas of Rama First Nation. The letter acknowledged receipt of the Notice of Commencement and noted that a copy of the notice was forwarded to the Barrister & Solicitor, Coordinator for Williams Treaties First Nations for further review.

Copies of the correspondence from the Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation and Chippewas of Rama First Nation are contained in **Appendix J-7**.

### January/February 2014 Public Open House Invitations

A copy of the Notice of January/February Public Open Houses was provided to all aboriginal peoples included on the project contact list (as listed above).

## 8.3.2 Summary of Aboriginal Peoples Comments Received

As part of the Pre-Planning Phase, two letters were received in response to the July 2013 correspondence:

- Letter from Chippewas of RAMA First Nation acknowledging receipt of Metrolinx's July 2013 letter. In addition, the letter noted that a copy of the letter was forwarded to the Barrister & Solicitor Coordinator (who was subsequently added to the project contact list) for Williams Treaties First Nations for further review.
- Letter from Chippewas of the Thames First Nation acknowledging receipt of Metrolinx's July 2013 letter. The letter also stated that based on a screening of the July 2013 correspondence, no concerns were identified with the project or information presented, and also requested to be kept informed.

As part of the TPAP Phase, two letters were received in response to the Notice of Commencement:

• Correspondence was received from the Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation acknowledging receipt of the notification and noting their interest in being kept informed by remaining on the contact list.



• Correspondence was received from the Chippewas of Rama First Nation acknowledging receipt of the Notice of Commencement and noted that a copy of the notice was forwarded to the Barrister & Solicitor, Coordinator for Williams Treaties First Nations for further review.

Appendix J-7 contains copies of the correspondence with Aboriginal peoples and contact list.





# 8.4 Elected Officials

### June 2013 Public Open House Notification

In order to provide a project update and as part of distributing notification of the June 2013 Public Open Houses, Metrolinx sent email correspondence to the elected officials within the study area. The correspondence was sent to the following list of elected officials on May 23, 2013, which also included an invitation to schedule in-person meetings to further discuss the project if desired.

Mr. Andrew Cash, MP	Ms. Cheri DiNovo, MPP
Mr. Bal Gosal, MP	Mr. Jagmeet Singh, MPP
Mr. Bernard Trottier, MP	Mr. Jonah Schein, MPP
Dr. Kirsty Duncan, MP	Ms. Laura Albanese, MPP
Mr. Mike Sullivan, MP	Ms. Laurel Broten, MPP <sup>2</sup>
Ms. Olivia Chow, MP	Mr. Rosario Marchese, MPP
Ms. Peggy Nash, MP	Dr. Shafiq Qaadri, MPP

Mr. Adam Vaughan, City of Toronto Councillor
Ms. Ana Bailão, City of Toronto Councillor
Ms. Bonnie Crombie, Mississauga City Councillor
Mr. Cesar Palacio, City of Toronto Councillor
Mr. Doug Ford, City of Toronto Councillor
Ms. Frances Nunziata, City of Toronto Councillor
Mr. Frank Di Giorgio, City of Toronto Councillor
Mr. Gord Perks, City of Toronto Councillor
Mr. Mark Grimes, City of Toronto Councillor
Mr. Mike Layton, City of Toronto Councillor
Mr. Peter Milczyn, City of Toronto Councillor
Ms. Sarah Doucette, City of Toronto Councillor

## 8.4.1 Meetings with Elected Officials

## 8.4.1.1 Jonah Schein, MPP and Staff from Andrew Cash, MP office

The key topics discussed at the meeting were as follows:

- Noise considerations related to proposed electrified trains
- Scope of the air quality study for the UP Express Electrification EA
- Timing for implementation of electrification
- Potential for adding new passenger stations

## 8.4.1.2 City of Toronto Councillor Mike Layton

In response to the May 2013 notifications, Metrolinx held a meeting with City of Toronto Councillor Mike Layton, at his request, to provide a project update on the electrification design and EA studies, as well as to answer questions and discuss specific local issues.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The contact list was updated in December 2013 to include Doug Holyday, MPP.



In addition, a subsequent meeting with Councilor Layton was held on January 17, 2014. The key topics discussed at the meeting were as follows:

- Height and aesthetics of gantries
- Spacing of portal structures
- Noise considerations related to Georgetown South project
- Request for the future King Liberty Pedestrian Bridge to be included in the EA drawings/plans, as appropriate
- Timing for implementation of electrification

## 8.4.2 Letters from Elected Officials

### 8.4.2.1 Mike Sullivan, MP

On August 13, 2012, letter correspondence was received from Mike Sullivan, MP, York South-Weston. The correspondence was an open letter addressed to Ms. Laura Albanese, MPP regarding funding and timeline issues for the UP Express Electrification Project. The letter expressed that given the Province's rules regarding transit EA's there is no reason the UP Express Electrification EA should take a significant amount of time.. The letter advised that the Federal government is spending significant sums on the project as part of the Canada-Ontario-GO agreement signed in 2004 and that a formal funding request from the Provincial government for the UP Express Electrification Project has yet to been received. The letter advised Ms. Albanese to convince the Premier of Ontario to make electrification a funding priority

#### 8.4.2.1 Laura Albanese, MPP

In November 22, 2013 letter correspondence was received from Ms. Laura Albanese, MPP, addressed to the Minister of Transportation and Infrastructure, Mr. Glen R. Murray. The letter expressed the importance of the UP Express Electrification Project and the need to ensure targeted timelines for construction and subsequent operation are achieved. The correspondence also noted the need to secure funding at both Federal and Provincial levels to ensure the transition between the EA process and construction moves as expeditiously as possible.

#### 8.4.2.2 Jonah Schein, MPP

In addition, letter correspondence dated July 2, 2013 was received from Johan Schein, MPP expressing concern about diesel trains operating on the Georgetown South corridor, and suggesting that Metrolinx hold future Public Open House meetings in the area of west Toronto riding of Davenport. Metrolinx responded via email on July 4, 2013 and noted that Metrolinx's goal is to reach as many people as possible during the public open house sessions. The locations for the UP Express Electrification public open houses were chosen to cover as much of the EA study area as possible and reflect the locations of





proposed electrification infrastructure such as power stations. It was recognized that although a public meeting was not held in the Junction neighbourhood as part of this consultation round, this was also the case for several other neighbourhoods along the rail corridor. It was further noted that the Mount Dennis Weston Legion location is in relatively close proximity to the Junction area, and that interested persons are encouraged to attend this session to share your comments/feedback on the project.

## 8.4.2.3 City of Toronto Councillor, Gloria Lindsay Luby

In addition, letter correspondence from City Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby was received on December 10, 2013 noting support for the UP Express Electrification project and its implementation. A copy of the correspondence is contained in **Appendix J-8**.

A copy of the correspondence with elected officials is contained in **Appendix J-8**.

### Notice of Commencement and Notice of January/February Public Open House

In order to provide a project update and as part of distributing both the Notice of Commencement and notification of the January/February 2014 Public Open Houses, email correspondence was sent by Metrolinx to all elected officials as listed above, on November 18, 2013 and January 16, 2014 respectively. The first correspondence was related to issuance of the Notice of Commencement, while the second correspondence was to provide information on the Public Open House, which included an invitation to schedule in-person meetings to further discuss the project, if desired.

In response to these invitations, Metrolinx received two requests for meetings. As a result, briefings were held with Johan Schein, MPP and staff from the office of Andrew Cash, MP.





## 8.5 Review Agency Consultation

A number of federal, provincial, and municipal review agencies were consulted at various stages of the UP Express Electrification EA. As part of the Pre-Planning Phase, a comprehensive contact list of review agencies was developed, and this list was refined and updated as the project progressed based on feedback received regarding the addition of new or replacement contacts (see **Appendix J-9**). The following section summarizes the consultation activities undertaken with review agencies and how comments/feedback were considered by Metrolinx.

## 8.5.1 Federal

## 8.5.1.1 Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada

On March 27, 2013 a letter was sent from Metrolinx to Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada (AANDC) advising of the initiation of the UP Express Electrification Environmental Assessment. As per direction from MOE, the letter requested assistance from AANDC in identifying potentially interested Aboriginal communities to be consulted as part of the project.

A follow up call and email to AANDC was sent on May 7, 2013 requesting confirmation that the March 27, 2013 email and corresponding letter was received. An email response was provided by AANDC confirming receipt of the letter dated March 27, 2013. AANDC further advised that the Consultation and Accommodation Unit (CAU) should be contacted for further information with respect to the location of:

- Aboriginal communities, reserves or their traditional territory as claimed claims; and/or
- Asserted or established rights that pertain to those communities or to a geographic location.

Therefore, on May 14, 2013 an email and corresponding letter was sent to the CAU requesting additional information regarding claims, litigation, treaties and Métis and Non-Status Indians interests, that may be relevant to the UP Express Electrification EA.

A letter response was received from AANDC via email on June 6, 2013 providing information on established or potential Aboriginal and treaty rights in the vicinity of the project study area. The letter included a comprehensive response, which verified that no reserve lands are located within 50 km of the project location. Information related to the following aboriginal communities was provided:

- Chippewas of Georgina Island;
- Chippewas of Kettle and Stony Point ;
- Chippewas of Nawash First Nation;
- Chippewas of Rama First Nation;
- Chippewas of the Thames First Nation;
- Mississauga's of Scugog Island First Nation;
- Mississaugas of the Credit;

8-58





- Saugeen First Nation;
- Six Nations of the Grand River; and
- Métis Nation of Ontario

As a result, the above listed additional aboriginal communities were added to the project contact list.

Copies of the AANDC correspondence are contained in **Appendix J-9**.

### 8.5.1.2 Transport Canada

In response to the June 2013 Notice of Public Open House, a letter was received from Transport Canada on July 4, 2013 outlining general requirements as they relate to: *Navigable Waters Protection Act* (NWPA), *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA)*, and *Railway Safety Act*. As a result, these requirements were reviewed by Metrolinx and considered as part of preparing the preliminary design and EA process for electrification of the UP Express. A summary of Transport Canada's comments and how they were considered by Metrolinx is provided in **Table 8-3** below.

A copy of the Transport Canada correspondence is contained in **Appendix J-9**.

## 8.5.1.3 Nav Canada and Greater Toronto Airports Authority

## NavCan/GTAA Meeting #1 – June 3, 2013

A meeting was held in June 2013 to present a project overview and obtain initial feedback from both the Greater Toronto Airports Authority (GTAA) and NavCanada (NavCan).

GTAA inquired about potential impacts on communication systems around the airport and potential effects related to EMI. Metrolinx noted than EMF and EMI assessments are being carried out as part of the EA and this information will be subsequently provided to GTAA and NavCan once available. As a follow up to the June 2013 meeting, an additional information package was provided by Metrolinx to GTAA and NavCan on July 12, 2013, which included the following:

- 100% Airlinx Electrification design package for the Airport Spur
- Performance Specifications for:
  - Traction Power System Supply
  - Traction Power System Distribution
  - Grounding & Bonding
  - EMI/EMC
- June 2013 Public Open House Display Panels





In addition, on November 18 2013, the following additional information was provided by Metrolinx to GTAA and NavCan for their information/comment:

- Traction Power Supply Report
- Overview of Preliminary EMI/EMF Assessment (vicinity of airport spur)

Copies of GTAA/NavCan correspondence are contained in **Appendix J-9**.

## NavCan/GTAA Meeting #2 – January 22, 2014

A meeting was held with both GTAA and Nav Canada staff on January 22, 2014. A project update was provided by Metrolinx, followed by a presentation on the Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) and Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) assessments (as they relate to the airport spur area) completed to date. A discussion followed on the future EMI/EMF technical analysis/studies that will need to be carried out during the detailed design phase to further assess potential EMI/EMF impacts and develop mitigation measures as required. It was noted that Metrolinx will provide copies of the Electromagnetic Compatibility Plan and EMC Report once available for NavCan's review and comment. As part of the future detailed design phase, Metrolinx will continue to engage GTAA and NavCan. Follow up emails were sent by Metrolinx to NavCan in February 2014 to solicit their comments on the information previously submitted in November 2013 (i.e., Traction Power Supply Report, Overview of Preliminary EMI/EMF Assessment).

Comments were subsequently received from NavCan via a letter dated March 10, 2014. A summary of NavCan's comments and how they were considered as part of the EA is contained in **Table 8-3**.

## 8.5.2 Provincial

## 8.5.2.1 Ministry of the Environment

Consultation with the Ministry of the Environment was carried out by Metrolinx during the Pre-Planning Phase and TPAP Phase to: discuss the proposed approach for specific technical studies (e.g., Noise/Vibration assessment, Air Quality assessment), discuss coordination of Hydro One's Class EA for Minor Transmission Facilities process steps and the TPAP steps (especially joint consultation aspects), and to consult with MOE during preparation of the Draft Environmental Project Report.

## MOE Meeting #1 – July 24, 2012

An initial meeting (via conference call) with the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) was to introduce the project and to discuss the general approach to the scope of the Air Quality study for the UP Express EA with MOE Environmental Assessment and Approvals (EAAB) and Central Region staff.





### MOE Meeting #2 – November 13, 2012

The second meeting with MOE EAAB was held in order to provide an update on the project and to discuss the potential for integrating Metrolinx's EA requirements under O. Reg. 231/08 (TPAP) and Hydro One's EA requirements under the Class EA for Minor Transmission Facilities.

### MOE Meeting #3 – March 25, 2013

A follow up meeting was held with MOE in March 2013 to provide a project update and to discuss in further detail the approach to both the Noise/Vibration and Air Quality studies. Both MOE EAAB and MOE Central Region staff attended. There were no significant issues raised by MOE with respect to the approaches to these studies. However, it was suggested by MOE that the methodologies/approaches to the Noise/Vibration and Air Quality assessments be summarized into work plans and provided to MOE for their information/review. As a result, Metrolinx submitted these two respective work plans to MOE on July 3, 2013 based on their request at the March 25th meeting.

### MOE Meeting #4 – November 4, 2013

In November 2013, Metrolinx and Hydro One met with MOE EAAB staff to follow up on previous discussions regarding the proposed approach to completing the TPAP and Hydro One Class EA processes as an integrated EA (i.e., combined EA document). As these processes are required to follow different MOE review and approval processes, it was determined that maintaining separate EA reports would be the preferred approach. Notwithstanding this, there were no concerns identified with respect to Metrolinx and Hydro One undertaking joint consultation activities such as combined public notices/letters, and Public Open House sessions.

#### **Draft EPR Review**

A copy of the draft EPR (including supporting technical studies) was provided to the MOE EAAB and Central Region on February 19, 2014 for review and comment. Comments from MOE were received on March 13<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>, 2014 and are summarized in **Table 8-3** below, along with how these comments were considered by Metrolinx as part of finalizing the EPR document.

#### 8.5.2.2 Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs (MAA)

On March 27, 2013 a letter was sent from Metrolinx to the Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs (MAA) advising of initiation of the Union-Person Express Electrification Environmental Assessment. The letter requested





assistance from MAA in identifying potentially interested Aboriginal communities to be consulted as part of the consultation program for the project.

On April 19, 2013 a response letter was received from MAA advising that the Mississauga's of the New Credit First Nation may have an interest in the project and contact information for the Mississauga's of the New Credit First Nation was provided.

Copies of the correspondence with MAA are contained in **Appendix J-9**.

## 8.5.2.3 Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

### Correspondence with MTCS

In response to the Notice of Commencement, a letter was received from the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) on December 18, 2013 (see **Appendix J-9** for a copy of the MTCS correspondence). The letter noted that MTCS was interested in remaining on the circulation list and being informed of the project through the EA process. In addition, MTCS inquired about whether an archaeological assessment and/or heritage impact assessment was being undertaken for this EA project. Metrolinx responded to the MTCS via letter on January 21, 2014 to confirm that they will be kept informed as the EA progresses and that both an archaeological assessment and cultural heritage assessment report were being carried out as part of the EA.

In response to Metrolinx's January 21, 2014 letter, follow up correspondence from MTCS was received on January 22, 2014 requesting clarification on the status of the Stage 1 archaeological assessment completed as part of the project. In addition, MTCS requested a copy of the Cultural Heritage Assessment Report for their review. Subsequently, Metrolinx provided a copy of the Draft UP Express Electrification Cultural Heritage Assessment Report to MTCS for their review on February 6, 2014.

## Meeting with MTCS (February 2014)

In addition, a meeting (via conference call) was held with MTCS on February 11, 2014 to discuss the UP Express electrification project, including the work completed as part of the cultural heritage assessment report, potentially affected cultural heritage resources, proposed mitigation measures, as well as the status of other Metrolinx projects that MTCS has previously provided comments on (e.g., Georgetown South project, Eglinton Crosstown LRT project).

## Review of Draft Cultural Heritage Resource Assessment

As a follow up to the February 11, 2014 meeting, excerpts from the Draft EPR related to cultural heritage components were submitted to MTCS for review and comment:



- Cultural Heritage Baseline Conditions section summary of the baseline cultural heritage resources in the study area; and
- Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment section summary of the assessment of potential effects on cultural heritage resources, including proposed mitigation measures.

Comments from MTCS on the Draft CHAR and Draft EPR excerpts were received on March 7, 2014, and have been summarized in **Table 8-3** below, along with how these comments were considered by Metrolinx.

## 8.5.2.4 Ministry of Natural Resources

As part of baseline data collection, an information request was sent to the Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) on April 24 2012 requesting data on natural heritage features within and adjacent to the EA study area. In response to this request, information was provided by MNR on May 18 2012. As a result, this information was reviewed and incorporated as appropriate within the Natural Environmental Baseline Conditions Report.

In response to the Notice of Commencement, email correspondence was received from MNR on December 5, 2013 requesting clarification as to the proposed location of the OCS infrastructure, as well as what type of heavy equipment will be used to install the wiring. MNR comments and Metrolinx responses have been summarized in detail in **Table 8-3** below, and copies of MNR correspondence are contained in **Appendix J-9**.

## 8.5.2.5 Ministry of Transportation

A meeting with the Ministry of Transportation was held on October 4, 2013. The purpose of the meeting was to provide an overview of the project including: preliminary design work completed to date, as well as discuss specific proposed bridge designs for MTO-owned bridges (OCS attachments, grounding, etc.) in order to obtain comments/feedback prior to finalizing the designs. A short presentation was made by Metrolinx followed by a discussion period. Following the meeting, Metrolinx provided further, more detailed information to MTO related to the proposed OCS designs for MTO-owned bridges.

Comments from MTO were subsequently received from MTO on February 14th 2014. **Table 8-3** below provides a summary of the comments received and how they were considered by Metrolinx.

As a follow up to the October 2013 meeting with MTO, Metrolinx sent follow up correspondence to MTO in November 2013 and January 2014 to request comments on the previously provided information. Subsequently, comments from MTO were received on February 14, 2014, and have been summarized in detail in **Table 8-3** below.





Copies of the correspondence with MTO are contained in Appendix J-9.

### 8.5.2.6 Hydro One Networks Inc.

Ongoing communication and coordination with Hydro One was carried out during the Pre-Planning phase, as Hydro One is responsible for the traction power supply components of the project (i.e., new traction power substation and 230 kV connection line). With this in mind, a number of meetings were held (as listed below) during the Pre-Planning and TPAP Phases to discuss the technical/design aspects of the traction power supply components (traction power substation at 175 CityView Drive, new 230 kV connection), grounding and bonding design for traction power substation, configuration of high voltage feeder cables, minimum clearances required between the OCS structures and Hydro One transmission lines, as well as the joint EA consultation process.

- Hydro One Meeting #1 October 22, 2012
- Hydro One Meeting #2 January 31, 2012
- Hydro One Meeting #3 April 24, 2012
- Hydro One Meeting #4 June 27, 2012
- Hydro One Meeting #5 October 22, 2012
- Hydro One Meeting #6 March 20, 2013
- Hydro One Meeting #7 August 29, 2013
- Hydro One Meeting #8 January 23, 2014

In addition, a copy of the Draft EPR was provided to Hydro One in February, 2014 for their information/review, particularly in relation to the integration of the traction power supply components (i.e., 230 kV connection and new traction power substation) to be provided by Hydro One.

Comments from Hydro One and how they were considered have been summarized in detail in **Table 8-3** below.

## 8.5.3 Municipal

## 8.5.3.1 City of Toronto

As part of the EA process, Metrolinx consulted with the City of Toronto through a series of face to face meetings during the pre-planning phase in order to present project updates and to seek feedback early in the planning process on a number of project and design components such as bridge modifications, proposed locations for traction power facilities, affected utilities, future visual/aesthetic design considerations for gantries/traction power facilities, etc. In general, a wide range of City staff attended the meetings including City Planning, Transportation Services, Capital Infrastructure, etc.





Four meetings were held with the City of Toronto during the Pre-Planning Phase:

### City of Toronto Meeting #1 – April 30, 2013

An initial meeting with the City was held on April 30, 2013 to introduce the project including study area and project scope, planned project timelines (including public consultation), and to solicit the City's initial feedback on the electrification project. It was noted that future collaboration would be required. A presentation was made by Metrolinx followed by discussion.

### City of Toronto Meeting #2 – July 23 2013

A follow up meeting was held with the City on July 23, 2013 to provide updated information on the project, specifically related to proposed bridge modifications/designs. Utility coordination and potential locations for traction power facilities were also discussed. In addition, other future developments/projects (e.g., Condo development near Ordnance Rd. site, Eglinton Crosstown Maintenance Facility at Kodak site, Proposed Fort York bridge, etc.) in the vicinity of the UP Express electrification study area were discussed.

A presentation was made by Metrolinx, followed by discussion, and a summary of proposed bridge modification was provided to the City for information/review.

## City of Toronto Meeting #3 – September 19, 2013

This meeting was focused on presenting further detail on the proposed bridge designs and modifications including: proposed OCS methods/attachments for City-owned bridges, grounding of bridges, requirements for bridge protection barriers, potential effects on bridge maintenance procedures/practices. In addition, potential easement requirements related to the installation of duct banks were discussed.

A comprehensive information package was provided to the City for review/comment which included: proposed bridge designs (OCS attachments, bridge barriers, grounding) for City-owned bridges, traction power facility designs, EMU Maintenance Facility design.

Comments from the City of Toronto on the information provided are summarized in **Table 8-3** below, including how they were considered by Metrolinx.

In addition, the following meetings were held with the City of Toronto during the TPAP Phase:





## City of Toronto Meeting #5 (Heritage Preservation Services) – January 20, 2014

A meeting was held with City of Toronto Heritage Preservation Services on January 20, 2014 to provide an overview of the project and to discuss potentially affected cultural heritage resources, including bridges that have local and/or provincial significance. A summary of the cultural heritage resources, identified potential impacts, proposed mitigation measures, and future work/required approvals was provided and discussed.

## City of Toronto Meeting #6– February 19, 2014

A follow up meeting with the City of Toronto was held on February 19, 2014 to provide an update on the EA and to further discuss the preliminary design components related to bridge modifications, grounding and bonding, duct bank locations, easement requirements, facilities and utilities. Representatives from the City included: Major Capital Infrastructure, Engineering & Construction Services, Transportation Services, and Toronto Water. As a follow up to this meeting, as requested by the City, a supplementary information package was provided on February 21, 2014 including the following information:

- Design information related to underground duct banks (also previously provided as part of the December 2013 information package)
- Results of Traffic Impact Study (EMU Maintenance Facility at Resources Rd.)
- Summary of meetings/consultation with the City to date
- Summary of proposed commitments to future work (post EA approval)

In addition, copies of the Draft Utility Report and Draft EMU Maintenance Facility Conceptual Design Report were also provided to the City on February 28, 2014. Comments were subsequently received from the City on the previously provided information on March 14<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>, 2014.

#### 8.5.3.2 Toronto Public Health

A meeting was held on November 26, 2013 with Toronto Public Health in November 2013 to provide them with a project overview, preliminary design work completed, description of the EA studies being carried out such as Air Quality, EMI/EMF, timelines/next steps. Discussion topics included timelines for implementation, OCS design, scope of air quality study.

#### 8.5.3.3 Toronto and Region Conservation Authority

#### Correspondence with TRCA

A letter was received from the TRCA, on May 31, 2013 confirming receipt of the June 2013 Public Open House notice. TRCA advised that staff would be unable to attend the meeting, but may interested in receiving further information regarding the conceptual design in relation to TRCA program and policy





areas that may be affected. In addition, the TRCA requested to be provided with both hard and digital copies of the public open house display materials for their files. TRCA also requested to be kept informed of the project to confirm permit requirements.

On July 11, 2013 Metrolinx issued a response letter to TRCA advising that they would be kept informed throughout the EA process and preliminary design, including opportunities for providing comments/feedback. Metrolinx also provided TRCA with copies of the POH display materials as per their request.

## Meeting with the TRCA (January 2014)

A meeting with the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) was held on January 28 2014, which included a short presentation by Metrolinx followed by discussion of the key project/design components, proposed electrification facility sites, results of the natural environmental impact assessment, as well as timelines/next steps. No major issues or concerns were raised by the TRCA on the information presented. Following the meeting, a copy of the draft Natural Environment Assessment Report was provided to TRCA on February 21 2014 for their review/comment.

### 8.5.3.4 Fort York National Historic Site

A meeting with staff from the Fort York National Historic Site was held on November 8, 2013 to discuss the proposed electrification infrastructure in the vicinity of Fort York and to carry out a site visit. Specifically, the proximity of the proposed paralleling station location at Ordnance St. to Fort York was discussed as well as the OCS design for this specific portion of the corridor. No issues/concerns related to potential impacts on Fort York National Historic Site were identified as part of the meeting, as the paralleling station will be situated at a sufficient distance from the Fort York area.

#### 8.5.3.5 Toronto Transit Commission

A meeting with the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) was held on March 10, 2014 to provide an overview of the preliminary design work completed to date and EA process. Some of the key topics discussed included: clearance requirements, proposed OCS attachments to Spadina bridge, maintenance implications, TTC subway crossing locations, and potential EMI related effects of the proposed UP Express traction electrification system. Following the meeting, more detailed comments were received from the TTC, which are summarized in **Table 8-3**.

As requested by TTC, a supplemental information package was provided to them for their information/review on March 18, 2014.



8-67



#### 8.5.3.6 Toronto Hydro

A meeting with Toronto Hydro was held on March 12, 2014 to provide an overview of the preliminary design work completed to date and to discuss clearance requirements and potential utility relocations related to Toronto Hydro infrastructure. Subsequently, comments were received from Toronto Hydro on March 18 2014, which are summarized in **Table 8-3**.

## 8.5.4 Summary of Review Agency Comments Received

**Table 8-3** below provides a summary of review agency comments received and how they were considered by Metrolinx.





#### TABLE 8-3 SUMMARY OF REVIEW AGENCY COMMENTS AND HOW THEY WERE CONSIDERED BY METROLINX

	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
FEDEAL AGENCIES			
Transport Canada	Pre-Planning Phase	There is a federal property in the general vicinity of the project. The Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (2012) includes requirements and provisions for projects involving federal lands. Please review the Directory of Federal Real Property (http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/dfrp-rbif/) for additional information, and if your project will potentially interact with any of these sites please notify the appropriate federal department or agency as soon as possible.	A review of federal properties in the vici identified as potentially affected staken on the project contact list to ensure the Houses and Notices of Commencement GTAA/NavCan to discuss the project, ar to the NavCanada and Greater Toronto meetings.
		Transport Canada is responsible for the administration of the Navigable Waters Protection Act (NWPA), which prohibits the construction or placement of any "works" in navigable waters without first obtaining approval. If any of the related project undertakings cross or affect a potentially navigable waterway, the proponent should prepare and submit an application in accordance with the requirements as outlined in the attached Application Guide and Form.	Based on the current UP Express electri i.e., Humber River, Black Creek, Mimicc are no project works proposed in the w anticipated to be required.
		Please review the Minor Works and Waters (Navigable Waters Protection Act) Order, established to outline the specific standards and criteria under which Transport Canada considers a work as a minor and does not require an application under the NWPA	The Minor Works and Waters (NWPA) ( Express route (i.e., Humber River & Blac "Aerial Cables – Power and Communica anticipated to be required
		Transport Canada is also responsible for inspecting and auditing federally regulated railway companies that are subject to the Railway Safety Act.	Metrolinx has an MOU with Transport C owned rail corridors by Transport Cana regulated. Because we are not under the regulated.
		Transport Canada is also responsible for inspecting and auditing federally regulated railway companies that are subject to the Railway Safety Act. Transport Canada also regulates some provincial shortlines from the Province of Ontario that are part of an Agreement between the Federal Government and the Province of Ontario. The Railway Safety Act, with related regulations and rules, provides the legislative and regulatory framework for safe railway operations in Canada. The rail safety program develops, implements and promotes safety policy, regulations, standards and research, and in the case of railway grade crossings, subsidizes safety improvements. A list of all the Rail Safety legislations (the Act, Regulations, Rules, Guidelines, Policies and Standards) that applies to the federally regulated railways, can be found here: http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/railsafety/legislation.htm	<ul> <li>framework to ensure safety oversight of the specific statute. The application of federal registive specific statute. The application of arguments. That is, if a federal statute the statute can't or shouldn't apply to I</li> <li>The Railway Safety Act requirements w Express Electrification Preliminary Designers. Notwithstanding this, it is note reviewed during detailed design, as applied to the statute during detailed design, as applied to the statute during detailed design, as applied to the statute during detailed design.</li> </ul>
		The Act also addresses the construction and alteration of railway works, the operation and maintenance of railway equipment and certain non-railway operations that may affect the safety of federally regulated railways. If a proposed railway work is of a prescribed kind, pursuant to the Notice of Railway Works Regulations, the proponent shall not undertake the work unless it has first given notice of the work in accordance with the regulation. More information related to railway works is available at the following internet sites:	
		<ul> <li>Railway Safety Act: http://www.tc.gc.ca/acts-regulations/acts/1985s4-32/menu.htm</li> <li>Notice of Railway Works Regulations: http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/SOR-91-103/</li> <li>Standards Respecting Pipeline Crossings Under Railways: http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/railsafety/standards-tce10-236.htm</li> <li>Guideline on Requesting Approval to Undertake Certain Railway Works: http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/railsafety/guideline-283.htm</li> </ul>	
Greater Toronto Airports Authority (GTAA)	Pre-Planning Phase	Clarification requested regarding whether no the APM will need to be shut down as part of the construction phase of the UP Express Electrification project.	It is not anticipated that the Airport Ped electrification, as work will occur overn
		station/guideway.	determine if they are sufficient for elec
Nav Canada	Pre-Planning Phase	Request for additional information related to potential Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) effects on equipment located in the vicinity of the UP Express spur line / UP Express Pearson Station.	As part of the information package sub- preliminary EMI and EMF assessment for contained a summary of the proposed of phase in order to confirm potential EMI applicable.
	TPAP Phase	As discussed at previous NAV CANADA/GTAA/Metrolinx meetings, the issue of electromagnetic interference (EMI) generated by the proposed ARL – specifically, the potential impact on existing NAV CANADA communications, navigation, and surveillance (CNS) facilities – will be addressed in the EMI/EMF assessment and the EMC Control plan to be developed by Metrolinx.	Metrolinx confirms that the potential El Express navigation, and surveillance (CP Control Plan to be developed as part of

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

cinity of the study area was completed and the GTAA and NavCanada were holders. As a result, it was confirmed that GTAA and NavCan were included ey would receive all project notifications including Notices of Public Open t/Completion. Further, meetings were held between Metrolinx and nd the potential issues / concerns relevant to these two stakeholders. Refer o Airports Authority section above for additional information related to these

ification design, there are no anticipated negative impacts to watercourses – o Creek, as project works will take place on existing bridge structures. There vater. Therefore, no formal applications/approvals under NWPA are

Order was also reviewed. The two watercourse crossings along the UP ack Creek) fall under the Minor Works and Waters (NWPA) Order, Section 5 ation". As a result, no formal applications/approvals under NWPA are

Canada for Rail Inspection Services. This provides for inspection of Metrolinxada. The agreement is required because Metrolinx is not federally the jurisdiction of Transport Canada, we are setting up a contractual of our operations.

gulations to Metrolinx is really a case by case determination, with reference to a federal statute may also be subject to possible constitutional purports to apply to Metrolinx, there may be a constitutional argument that Metrolinx.

vere reviewed and adhered to where applicable as part of developing the UP ign. In general, these requirements are not applicable to electrification ed that the requirements of Transport Canada/s regulations will be further propriate.

ople Mover (APM) will need to be shut down during implementation of night during non-service window.

age have barrier protection and they will be assessed during detailed design to ctrification

for the airport spur area was included. This information package also future work/studies that will need to be carried out during detailed design II effects and to determine mitigation measures to be implemented, where

EMI impacts on NavCan communications, generated by the electrified UP (NS) facilities will be addressed in the EMI/EMF assessment and the EMC f the detailed design phase.







	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
		The EMI/EMF assessment must take into consideration CNS system performance specifications as per the current International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Annex 10 (Aeronautical Telecommunication - International Standards & Recommended Practices). Below are the minimum signal field strength and the maximum tolerable noise levels taken from Annex 10 for a few existing operational CNS system at Pearson International Airport (CYYZ).	The more detailed EMI/EMF analysis to specifications as per the current Interna Telecommunication - International Star
		VHF Air Traffic Control (ATC) Voice Communication Receivers (Annex 10, Volume 3, Part II, Section 2.2 & 2.3)	
		• Any undesired signal must be 15 dB below 20 uV/m (which is a minimum acceptable signal throughout the service volume). In addition, a 10 dB margin is required to account for noise from other sources from within surrounding area.	
		<ul> <li>Instrument Landing System (ILS) including Localizer and Glidepath (Annex 10, Volume 1, Section 3.1)</li> <li>Minimum signal of 40 uV/m is required within the ILS service volume.</li> <li>In addition, NAV CANADA Flight Inspection standard require that any interference signals present within ILS</li> </ul>	
		service volume must not exceed 24.5 dBuV/m or 16.8 uV/m as part of the ILS operational certification. Distance Measuring Equipment (DME) (Annex 10, Volume 1, Section 3.5.4)	
		<ul> <li>Peak equivalent isotropically radiated power shall ensure -89 dBW/m<sup>2</sup> within collocated ILS service volume</li> <li>Receiver shall trigger transponder at -103 dBW/m<sup>2</sup> received peak power density;</li> <li>Recommendation: "Protection against interference outside the DME frequency band should be adequate for the sites at which the transponders will be used."</li> </ul>	
		Multilateration (MLAT) information is being requested and will follow.	
		Any other radio frequencies to be used in association with the operations of the proposed ARL (signalling, walkie- talkie, etc.), shall not generate intermodulation products among themselves or with other existing frequencies that will cause harmful interference to existing NAV CANADA operational frequencies.	<ul> <li>This is not an electrification issue but for existing GO transit. A Track Circuit Assist DMU.</li> </ul>
		Metrolinx will provide the complete EMI/EMF assessment and the EMI/EMC control plan reports for review by NAV CANADA prior to commencement of the ARL operation. The EMI/EMC control plan will include the field test plan to verify no harmful EMI interference to NAV CANADA CNS facilities.	<ul> <li>Metrolinx confirms that a copy of the E commencement of the electrified UP E.</li> <li>Metrolinx also confirms that the EMC C not adversely affect NavCan CNS facilit</li> </ul>
		In addition, the general guidelines provided in Part II of the current Transport Canada TP1247 (Land Use in the Vicinity of Airports) should be observed. Any deviation to the guidelines can potentially have an impact on existing operational CNS facilities, thus will need to be addressed in the EMI/EMF Assessment and Control plan. For example, <the attached="" pdf=""> shows the Electromagnetic Noise (EMN) protection zones for the ILS runway 23 and 24R, as well as the 500m EMN zone around the existing NAV CANADA ATC Tower Contingency and GTAA's Apron Management Unit communication sites.</the>	Metrolinx confirms that the more detai consideration the general guidelines pr Vicinity of Airports). The results of this of detailed design, and a copy of this re
		Due to the proximity of the ARL link to Pearson International Airport and the approach to runway 23 in particular, the EMI/EMF assessment must also consider EMI protection for the radio equipment in the aircraft. While the ICAO Annex 10 document mentioned above includes some specifications for the avionics portion, it would be prudent for Metrolinx to consult with the avionic industries and/or authorities such as Transport Canada as necessary to ensure any EMI issue is properly addressed.	Metrolinx confirms that the more detai consideration assessment of radio equi specifications will be reviewed and con authorities (e.g., Transport Canada) as addressed.
		As requested by Metrolinx, we have attached a map of the existing operational CNS facilities at Toronto PIA, including the two MLAT Remote Units (RU) located very close (< 100 meters) to the proposed ARL; these units are part of the currently operational MLAT system at CYYZ.	Thank you for providing this informatio
		Industry Canada addresses any spectrum management issues that may arise from your proposal and consults with NAV CANADA Engineering as deemed necessary.	Comment noted.
Ministry of the Environment (MOE)	Pre-Planning Phase	Requested submission of Draft Air Quality and Noise/Vibration work plans that summarized the scope and methodology to be followed for the Noise/Vibration and Air Quality EA studies.	Draft Air Quality and Noise/Vibration W
		In Europe and in other parts of North America, power pickup for electrified heavy rail traditionally uses an overhead pantograph system, which is not normally found in Ontario. There might be noise issues connected with these.	The catenary for the UP Express will be between the contact wire and the slidir pantograph/contact wire interface are vehicles.

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

o be carried out during detailed design will consider CNS system performance national Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Annex 10 (Aeronautical andards & Recommended Practices.

or the DMU operations, the Radio frequencies used will be similar to the ister operating at 165KHZ will be tested under the EMI/EMC test plan for the

EMC Control Plan will be provided to NAV CANADA prior to prior to express service.

Control Plan will include field testing to verify that potential EMI emissions will ties.

iled EMI/EMF analysis to be carried out during detailed design will take into rovided in Part II of the current Transport Canada TP1247 (Land Use in the s analysis will be documented in the EMC Control Plan to be prepared as part eport will be provided to NavCan and GTAA for review.

ailed EMI/EMF analysis to be carried out during detailed design will take into upment in the aircraft. Specifically, the ICAO Annex 10 document nsidered, and Metrolinx will consult with the avionic industries and/or s necessary during detailed design to ensure any EMI issues are adequately

on. This will be considered in the electrification detailed design of UP Express

Nork Plans were submitted to the MOE on July 3, 2013.

e a constant tension system designed to provide for a smooth interface ing pantograph. Based on similar projects, any noise levels generated by the typically minor and cannot be distinguished from the noise generated by the







<b>Consultation Phase</b>	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
	The one difference between a diesel and an electric line is the need for large power transformer stations at various locations along the line, which are not needed to power a diesel line. Given that there are active land uses, many of them sensitive, close to most of the length of the Air Rail Link, there could be challenging noise control issues from this area. Some basic order of magnitude information on the capacity of each transformer and how many might be needed to be spaced along the line would be very valuable.	<ul> <li>There will be a paralleling station<sup>3</sup> locat Eglinton Ave. W. and Black Creek Dr. ar where the Kitchener line splits from the autotransformer.</li> <li>The project team is working closely with footnote #1) and completing the noise mitigation measures.</li> </ul>
	If a decision has been made as to the maximum size of electrified trains, that would be important as well. The diesel proposal for the line involved the equivalent of three self-powered rail cars per train; if the electrified line is to use more, this is important to know for noise purposes.	The electrified line will use the same siz train).
TPAP Phase	A qualitative emission loading assessment for DMUs and EMUs was done as summarized in Table 4-1 "Estimated UP Express System Wide EMU Regional 24-hr Contaminant Emission Rates" and Table 4-2 "Estimated UP Express System Wide DMU Local 24-hr Contaminant Emission Rates".	The reference provided for Table 4-1 w (OPA) Supply Mix Summary for Electricities
	▶ The reference(s) for the source of data presented in Table 4-1 and 4-2 should be integrated in the AQA.	<ul> <li>Formal reterences are:</li> <li>SENES Consultants Limited, Supplemen June 2007 http://www.powerauthority</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Ontario Power Authority, Supply Mix Suppower-system-plan/supply-mix-summa</li> </ul>
		No reference was provided for Table 4- report (immediately above Table 4-2), v from the reference LTK Engineering Ser reference was provided in the report.
	Section 4.3 entitled "EMU Maintenance Facility" summarizes the maximum predicted and combined emissions from all the sources.	<ul> <li>Dispersion modelling completed for the Guideline A-11 Air Dispersion Modelling</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Please clarify if the dispersion modelling has followed the ministry's practices as stipulated in Guideline A-11 Air Dispersion Modelling Guideline for Ontario.</li> </ul>	
	In regards to the emissions estimates for the EMU maintenance facility, please provide the estimated total dissolved solids content in the cooling water for the cooling tower.	<ul> <li>The Environment Canada NPRI Toolbox dissolved solids) was used.</li> </ul>
	Lastly, please provide a sample electronic AERMOD modelling input and output file for the EMU maintenance facility for our review.	As requested, a sample electronic AERN facility was provided to MOE for review
	Agreement to incorporate the flows from the EMU maintenance facility into the stormwater pond associated with the Lowes retail development should be secured at the EA stage.	As part of the property acquisition proc confirmed that stormwater runoff from corridor to the south (which encompase pond situated adjacent to the site, to the prepared in support of the Draft Plan of and confirms the following:
		The mixed employment/commercial sub municipal stromwater management fac than the determined governing target r The SWM pond will provide erosion con mm runoff will be infiltrated/attenuated proposed stormwater management des control as that were determined throug Transportation (MTO), and the Toronto included as an Appendix to the Natural

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The paralleling station at Eglinton Ave. W./Black Creek Dr. was previously envisioned to be a switching station, based on the conceptual level design, it was determined that only one traction power substation would be required for the UP Express. As a result, the switching station at Eglinton Ave. W./Black Creek Dr. will be a paralleling station instead, as there is no longer a need to switch between substations for power supply.

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

ted in the vicinity of the central region of the corridor (i.e., approximately rea), as well as a paralleling station in the vicinity of Bathurst St./Ordnance St. Lakeshore West line. The paralleling stations will contain one 10 MVA

th Hydro One<sup>4</sup>, who will be responsible for designing the new substation (see analysis (for the traction power substation) including identification of

ze trains as the diesel proposal (three Electrical Multiple Unit (EMU) cars per

vas: 2005 Integrated Power Service Plan (IPSP) and Ontario Power Authority ity Production

tary Environmental Impacts Report for the Integrated Power System Plan, .on.ca/sites/default/files/page/4503 G-3-1 Att 1.pdf

Immary (December 2005) http://www.powerauthority.on.ca/integratedary-december-2005

-2; data in Table 4-2 was calculated based on the parameters outlined in the which are engineering estimates for the current UP Express system design rvices, Traction Power System Simulations Report, 2012; note that this

EMU Maintenance Facility followed the ministry's practices as stipulated in g Guideline for Ontario.

default total dissolved solids value of 12,000 ppmw (reference: AP-42, high

AOD modelling input and output file (for PM2.5) for the EMU maintenance

cess previously carried out by Metrolinx for the 50 Resources Rd. site, it was the entire 14.7 ha site bounded by Resources Rd. to the north and the rail ses the 5 ha 50 Resources Rd. site) will be accommodated by the stormwater he east. The report entitled Stormwater Management Report that was Subdivision Application (May, 2011) describes the design of the SWM pond

bdivision will provide quality and quantity control measures through a future cility. The subdivision will have a release rate of 0.455 m3/s which is lower release rate of 0.70 m3/s in the previous Functional Servicing Report (FSR). ntrol and Level 1 treatment (80% TSS removal) as per the MOE guidelines. 5 ed within the developed subdivision areas. The report demonstrates that the sign meets the criteria for: quantity control, erosion control, and quality gh recommendations provided by the City of Toronto, Ministry of and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA). A copy of this Report will be Environmental Assessment Report.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Hydro One is carrying out a separate EA study under the Class EA for Minor Transmission Facilities process to assess the environmental effects associated with the new Traction Power Substation. Please refer to: Hydro One Union Pearson Express Electrification Traction Power Substation Class Environmental Assessment - Draft Environmental Study Report.



Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
	<ul> <li>Information should be provided in support of utilizing the planned SWM pond and should include the level of treatment the SWM pond will achieve (with the additional flows) and the analysis that shows the pond will be able to handle the "excess" flows. Enhanced Level 1 Protection should be the minimum goal and should be clearly stated. The OGS (oil and grit separator) sizing and applicability to treat the stormwater from the site should also be discussed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The above noted information will be ac in relation to the 50 Resources Rd. main</li> <li>The analysis to demonstrate the pond v development is contained in report ent Draft Plan of Subdivision Application (M</li> <li>In addition, the May 2011 report (Section Quality control for the development permanent pool and sediment forebact TRCA. Refer to Appendix B for email of</li> </ul>
	• SWM measures were not discussed for the other site developments. SWM measures should be clearly stated and applied as part of a treatment train approach as described in the Ministry's 2003 Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual.	<ul> <li>With regard to the proposed paralleling</li> <li>As outlined in the EPR, the proposed paralleling</li> <li>As outlined in the EPR, the proposed paralleling</li> <li>the proposed Metrolinx Eglinton Crosstown</li> <li>management measures related to develog the approved TPAP Addendum completed</li> <li>Eglinton Crosstown EPR Addendum docum management measures for the proposed of A Stormwater Management System (SWN Development Standard, including the provand a network of paved roads and parking designed on this basis, with appropriate stimillimetre diameter storm sewer that is low.</li> <li>The storm runoff will be discharged to Bla an Enhanced Level of water quality treatmer Planning and Design Manual (2003) and u constraints on Eglinton Avenue, oil grit septreatment.</li> <li>An on-site SWM pond is protected for with quantity of stormwater discharge before the further defined as part of the detailed design:</li> <li>With regard to the proposed paralleling.</li> <li>The change in the ground surface at the fastorm water drainage patterns. Therefore design:</li> <li>During detailed design, a stormwater quantity control, erosion control, and</li> <li>To control both water quality and quadefined as part of the detailed design stormwater Management Planning at the stormwater management plan/dd.</li> <li>The stormwater management plan/dd.</li> <li>The design of the paralleling station for prevents standing water at or under the stormwater management design be built to the west of the Paralleling.</li> <li>The design of the paralleling station for prevents standing water at or under the stormwater management design be built to the west of the Paralleling.</li> <li>The design of the paralleling station for prevents standing water at or under the stormwater management design be built to the west of the Paralleling.</li> <li>The design of the paralleling station for prevents standing water at or under the sterning the site drainage system and the s</li></ul>
	The requirement of an Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) for any stormwater works (or modifications to an existing ECA if applicable) should also be discussed.	<ul> <li>An Environmental Compliance Approva applicable) will be obtained from the N</li> </ul>

#### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

dded to the EPR to document how stormwater management will be addressed intenance facility.

will accommodate the additional flows from the 50 Resources Rd. titled *Stormwater Management Report* that was prepared in support of the Aay, 2011) (refer to above response).

ion 3.3) notes the following with respect to level of treatment:

will be provided by the storm water management facility in the form of a ay providing Enhanced (Level 1) quality control as per discussions with the correspondence from TRCA.

#### ng station site at 3500 Eglinton Avenue West:

Illeling station at 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. is to be integrated on the same site as vn Maintenance and Storage Facility. With this in mind, stormwater pment of the entire 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. property were assessed as part of d for Eglinton Crosstown project. Specifically, within the October 2013 ment, Section 5.3.2.1, Metrolinx has committed to the following stormwater development at 3500 Eglinton Avenue West:

I) is required at the MSF site, which will be consistent with the Toronto Green vision for green roofs. Current MSF design standards require imbedded track, g areas, the overall site will be highly impervious. The SWM system will be torage and outlet controls. The SWM is planned to outlet to the 1200 pocated on Industry Street.

ack Creek and the Humber River. The SWM system will be designed to achieve nent, as per the *Ministry of the Environment's Stormwater Management* using low impact development techniques where feasible. Due to land parators will be designed to achieve the desired level of water quality

thin the current design of the MSF site to control both water quality and the connection to the municipal storm sewer network. The SWM pond will be sign phase of the project.

#### ng station site at Ordnance Street:

acility location from current conditions may result in alterations to the current a, the following measures will be carried out by Metrolinx during detailed

management plan/design will be carried out by Metrolinx and will address: I quality control.

antity of stormwater discharge, stormwater management measures will be phase of the project in accordance with the *Ministry of the Environment's nd Design Manual (2003).* 

lesign will be developed in consultation with MOE, City of Toronto, and the thority (TRCA), as appropriate.

ed to achieve the desired level of water quality treatment in accordance with esign.

n will be coordinated with the City of Toronto's design for the adjacent park to g Station.

foundations shall ensure water drains to the site drainage system and equipment and structural steel.

ated with the transformers and autotransformers shall prevent oil from d contain fluids.

val (ECA) for stormwater works will be obtained from the MOE prior to

nent as outlined above will be added to the EPR document. al (ECA) for stormwater works/drainage (or modifications to existing ECA', if AOE for each of the facility sites (Maintenance Facility site, and both






<b>Consultation Phase</b>	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
		paralleling station sites) prior to constru
	Please note that sewer discharges are not generally regulated by the TRCA (section 9.2.5).	<ul> <li>Comment noted.</li> </ul>
	Inclusion of Diesel Multiple Units (DMUs) in the Baseline Conditions: Section 4.9 of the Draft EPR and Section 3.1 of Part A of the NVAR state that the approach of the assessment is to include the DMUs as noise sources when calculating the baseline conditions. This is an incorrect approach because the purpose of the project is to replace the DMUs with Electric Multiple Units (EMUs). Therefore, DMUs should not be included as noise sources since their operations will cease once the EMUs are fully operational.	<ul> <li>The approach applied that includes DM with the Ministry of the Environment (f meeting was to discuss the proposed at a follow up to this meeting (as per the l this approach was provided to the MOE received at that time.</li> <li>The approach of including the DMUs in noise and vibration), as this project is ir which involves conversion of DMUs to l electrification which will not be implem Express service and were assessed as p. <i>Express Link Environmental Project Rep</i> considered as part of the UP Express Electrification project is to evaluate the necessary to consider DMUs as part of electrification project.</li> </ul>
	Background Sound Levels for the Paralleling Stations: Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 of Part A of the NVAR should provide information regarding the background sound levels, measured or predicted, at the points of reception neat the two paralleling stations. If no background sounds levels will be used as criteria, then exclusion limits shall be used, as outlined in the NPC 200 guideline.	Background sound levels were not esta exclusionary limits would apply to the e Noise and Vibration Assessment Report applied in the evaluation of pairs impact
	<ul> <li>Guidelines for Construction Activities: Sections 3.3, 4.1.5 and 5.2 of Part B of the NVAR state that NPC-115 and the City of Toronto Municipal By-Law are the guidelines to be used for construction activities. However, there are other guidelines that should be included as well, including:         <ul> <li>a) MOE Publication NPC-118, "Motorized Conveyances";</li> <li>b) MOE Publication NPC-207, "Impulse Vibration in Residential Buildings", November 1983; and c) City of Mississauga Noise Control By-Law 360-79.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Noise and Vibration Assessment Re documents.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Noise Assessment for the EMUs: Section 4.1.1.3 of Part B of the NVAR states that engine and wheel-rail will be the dominant noise sources for the EMUs, then Section 4.1.1.4 concludes that the noise levels of the EMUs will be equal to or lower than that of the DMUs. However, no assessment has been provided to compare the noise levels of EMUs and DMUs to support that conclusion.</li> <li>In addition, it is stated that catenary noise is the greatest at train speeds above 125 miles per hour. However, pantograph noise could be potentially significant as it is not speed dependent, and therefore should be assessed for the EMU noise levels.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Similar to the response above, it is note discussed with the MOE at the meeting Plan provided to the MOE on July 3rd, 2 DMU manufacturer for the design of DI intends to require noise specifications f</li> <li>With regard to the pantograph, the qua the March 25th, 2013 meeting and was Further, the literature that reviewed or</li> </ul>
		Administration, 2012) associates noise Rail Administration (FRA) noise impact included in an assessment when train s anticipated that engine and wheel-rail r electrification Noise and Vibration Asse 145 km/hr.
	Noise Assessment for the Paralleling Stations: Sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 of Part B of the NVAR identify that each of the paralleling stations contains one (1) 10 MVA autotransformer and two (2) auxiliary transformers, but the section only considers the autotransformers as the significant noise source. There should be assessment conducted for the auxiliary transformers as well, since the nearest points of reception are only about 180 metres away, these transformers could potentially be significant noise source. If not, rationale should be provided to justify the auxiliary transformers as insignificant noise sources.	<ul> <li>The paralleling stations are each com (~2 MVA) and a control / switchgear in power level of a typical 10 MVA trans requirement to apply a 5 dB tonal per commonly applied to transformers. The auxiliary transformers have been con compared to the main autotransform the auxiliary transformers would each (including the tonal penalty). In gene the lower sound level is considered in The EPR and NVAR were updated to re</li> </ul>
	Metrolinx and their consultants have an adequate understanding of the geology and hydrogeology along and in the vicinity of the railway right-of-way. With respect to the proposed construction, most of the works will be shallow and installed above the water table; so, from a groundwater perspective, significant environmental impacts are not anticipated. However, some of the proposed works will have deeper foundations and ground water control will very likely be required. In this regard, the Draft EPR draws attention to the construction of the proposed EMU maintenance facility.	<ul> <li>Acknowledged.</li> <li>With regard to PTTW requirements, the</li> </ul>
	► In any event, a Permit to Take Water (PTTW) will be required if construction dewatering flows exceed 50,000 L/day.	

#### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

#### uction.

1U noise levels as part of the baseline conditions was based on a meeting held MOE) and Metrolinx project team on March 25th, 2013. The purpose of this pproach and methodology to the Noise/Vibration and Air Quality studies. As MOE's request), a detailed Noise and Vibration Work Plan that summarized E on July 3rd, 2013 for comment and no objections from the MOE were

In the baseline condition is a base assumption in all aspects of the EA (not only ntended to evaluate the effect of the electrification of the UP Express line EMUs. The DMUs will be in place and operating in 2015 (prior to nented until 2017 at the earliest, pending funding for construction) for the UP part of the approved *Georgetown South Service Expansion and Union Pearson bort* (Metrolinx, 2009), and therefore form part of the base case scenario to be lectrification EA project. Accordingly, as the purpose of the UP Express e effect of converting from diesel to electric trains on the UP Express line, it is the baseline conditions in order to demonstrate the net effect of the

ablished in the vicinity of the paralleling stations. In this case, the MOE evaluation of noise impacts from these stations. As noted in Part B of the t (Sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 specifically), the MOE exclusionary limits were licts of these stations.

eport and EPR document will be updated to include reference to these

ed that the approach for comparing the change from DMU to EMU noise was g held on March 25th, 2013. As outlined in detailed Noise and Vibration Work 2013, it was stated that Metrolinx has provided noise specifications to the MUs to be purchased for use in the UP Express corridor; and that Metrolinx for the EMUs that are either the same or more stringent than those for DMUs. alitative assessment approach was also discussed previously with the MOE at s also outlined in the Noise and Vibration Work Plan provided to the MOE. n this topic to-date (e.g., Arai, M., and Yoshio, B., 1975; U.S. Federal Railroad from this source only with high speed rail. In a recent update to the Federal assessment guidance, it is recommended that pantograph noise only be speeds exceed 160 mph (257 km/hr). At lower speeds (i.e., UP Express), it is noise will be the dominant sources of noise. As noted in the UP Express essment Report, the UP Express trains will travel a maximum of approximately

nprised of one (1) autotransformer (10 MVA), two (2) auxiliary transformers room located within an approximate 40 m by 25 m footprint. The sound sformer is approximately 87 dBA (Bies & Hansen, 1997). The MOE outlines a enalty to sources that may exhibit a humming characteristic, and as such is The resulting sound power level is 92 dBA for the autotransformer. The two nsidered to be insignificant in this assessment, due to the difference in rating ner. Based on information provided by the design consultant for Metrolinx, the expected to have a sound power level of approximately 75 dBA eral, when sources differ in sound level by greater than 10 dB, the source with nsignificant relative to the louder source. reflect this information.

ese are documented in Section 6.4.3 and Section 9.2 of the EPR.







	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Conside
		Please note that the PTTW technical review process looks in detail at the environmental impacts potentially associated with the groundwater taking	
		<ul> <li>Metrolinx and their consultants have undertaken numerous Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs) with respect to the UP Express Electrification project. Based on these ESA studies, it appears that Metrolinx and their consultants have a good understanding of potential and existing "site contamination issues" along and in the vicinity of the railway right-of-way (Draft EPR; February 2014; page 6-40).</li> </ul>	Acknowledged.
		Section 6.5 ("Natural Environment – Contaminated Sites") "discusses the potential impacts of the UP Express Electrification project on, or relating to, existing contaminated sites" (Draft EPR; February 2014; page 6-40), which include the Ordnance St. paralleling station, the 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. paralleling station, the 50 Resources Rd. EMU maintenance facility, and the 175 City View Drive traction power substation.	<ul> <li>Acknowledged.</li> </ul>
		With the exception of the Ordnance St. paralleling station, Metrolinx and their consultants discussed the types of contamination impacting the soil and/or the groundwater at these sites. In addition, they discussed the approach the types of contamination impacting the soil and/or the groundwater at these sites.	With respect to the Ordnance paralleli
		(where the soil and groundwater has been impacted by PHCs, VOCs, metals, and inorganics), in "accordance with	There is potential for disturbance of cont
		Ontario Regulation 153/04 a Risk Assessment (RA) approach is proposed (Golder Associates Ltd., January 2013) to	paralleling station site. Improperly handle
		41). The discussion concerning the types of contamination and the approaches taken to managing the	dewatering (if any) has the potential to co
		contamination at these sites provides a basis for understanding their conclusions concerning potential footprint,	preventative measures, workers can be e
		<ul> <li>With respect to the Ordnance St. paralleling station, the type(s) of contamination impacting the soil and/or groundwater and the approach taken to managing the contamination are not discussed. As a reviewer, without</li> </ul>	generated during construction can spread
		some background information concerning these issues, it is difficult to understand how the conclusions and recommendations were reached.	Therefore, the following mitigation meas
			contamination:
			A health and safety plan be dev
			Contaminated soils and ground
			regulations (i.e., Ontario Enviro
			Goods Act and Regulations, Ont
			An excess materials manageme
			Pumped groundwater (if requir
			of Toronto water guidelines and
			Dust control will be practiced d
			It is noted that in 2010, SPL Beatty compl Ordnance location. The report recomme contaminated material.
Ministry of Transportation	TPAP Phase	Highway Engineering - Our biggest concern is our near and long term construction projects.	UP Express electrification does not rec
		We have some projects on Hwy 427 going over the next couple of years, including rehabilitation/widening of the 427 structure that these OCS will be attached to. Also, with the electrical facilities attached to our 401 and 427 structure, will we be able to complete a proper structure rehab in the future? It will be hard enough getting time to work, based on the expected frequency of the trains, but will we be able to remove the facilities when we do deck replacement in 20 years or so?	additional impacts are anticipated in r Electrification. There will be proper pri the maintenance and stakeholder proj is set, there are no anticipated obstacl years.
		Electrical - There may be possible conflicts with MTO lighting systems on Hwy 401 and Hwy 427 where train structures will be constructed. Metrolinx must investigate and verify all existing MTO electrical systems in the area affected. Metrolinx must provide approved methods to maintain the MTO systems if affected. Please note that there is a Hwy 427 widening project, which may be tendered in the near future. Highmast lighting will be installed on Hwy 427 under this project.	Metrolinx has undertaken a utility asse proximity to or cross the UP Express R measures established as required. For assessment will need to be carried out MTO on any proposed mitigation mea
		MTO understands there is a structure just north of Site # 37-763 (on Kipling Avenue) within the UP Express route. MTO needs to be informed should the potential work on this structure affect Site # 37-763 and its ROW.	Metrolinx will inform MTO during the with MTO to mitigate any potential im

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

ing station, as outlined in Section 6.5.1.3 of the Draft EPR:

taminated soil and/or groundwater during construction at the Ordnance ed excess contaminated soil and contaminated groundwater pumped during contaminate property and surface water, respectively. Without appropriate exposed to unacceptable levels of contamination during construction. Dust d contamination.

ures, based on best management practices, will be implemented to manage

- veloped and implemented for construction workers;
- water will be managed in accordance with provincial legislation and
- nmental Protection Act, Ontario Regulation 347, Transportation of Dangerous tario Regulation 153/04).
- ent plan will be developed and implemented;
- red) will be treated such that discharge complies with prevailing TRCA and City Id requirements;
- uring construction.

leted a Phase 1 and Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment that included the endations are being followed by Metrolinx in relation to managing

quire more frequent train operation than the Diesel trains. Therefore, no relation to train operation to 401/427 rehabilitation projects due to UP Express rocess/procedure setup and agreed between Metrolinx and stakeholders for jects for the bridges, including 401 and 427. Once the agreement and process les for the bridge deck replacements and other MTO projects in the next 20

essment for the UP Express electrification project. Utilities that are in ROW were identified, and potential impacts were assessed, and mitigation r the future upcoming utility projects on Hwy 427, an additional utility it during the detail design stage. If required, Metrolinx will consult with the asures.

detail design stage, if there is a potential impact on this structure and work apacts.







	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
		MTO requires an exhaustive repair to the soffit and fascia of the other 8 structures along UP Express route (6 structures at its intersection with Hwy 427 and two at 401),	<ul> <li>Acknowledged. Metrolinx will take into</li> </ul>
		MTO requires a structural evaluation to be performed on the above-mentioned structures to investigate the effect of any additional loading (including, but not limited to, the OCS attachments to the soffit) imposed on the structures as a result of this project.	<ul> <li>Acknowledged. Metrolinx will take into</li> </ul>
		Further drawings, to the standard accepted by MTO, are required to show the details of the OCS attachment to the bottom flange of pre-stressed CPCI girders for Site # 37-984/1 & /2 (Hwy 427) and the precaution measures undertaken to avoid any damage to the pre-stressed strands.	Acknowledged. Metrolinx will take into
Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport	TPAP Phase	<ul> <li>1a). Metrolinx Interim Cultural Heritage Management Process (2013)</li> <li>Both the CHAR and the Draft Environmental Project Report (Section 4.6) reference the "Metrolinx's "internal Draft Heritage Protocol (Draft Protocol hereafter)". Metrolinx finalized this document in Fall of 2013 under the title, Metrolinx Interim Cultural Heritage Management Process (2013). Accordingly, the reports for this EA should be revised to reflect the correct document</li> </ul>	The EPR and CHAR have been updated Process (2013)".
		1b) In addition, the text in the CHAR (section 2.1 page 2-3) and the draft EPR (section 4.6.1 page 30-31) should be revised and updated to reflect the language and content of the Metrolinx Interim Cultural Heritage Management Process (2013). For example, the term "qualified persons" should be used instead of "a qualified heritage specialist". The evaluation process described would result in a CHER and a CHE Recommendation Report. We note that section 2.1 includes extensive description of the Process. This should be updated accordingly.	The EPR and CHAR have been updated heritage specialist".
		2. "Metrolinx Status"	► Correct.
		<ul> <li>The Draft EPR (section 4.6.2) and the corresponding Tables (Tables 4.2 and 6.2) use the term "Metrolinx Status" to "reflect the current status of each CHR in accordance with the evaluation process for determining cultural heritage value or interest" (page 31). Properties owned by Metrolinx are identified as "Potential Provincial Heritage Property" and those owned or co-owned by another party (i.e., the City of Toronto?) as being "Conditional Heritage Property".</li> <li>These terms are confusing and conflate two distinct concepts. From a heritage perspective, the term</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To provide clarification, the term "Pote accordance with the definitions as outli It is noted that the cultural resources (C 9/06 or O. Reg, 10/06 as part of the EA detailed design phase), the status of ea purposes of the EPR/CHAR, no change t</li> <li>It is noted that in some cases, there may be a statement of the ease of the term of term of</li></ul>
		"potential" refers to a property that has been identified but not yet evaluated under O.Reg 9/06 or 10/06. As such the cultural heritage value or interest of the property has not yet been determined. MTCS suggests using the term "Heritage Status" instead of "Metrolinx Status". The column could then record whether or not that property has been evaluated under the O.Reg 9/06 or 10/06 of the OHA and /or the result of the CHER.	and Metrolinx). In addition, ownership to be further discussed with the City of authorization to alter a heritage structu Management Process (2013).
		An additional column could be added to indicate property ownership, and as such whether the Standards & Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties (S&Gs) would apply.	
		3) Table 6.2 Draft EPR	<ul> <li>Chapter 6 of the Final EPR includes a co potentially affected cultural heritage re to the MTCS however it will be included</li> </ul>
		In addition to the recommendations above, MTCS would suggest that the Table 6.2 include the specific potential impacts and also the proposed mitigation that is included in the body of the report. As an example, would be a format similar to Table 6.3.1.1-1 in the Environmental Project Report for the Georgetown South Service Expansion and Union-Pearson Rail Link.	appropriate as part of finalizing the EPF
		<ul> <li>4a) Bathurst Street Bridge (CHR1)</li> <li>▶ The Draft EPR – section 6.6.1.1 (page 47) states the potential effects to this CHR include displacement of heritage attributes and/or disruption of setting due to the addition of a bridge protection barrier. We note the CHAR includes the potential Construction Impacts (Section 3.1.1.3 – page 9-10) that are not mentioned in the draft EPR.</li> </ul>	It is noted that the potential construction included in EPR Section 6.6.1.5. However Heritage Conservation District. Therefor related construction effects and mitigated
		4b) Bathurst Street Bridge (CHR1)	The mitigation measures proposed for the
		The Draft EPR – section 6.6.1.1 (page 47) recommends the following mitigation/monitoring measures:	include the following:
		<ul> <li>Carry out a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) to identify heritage value and attributes (during detailed design);</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>During detail design, the Heritag</li> <li>Heritage Procentation Social</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>If found to have cultural heritage value in accordance with the Metrolinx Draft Heritage Protocol</li> <li>Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) will be conducted (during detailed design) to further identify potential</li> </ul>	
		include impact Assessment (hind) will be conducted (during detailed design) to further identify potential	

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

account MTO's request during the detailed design stage

account MTO's request during the detailed design stage.

account MTO's request during the detailed design stage.

to include the reference to "Metrolinx Interim Cultural Heritage Management

to reflect the reference to the term "qualified persons" instead of "a qualified

ential Provincial Heritage Property" is referenced in the EPR and CHAR in lined in the Metrolinx Interim Cultural Heritage Management Process (2013). CHR) identified in the EPR/CHAR have not yet been evaluated under O. Reg phase. Once the CHER's have been undertaken as required (as part of ach CHR will be updated to reflect the results of the CHER. Therefore, for the to the "Metrolinx Status: column heading has been made. ay be joint ownership of bridge/rail overpass structures (e.g., City of Toronto o of bridges/rail overpasses may be governed by agreements, which will need

o of bridges/rail overpasses may be governed by agreements, which will need f Toronto during the detailed design phase. Accordingly, where Metrolinx has ure, Metrolinx will follow the Metrolinx Interim Cultural Heritage

omprehensive summary of the potential impacts and mitigation measures for esources. This table was not provided in the information package submitted d in the Final EPR. This table will be updated to reflect MTCS's comments as R. As a result, no revisions to Table 6-2 were required.

ion impacts to all bridges and associated list of mitigation measures was ver Section 6.6.1.5 is applicable to both bridge CHRs as well as the Fort York bre, separate headings will be added to this section to distinguish the bridgeition from the Fort York-related construction effects and mitigation.

Bathurst St. Bridge will be augmented within the Final EPR and Final CHAR to

ge Impact Assessment (HIA) be developed in consultation with MTCS and City







<b>Consultation Phase</b>	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
	<ul> <li>impacts and appropriate mitigation measures;</li> <li>Undertake detail design of the bridge following the recommendations (e.g., heritage attributes to be conserved) outlined in the HIA;</li> <li>Follow Metrolinx Draft Heritage Protocol for managing heritage assets</li> </ul>	
	MTCS Comments and Recommendations:	
	<ul> <li>In addition to the recommendations in the Draft Environmental Project Report, MTCS recommends that the during detail design, the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) be developed in consultation with MTCS and City Heritage Preservation Services</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>4c) King Street Subway (CHR3)</li> <li>The Draft EPR (page 49) states the potential effects to this CHR include displacement of heritage attributes and/or disruption of setting due to the addition of an OCS structure attachment to the bridge. We note the CHAR includes the potential Construction Impacts (Section 3.1.2.3 – page 11) that are not mentioned in the draft EPR.</li> <li>The Draft EPR states that the mitigation/monitoring measures in section 6.6.1.1 of the Draft EPR (page 47) are also recommended for the King Street Bridge. Accordingly, MTCS Comments and Recommendations stated above should also be considered.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is noted that the potential construction measures was included in EPR Section 0 is the Fort York Heritage Conservation Distine bridge-related construction effects mitigation.</li> <li>In addition, the mitigation measures as ou and Final CHAR to reflect the following:         <ul> <li>During detail design, the Heritage Heritage Preservation Services</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	4d) Fort York and Garrison Common National Historic Site and Heritage Conservation District	Acknowledged, no change to the EPR o
	The Draft EPR (page 53) states the potential effects include potential displacement and/or disruption of the original	
	alignment of Garrison Creek, obstruction/disruption of identified/protected views (Viewpoints 4, 9e, and 20), and/or	
	disruption of setting through the introduction of light sources (required for safety/security).	
	In addition, the Draft EPR states that there will be additional impacts associate with the construction activities	
	associated with installing bruge protection barriers, OCS attachments, and grounding grus to bruges will have	
	that are not in keeping with the character of the bridge) to the setting of those bridges that have been identified as	
	CHRs and to the setting of Fort York Precipit In addition, the construction activities associated with installing the	
	paralleling station components have the potential to disturb/displace the original alignment of Garrison Creek and the	
	original topography of Garrison Creek Ravine through the removal of soil.	
	The Draft EPR (page 53-54) recommends the following mitigation/monitoring measures:	
	<ul> <li>A visual impact Assessment (via) should be carried out to determine the impact of the Paralleling Station on identified viewpoints to and from Fort York;</li> <li>Carry out a Stage 2 archaeological assessment for the paralleling station site, as recommended through the Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment completed as part of the EA (see Appendix D)</li> <li>During detailed design, lighting (required for safety/security) within the Paralleling Station should be designed to have minimal impact to the darkness of Fort York; and,</li> <li>The detail design plans for the Paralleling Station should be submitted to Heritage Preservation Services at the City of Toronto (http://www.toronto.ca/heritage-preservation/) and to the Friends of Fort York (http://www.fortyork.ca/contact.html) for review and comment prior to construction.</li> </ul>	
	1	

ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

tion impacts to all bridges/rail overpasses and associated list of mitigation 6.6.1.5. However Section 6.6.1.5 is applicable to both bridge CHRs as well as District. Therefore, separate headings will be added to this section to distinguish s and mitigation from the Fort York-related construction effects and

utlined in Section 6.6.1.1 of the EPR will be augmented within the Final EPR

ge Impact Assessment (HIA) be developed in consultation with MTCS and City

or CHAR required.







Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consideration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx
	<ul> <li>In addition the Draft EPR (page 54) recommends the following mitigation/monitoring measures for potential construction impacts:</li> <li>To minimize these potential temporary effects, staging areas should be carefully selected so that they are non-invasive and avoid all heritage attributes.</li> <li>In addition, pre-construction vibration studies may be required to mitigate any potential vibration related impacts (to be determined during detailed design).</li> <li>Pre-construction conditions should be re-established through post-construction landscape treatments, where appropriate. If possible, construction activities should avoid the removal of soil in the vicinity of Garrison Creek and the former Garrison Creek Ravine.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>4e) Existing GO Rail Corridor (CHR28)</li> <li>The Draft EPR (footnote page 45) and CHAR (January 2014) indicate that heritage attributes of the rail corridor, which consist of the alignment, width right-of way, and arrangement of tracks, will not be impacted by the current proposed Electrification EA.</li> <li>However, Table 10 of the CHAR states that "Portal structures to be erected approximately every 5—65 m along the rail". Was the visual impact of these portal structures considered? This would be of particular concern where the corridor runs through or adjacent to Heritage Conservation Districts.</li> </ul>	Yes – the visual impact of implementing OCS support structures every 50 – 65 meters along the corridor was considered as part of a separate Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) that was undertaken as part of the EA. The results of this VIA will be included in the Final EPR document.
	<ul> <li>4f) Phase 1 and 2 Weston HCD</li> <li>The Draft EPR states that this section of the study area is located in proximity to: <ul> <li>Phase 1 Weston HCD</li> <li>Phase 2 Weston HCD</li> </ul> </li> <li>See MTCS's comments above regarding visual impacts of the portal structures along the rail line. Were visual impacts of the portal structures considered in regard to the HCDs?</li> <li>4g) 3500 Eglinton Avenue West (former Kodak Lands) (CHR 29)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Visual Impact Assessment considered the potential visual effects of the OCS support structures in the vicinity of the two HCDs. The results of this VIA will be included in the Final EPR document.</li> <li>The Metrolinx Heritage Committee has evaluated the CHER for Building #9 on 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. It was</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The footnote to Table 6.2 states: "The potential impacts and mitigation measures related to developing the entire 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. property were previously assessed as part of the Final Eglinton Crosstown LRT EPR Addendum (October 2013), therefore there will be no new adverse effects on CHRs associated with the paralleling station footprint on this site".</li> <li>On October 10, 2013 MTCS provided comments on the Eglinton Crosstown LRT EA (Addendum), including recommendations for the Kodak Property. At that time MTCS had not seen the CHER or the HIA that had been completed for the Kodak Property. We are still awaiting receipt of the CHER and Metrolinx's Heritage Review Committee's decision form confirming the cultural heritage value of this property.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>determined that Building #9 is a Provincial Heritage Property. The Metrolinx Heritage Committee Decision Form will be submitted to MTCS in due process.</li> <li>Metrolinx has committed to preservation and restoration of Building #9 as part of the Eglinton Crosstown development.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>4h) Wallace Avenue Pedestrian Bridge (CHR 7)</li> <li>The Draft EPR (page 55) states the potential effects to this CHR include displacement of heritage attributes and/or disruption of setting due to the addition of a bridge protection barrier, attachment of a grounding grid, and potential alteration of the bridge deck to accommodate the OCS. We note the CHAR includes the potential Construction Impacts (Section 3.2.1.3 – page 26-27) that are not mentioned in the draft EPR.</li> <li>The Draft EPR states that the mitigation/monitoring measures in section 6.6.1.1 of the Draft EPR (page 47) are also recommended for the Wallace Avenue Pedestrian Bridge. Accordingly, MTCS Comments and Recommendations stated above should also be considered.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is noted that the potential construction impacts to all bridges and associated list of mitigation measures was included in EPR Section 6.6.1.5. However Section 6.6.1.5 is applicable to both bridge CHRs as well as the Fort York Heritage Conservation District. Therefore, separate headings will be added to this section to distinguish the bridge-related construction effects and mitigation from the Fort York-related construction effects and mitigation.</li> <li>In addition, the mitigation measures as outlined in Section 6.6.1.1 of the EPR will be augmented within the Final EPR and Final CHAR to reflect the following:         <ul> <li>During detail design, the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) be developed in consultation with MTCS and City Heritage Preservation Services</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>4i) Rogers Road Bridge (CHR B5)</li> <li>► The Draft EPR (page 56) states the potential effects to this CHR include displacement of heritage attributes and/or disruption of setting due to the addition of a bridge protection barrier, attachment of a grounding grid. We note the CHAR includes the potential Construction Impacts (Section 3.2.2.3 – page 27-28) that are not mentioned in the draft EPR.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is noted that the potential construction impacts to all bridges and associated list of mitigation measures was included in EPR Section 6.6.1.5. However Section 6.6.1.5 is applicable to both bridge CHRs as well as the Fort York Heritage Conservation District. Therefore, separate headings will be added to this section to distinguish the bridge-related construction effects and mitigation from the Fort York-related construction effects and mitigation.</li> <li>In addition, the mitigation measures as outlined in Section 6.6.1.1 of the EPR will be augmented within the Final EPR</li> </ul>







	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Conside
		The Draft EPR states that the mitigation/monitoring measures in section 6.6.1.1 of the Draft EPR (page 47) are also recommended for the Rogers Road Bridge. Accordingly, MTCS Comments and Recommendations stated above should also be considered.	<ul> <li>and Final CHAR to reflect the following:</li> <li>During detail design, the Heritage Preservation Services</li> </ul>
		4i ) Jane Street Bridge (CHR B8)	<ul> <li>Heritage Preservation Services</li> <li>It is noted that the potential construct included in EPR Section 6.6.1.5. Howe United Construct Theorem</li> </ul>
		The Draft EPR (page 56) states the potential effects to this CHR include displacement of heritage attributes and/or disruption of setting due to the addition of a bridge protection barrier. We note the CHAR includes the potential Construction Impacts (Section 3.2.3.3 – page 29-30) that are not mentioned in the draft EPR.	related construction effects and mitiga
		► The Draft EPR states that the mitigation/monitoring measures in section 6.6.1.1 of the Draft EPR (page 47) are also recommended for the Jane Street Bridge Accordingly MTCS Comments and Recommendations stated above should	In addition, the mitigation measures as or
		also be considered.	and Final CHAR to reflect the following:
			During detail design, the Herita, Heritage Preservation Complete
			Heritage Preservation Services
		4k) Humber River Rail Overpass (CHR 13)	Section 6.6.1.5 are applicable to bridge separate headings will be added to this
		The Draft EPR (page 57-58) states the potential effects to this CHR include displacement of heritage attributes and/or disruption of setting (i.e. brick piers with stone footings, gunite repairs and board finish on the south side of the bridge) due to the proposed attachment of OCS portal structures to the bridge piers (via wall brackets).	<ul><li>from the Fort York-related constructio</li><li>To clarify, the proposed mitigation me</li></ul>
		The Draft EPR indicates additional impacts resulting from construction activities associated with attaching OCS portal structures to the bridge (e.g., introduction of physical, visual, noise-related, and atmospheric elements that are not in keeping with the character of the bridge). And potential for short-term disruption effects to the bridge piers due	physical, visual, noise-related, and atm River Bridge are as follows: a) Staging areas should l
		<ul> <li>The Draft EPR recommends the following mitigation measures as outlined in Section 6.6.1.5 (i.e those for Garrison Creek) are to be implemented:</li> </ul>	b) Pre-construction vibra impacts
		To minimize these potential temporary effects, staging areas should be carefully selected so that they are non-	treatments.
		invasive and avoid all heritage attributes. In addition, pre-construction vibration studies may be required to	
		mitigate any potential vibration related impacts (to be determined during detailed design). Pre-construction	
		conditions should be re-established through post-construction landscape treatments, where appropriate. If	
		possible, construction activities should avoid the removal of soil in the vicinity of Garrison Creek and the former	
		Garrison Creek Ravine. ► Please confirm that the reference to Section 6.6.1.5 is correct.	
		4l) Humber River Rail Overpass (CHR 13)	<ul> <li>To provide clarification, as outlined in</li> <li>The Humber River Rail Overpass was p completed in 2011 (by Golder Association)</li> </ul>
		It should be noted that Golder Associates evaluated the bridge and found that the Humber River Rail Overpass (also referred to as the Humber River Bridge) was determined to have cultural heritage value and has local and provincial significance.	associated with the Georgetown South report entitled: Heritage Impact Asses Railway Underpasses, One Railway Ov South Service Expansion and Union Pe
		In 2011 MTCS provided comments on the Humber River Bridge as part of the Georgetown South Service Expansion and Union–Pearson EA. It appears that the CHER is under review by the Metrolinx Heritage Review Committee. MTCS is still awaiting the Committee's decision form regarding this bridge.	<ul> <li>The 2011 HIA recommended that the I</li> <li>It is further noted that a CHER for the South Project construction works, in o Metrolinx Heritage Committee. Once Metrolinx Heritage Committee Decisio</li> </ul>
Ministry of Natural Resources	TPAP Phase	Thank you for the notification. Is this (Notice of Commencement) telling me that the "electrification" will be contained within the existing rail routes and suspended from existing structures? Also, will any heavy equipment be necessary to install the wiring?	With regard to your first question, the Overhead Contact System (OCS) that is trains. The wiring system will be suspe and over the track, including on bridge situated within the existing Metrolinx structures cannot be accommodated v
			In addition to OCS support structures, the
			which are located outside of the rail corri

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

ge Impact Assessment (HIA) be developed in consultation with MTCS and City

tion impacts to all bridges and associated list of mitigation measures was ever Section 6.6.1.5 is applicable to both bridge CHRs as well as the Fort York fore, separate headings will be added to this section to distinguish the bridgeation from the Fort York-related construction effects and mitigation.

utlined in Section 6.6.1.1 of the EPR will be augmented within the Final EPR

age Impact Assessment (HIA) be developed in consultation with MTCS and City

rect, however it is noted that the list of mitigation measures documented in ge CHRs as well as the Fort York Heritage Conservation District. Therefore, is section to distinguish the bridge-related construction effects and mitigation on effects and mitigation.

easures to address potential short-term disruption effects (e.g., introduction of nospheric elements that are not in keeping with the character of the Humber

be carefully selected so that they are non-invasive and avoid heritage

ation studies may be required to mitigate any potential vibration related

ditions should be re-established through post-construction landscape

the draft EPR:

previously evaluated, via a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) that was ites on behalf of Metrolinx) as part of fulfilling the EA approval conditions ith Service Expansion and Union-Pearson Rail Link EA, and documented in a ssment, St. Claire Subway to Highway 27 Overpass, Seven Subways, Two verpass, Two Residences, and Two Cultural Heritage Landscapes, Georgetown earson Rail Link, City of Toronto, Ontario. (Golder Associates, 2011). bridge be classified as a Provincial Heritage Property of Provincial Significance. Humber River Bridge is to be undertaken following completion of Georgetown order to identify heritage attributes. The CHER will then be reviewed by the the Metrolinx Heritage Committee approves the CHER recommendations(s), a *on Form* will be prepared and submitted to the MTCS.

preferred power distribution system for UP Express electrification is an s comprised of a wiring system which will provide power to the electric ended from new OCS support structures (i.e., portals, cantilevers) placed along es/overpasses where required. The majority of OCS support structures will be rail Right-of-Way (ROW), except for a small number of locations where the within the existing ROW.

ere are four facilities that will need to be built to support electrification, all of idor. The proposed locations of these facilities are as follows:







	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Conside
			<ul> <li>Traction Power Substation (TPS</li> <li>The TPS is being assess</li> <li>Paralleling Station (PS) - in the vision</li> <li>The PS is being assess</li> <li>Paralleling Station (PS) - in the vision</li> <li>The PS is being assess</li> <li>Maintenance Facility - in the vision</li> <li>The Maintenance Facility</li> </ul>
			Regarding your second question related t installing the new OCS portals/cantilever
Hydro One Networks Inc.	Pre-Planning Phase TPAP Phase	<ul> <li>Hydro One requested an overview of the electrical clearance requirements related to the UP Express OCS system for their review.</li> <li>Related to the "Hydro One Clearance Requirements" part of the October 2013 memo, please note that this type of work where our facilities (lines) must be modified or relocated to accommodate third party projects is handled independently of any other connection work HONI's actions and undertakings to accommodate your proposal will be done due to your project. To ensure understanding of the basis of our participation and the terms of our undertaking, Metrolinx and HONI will enter into our form of Study Agreement; this Agreement will allow us to more fully examine the feasibility of your proposal to Hydro One's facility impacts.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) Installation of the OCS foundations (ap</li> <li>b) Installation of OCS Portal/Cantilever St</li> <li>Once foundations are in place, of</li> <li>to the site either by truck or rai</li> <li>For portals: structures are pre-a</li> <li>Erect structures using track crant</li> <li>c) Install OCS Wiring</li> <li>Typically completed using a fou</li> <li>d) Construction of facilities – this will requirements for Metrolinx electrification</li> <li>Metrolinx overhead contact system (OCS)</li> <li>Acknowledged. Metrolinx will carry of in accordance with Hydro One's estable</li> </ul>
MUNICIPAL AGENCIES City of Toronto	Pre-Planning Phase	Request to provide draft design drawings for City-owned bridges for review and comment.	An information package was provided City-owned bridges. The package also EMU Maintenance Facility.
		More detailed information on potential easement requirements associated with duct banks at 3500 Eglinton Ave. W. is required.	Detailed information on the potential provided via the December 9 2013 infor regarding duct banks and potential east the City on February 21, 2014.
		Potential traffic effects related to implementation of the Resources Road Maintenance Facility should be considered as part of the EA.	In response to the City's request, a Tra Maintenance Facility at Resources Rd. of site traffic, completing an operation The results of the Traffic Impact Study measures. In addition, it is noted that a information/review.
		► How would inspection/maintenance/repairs to City Bridges occur once OCS is attached to the bridges?	Typical Maintenance A

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

- ) in the vicinity of CityView Drive and the rail corridor
- ssed under the Class EA for Minor Transmission Facilities by Hydro One
- vicinity of Eglinton Ave West and the rail corridor
- ed under the Transit Project Assessment Process by Metrolinx
- vicinity of Strachan Ave and the rail corridor
- ed under the Transit Project Assessment Process by Metrolinx
- inity of Islington Avenue and the rail corridor
- ility is being assessed under the Transit Project Assessment Process by

to heavy equipment required for construction, the proposed methods for and overhead wires are as follows:

- proximately every 50-65m along the corridor)
- ructures
- OCS portal/cantilever structures will be installed structures to be transported lcar.
- assembled and ready to lift
- ne
- r vehicle wiring unit on the rail corridor
- uire the typical equipment used for construction of facilities

rolinx to Hydro One on October 28, 2013 that outlined the electrical clearance n of UP Express in order to assist identifying potential conflicts between the 5) clearance requirements and Hydro One clearance requirements. It the Study Agreement process during the detailed design phase, as required, ished process.

to the City on September 19, 2013 containing preliminary design drawings of included draft site plans for each of the proposed traction power facilities and

easement requirements for installation of ductbanks along Ray Avenue were ormation package that was submitted to the City of Toronto. Information sements was also provided via an additional information package submitted to

ffic Impact Study was prepared by Metrolinx for the proposed EMU The scope of the study included but was not limited to: preparing a forecast al analysis for future background traffic conditions using a 2020 horizon year. will be documented in the Final EPR, including any proposed mitigation a copy of the Traffic Impact Study was provided to the City for their

ctivity (no OCS)

New Requirements (with OCS)







<b>Consultation Phase</b>	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency		Consideration of Commer	nt/Issue by Metrolinx
		1	Track patrol / visual inspection from ground level	No requirement for de-energization or removal of the OCS system
		2	Planned / Routine Maintenance of bridge	OCS to be de-energized over electrified lines to eliminate risk of electric shock to bridge maintenance team.
				No requirement for removal of OCS equipment.
		3	Extensive maintenance to bridge soffit in the	OCS to be de-energized over electrified lines to
			vicinity of OCS attachments	eliminate risk of electric shock to bridge
				maintenance team.
				OCS equipment may require removal to if extensive repairs are required to the bridge /
				tunnel soffit.
	▶ Requested confirmation of who owns the retaining walls under the Strachan Avenue Bridge.	The retain	ining walls under Strachan Avenue Bridge are owne	d by Metrolinx.
	determine if the bridge has the capacity for the additional required bridge barriers.	An addition if the br	idge has the capacity for the required bridge barrier	s.
	Requested that Metrolinx confirm the new John Street, King Street and Church Street bridges (at the Weston Tunnel) have the required bridge barriers (for electrification) incorporated into their designs.	<ul> <li>For the that add bridge b route. T protecti</li> </ul>	Church St. and King St. bridges, we have reviewed th ditional bridge barriers will not be required as part of parriers will provide adequate protection / pedestria he John St bridge is at 95% completion. Therefore, t on / pedestrian safety for electrification is provided.	e designs for these two bridges and have determined f electrification of the UP Express route. The current n safety in relation to electrification of the UP Express the final design will be reviewed to ensure that adequate
	How will post-construction damage to be repaired?	<ul> <li>A pre-co work. A restorat</li> </ul>	onstruction conditions survey will be completed by the post-construction conditions survey will be undertal ion sign-off will be required jointly between any affe	he contractor prior to commencement of construction ken after completion of construction and final ected parties and Metrolinx.
	Additional information requested regarding consideration of different options for portal styles (with different visual appearances).	Metrolin Detailed	nx's Design Review Team will be involved in reviewin I Design phase.	g potential options for portal structure styles during the
	Are there potential impacts related to stray current as a result of the electrified system?	In the ca the inte associat anticipa	ase of AC traction (which will be implemented for UF nded return current path for AC traction. Therefore, ed with AC traction. As a result, no corrosion of buri ted with respect to electrification of the UP Express.	P Express Electrification), the earth (ground) is a part of there is no stray current flow through the ground ed metallic bodies along the path of the return current is
	At the Sudbury Street portal location (potential horizontal conflict), the City suggested a workshop with City Street Furniture Group to determine how best to locate the OCS poles in this area, which will consider the City's restoration plan for this area from the current construction work in the area.	As part the fina	of detailed design, Metrolinx will consult with the Cit I design and location of OCS poles in the Sudbury St.	ty of Toronto to review design options and to determine area.
	A meeting with the City Planning department is required in order to further discuss/confirm: Zoning as it relates to the proposed traction power facility sites, setbacks and urban design standards for industrial/commercial areas, confirmation of Site Plan approval requirements, etc.	A follow noted th prior to	at Metrolinx's Design Review Team will be engaged subsequent TPS design submissions/consultation wi	g, setbacks, site plan approval requirements, etc. It is in the review of traction power facility (TPF) designs th the City.
TPAP Phase	<ul> <li>Rod Robbie Pedestrian Bridge:</li> <li>Has the bridge been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>How will the protection barriers be designed to minimize impacts on existing architectural features of the bridge?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	Bridge e be unde will be o	evaluation to determine feasibility of installing protect ertaken during the detailed design phase. Responsibi letermined according to the agreement governing th	ction barriers and the design of protection barriers will lity for maintenance/cleaningof the protection barriers ne particular bridge.
	<ul> <li>Peter Street/Blue Jays Way Bridge:</li> <li>→ Has the bridge been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>→ Bridge type - precast concrete girders with concrete deck (error in MX report). Will a grounding grid be required?</li> <li>→ If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bridge be un barrie</li> </ul>	e evaluation to determine feasibility of installing prot dertaken during the detailed design phase. Responsi rs will be determined according to the agreement go	tection barriers and the design of protection barriers will bility le for maintenance/cleaning of the protection overning the particular bridge
	<ul> <li>Has the bridge been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>City cannot comment on TTC owned portion of the bridge.</li> </ul>	mitigati phase. F mainter	on of galvanic reactions, and the verification of bridg Pending the establishment of agreements, it is expect nance/cleaning of the protection barriers. All bridge	ted that the bridge owner will be responsible for owners will be duly informed as required.







<b>Consultation Phase</b>	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
	<ul> <li>Has Metrolinx provided this information to TTC?</li> <li>Maintenance issues associated with connections of OCS and Grounding Grid are a concern to the City. How will rehabilitation in these areas occur? Who will be responsible for removal and reinstatement of the elements to allow for rehabilitation?</li> <li>Does the connection of the OCS have the potential for galvanic reactions that may result in accelerated deterioration of the steel in that area?</li> <li>Bridge type - steel box girders with a concrete deck (error in MX report). Will a grounding grid be required?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A meeting with the Toronto Transit Corpreliminary design work completed to a requirements, proposed OCS attachme locations, and potential EMI related eff</li> <li>As requested by TTC, a supplemental in March 18, 2014.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Portland Ave. Pedestrian Bridge</li> <li>Has the bridge been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>How will the protection barriers be designed to minimize impacts on existing architectural features of the bridge?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bridge evaluation to determine feasibil be undertaken during the detailed desi barriers will be determined according to</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>It transparent variety are installed, who is responsible for infanterianterianterianterianteria.</li> <li>Truss portion of Bathurst Street Bridge is a designated Heritage Bridge. Has the installation of the required barrier been assessed in terms of the impact on the architectural details of the heritage Bridge? What approvals are required for modification of a designated heritage structure?</li> <li>City owns and is responsible for the maintenance of the concrete sidewalks and steel brackets. Have the concrete sidewalk and steel brackets been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protections barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bridge evaluation to determine feasibilities and the verification of bridge types, inconduring the detailed design phase. Respondetermined according to the agreement.</li> <li>With respect to approvals required for Bridge is listed as a cultural heritage response to the transformer to the transforme</li></ul>
	Fort York Pedestrian Bridge	Assessments). Additional electrification requirements
	<ul> <li>Gity design should make provisions for future track electrification. Additional costs for design/construction associated with provisions to be covered by MX?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	governing the particular bridge s.
	<ul> <li>Strachan Ave. Grade Separation</li> <li>Are provisions being made in current design to allow for future track electrification?</li> <li>Are the superstructure and parapets designed to withstand the additional wind loading caused by barrier installation?</li> <li>If the City is responsible for the future maintenance of this bridge, maintenance issues associated with connections of OCS and Grounding Grid are a concern to the City. How will rehabilitation in these areas occur? Who will be responsible for removal and reinstatement of the elements to allow for rehabilitation?</li> <li>Does the connection of the OCS have the potential for galvanic reactions that may result in accelerated deterioration</li> </ul>	Provisions for future track electrificatio feasibility of installing protection barrie and the verification of bridge types will maintenance/cleaning off the protectic particular bridge. Removal/re-instatem will be undertaken by Metrolinx in coor

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

mmission (TTC) was held on March 10, 2014 to provide an overview of the date and EA process. Some of the key topics discussed included: clearance ents to Spadina bridge, maintenance implications, TTC subway crossing fects of the proposed UP Express traction electrification system. Information package was provided to them for their information/review on

lity of installing protection barriers and the design of protection barriers will ign phase. Responsibility le for maintenance/cleaning of the protection to the agreement governing the particular bridge

lity of installing protection barriers, extent and type of bridge rehabilitation, cluding heritage structures and associated requirements, will be undertaken onsibility le for maintenance/cleaning of the protection barriers will be nt governing the particular bridge.

or heritage structures, Metrolinx acknowledges that the Bathurst Street resource by the City of Toronto (Bathurst St, Heritage Property Detail. City of e at

ervation/details.do?folderRsn=2433678&propertyRsn=754694>. Last

ent Report prepared as part of the EA, potential effects to the Bathurst St. age attributes and/or disruption of setting due to the addition of a bridge

monitoring measures are recommended:

eritage Evaluation Recommendation Report (CHER) to identify heritage value detailed design);

ral heritage value in accordance with the Metrolinx Interim Cultural Heritage (2013), a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) will be conducted (during insultation with the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) and City of servation Services to further identify potential impacts and appropriate

of the bridge following the recommendations (e.g., heritage attributes to be the HIA;

im Cultural Heritage Management Process (2013) for managing heritage

Metrolinx Heritage Committee to be of provincial heritage value, Metrolinx

f Provincial heritage properties maintained by the MTCS and will provide all as appropriate to MTCS.

ulture and Sport sign-off / agreement will be sought with respect to the

n /studies to be undertaken during detailed design for potentially affected

Cultural Heritage Evaluation Recommendation Reports and Heritage Impact

s should be incorporated into the final design for Fort York Bridge. ng of the protection barriers will be determined according to the agreement

on and coordination with City bridge design, bridge evaluation to determine ers, extent and type of bridge rehabilitation, mitigation of galvanic reactions, I be undertaken during the detailed design phase. Responsibility for on barriers will be determined according to the agreement governing the nent of electrification elements required for bridge rehabilitation/maintenance rdination with the bridge owner.







Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Considera
	of the superstructure in that area? ► If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?	
	<ul> <li>Dundas Street Bridge</li> <li>Has the bridge been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>Maintenance issues associated with connections of OCS and Grounding Grid are a concern to the City. How will rehabilitation in these areas occur? Who will be responsible for removal and reinstatement of the elements to allow for rehabilitation?</li> <li>Does the connection of the OCS have the potential for galvanic reactions that may result in accelerated deterioration of the steel in that area?</li> </ul>	Bridge evaluation to determine feasibili design phase. Responsibility for mainte agreement governing the particular bric
	<ul> <li>In transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> <li>Wallace Ave. Pedestrian Bridge</li> <li>Has the bridge been evaluated to determined the feasibility of replacing the wooden deck and installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bridge evaluation to determine feasibili be undertaken during the detailed desig will be determined according to the agr</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Rogers Road Bridge</li> <li>Has the bridge been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bridge evaluation to determine feasibili be undertaken during the detailed desig will be determined according to the agr</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Jane Street Bridge</li> <li>▶ Has the bridge been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind</li> </ul>	Bridge evaluation to determine feasibili be undertaken during the detailed desig will be determined according to the agr
	<ul> <li>Weston Tunnel</li> <li>Are provisions being made in current design to allow for future track electrification?</li> <li>Are the superstructure and parapets designed to withstand the additional wind loading caused by barrier installation?</li> <li>If the City is responsible for the future maintenance of this bridge, maintenance issues associated with connections of OCS and Grounding Grid are a concern to the City. How will rehabilitation in these areas occur? Who will be responsible for removal and reinstatement of the elements to allow for rehabilitation?</li> <li>Does the connection of the OCS have the potential for galvanic reactions that may result in accelerated deterioration of the superstructure in that area?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	Provisions for future track electrification feasibility of installing protection barrier and the verification of bridge types will maintenance/cleaning of the protection particular bridge Removal/re-instateme will be undertaken by Metrolinx in coor
	<ul> <li>Islington Ave. Bridge</li> <li>Has the bridge been evaluated to determine feasibility of installing protection barriers (capacity to withstand significant additional wind loading)?</li> <li>Maintenance issues associated with connections of OCS and Grounding Grid are a concern to the City. How will rehabilitation in these areas occur? Who will be responsible for removal and reinstatement of the elements to allow for rehabilitation?</li> <li>Does the connection of the OCS have the potential for galvanic reactions that may result in accelerated deterioration of the steel in that area?</li> <li>If transparent barriers are installed, who is responsible for maintenance/cleaning?</li> </ul>	Provisions for future track electrification feasibility of installing protection barrier and the verification of bridge types will maintenance/cleaning of the protection particular bridge. Removal/re-instateme will be undertaken by Metrolinx in coor
	<ul> <li>Traffic Planning reviewed the traffic impact study (prepared by Hatch Mott MacDonald), dated February 12, 2014, that examines the traffic impacts of the proposed Resources Road Maintenance Facility; to be used as a rail car storage and servicing yard for the proposed Metrolinx "Union-Pearson Express Electrification - EA".</li> <li>The proposed Resources Rd maintenance facility is not expected to negatively impact the level of service of the adjacent street system.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acknowledged.</li> </ul>
	<ol> <li>Information provided does not contain sufficiently detailed drawings showing where the proposed (4m by 1 m) electrification duct banks are to be located, consequently there are unresolved concerns as to:         <ul> <li>ainduced currents (from the 25kV duct bank cables) in adjacent Toronto Water metallic infrastructure / galvanic corrosion,</li> <li>b. telemetry interference with Toronto Water assets (Strachan Pumping Station &amp; Western Beaches Battery Park PS) from duct banks, and</li> <li>c. physical access obstruction to Toronto Water infrastructure from the duct banks.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Additionally, the associated costs of (electrical) bonding of Toronto Water Infrastructure should be identified as part of the project, (similarly for any additional maintenance costs associated with the proximity of the duct banks to Toronto Water assets).</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Metrolinx has developed site pla City of Toronto The site plans sho a. UP Express traction ele since the AC current pr there would be no noti the induced AC current been applied to the UP stray currents. Notwitl be carried out to confir induced currents. EMF intensity electromagne b. Toronto Water telemet</li> </ol>

### ation of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

ity of installing protection barriers will be undertaken during the detailed enance/cleaning the protection barriers will be determined according to the dge

ity of installing protection barriers and the design of protection barriers will gn phase Responsibility for maintenance/cleaning of the protection barriers reement governing the particular bridge

ity of installing protection barriers and the design of protection barriers will gn phase. Responsibility for maintenance/cleaning of the protection barriers eement governing the particular bridge.

ity of installing protection barriers and the design of protection barriers will gn phase. Responsibility for maintenance/cleaning of the protection barriers eement governing the particular bridge..

n and coordination with City bridge design, bridge evaluation to determine ers, extent and type of bridge rehabilitation, mitigation of galvanic reactions, be undertaken during the detailed design phase. Responsibility for n barriers will be determined according to the agreement governing the ent of electrification elements required for bridge rehabilitation/maintenance rdination with the bridge owner.

n and coordination with City bridge design, bridge evaluation to determine rs, extent and type of bridge rehabilitation, mitigation of galvanic reactions, be undertaken during the detailed design phase. Responsibility for barriers will be determined according to the agreement governing the ent of electrification elements required for bridge rehabilitation/maintenance dination with the bridge owner.

ns for the three traction power facilities as provided in the package to the ow preliminary location of the 25kV duck banks.

ectrification current reverses its direction 60 times in a second. Therefore, rofile is uniform and sinusoidal and change the polarity 60 times in a second, iceable corrosion impact on buried metallic objects located along the path of ts. Experience from other similar projects and industry best practices have P Express electrification preliminary design to assess potential impacts of AC hstanding this, during detailed design, further studies and investigations will rm there will be no adverse effects on Toronto Water assets related to the emanated from the 25kV cables installed in duck banks will be in the low etic field in low frequency range 60 Hz to 400 Hz.

try frequencies are at the much higher frequency range and no EMI effects to







<b>Consultation Phase</b>	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
		the Toronto Water tel c. Potential physical acco during the UP Express during the detail desig will not be obstructed 2. The associated costs of (electric Express traction electrification p proximity of the duct banks to T Toronto Water utilities cross un proactive maintenance activities
	Toronto Fire Services:	The design of the paralleling station ha
	Comments regarding the Ordnance Street Paralleling Station:	for the turn around
	The access drive is 6m wide and 300m long with no ability to turn around where it ends. A turn-around area sufficient to accommodate an aerial fire apparatus should be constructed at the end of the access drive.	The fire access design issue will be coo adjacent development.
	The current proposal would only permit fire apparatus to line up in a queue, with only the lead apparatus close proximity to the station.	Fire apparatus will have access to the r to the rail tracks in the MSF, the access will be arread between the Fire depart
	Clarification Required – Will Fire apparatus have access to the rail lines at the Maintenance facility at 50 Resources Rd. if required?	<ul> <li>Access to fire apparatus beyond bridge</li> </ul>
	Clarification Required – Will Fire apparatus have access beyond the bridge protection barriers?	apparatus will have access beyond the and follow proper procedure for safety and Metrolinx during detail design.
	Fire Prevention	<ul> <li>Metrolinx acknowledges Fire Preventic</li> <li>Metrolinx will provide final designs for Eglinton Ave. W. to Fire Prevention Se</li> </ul>
	Based on the scope and stage of this project, Fire Prevention has no significant comments at this time. Fire Prevention reviews for fire department access to buildings and this is dealt with at the Site Plan Approval process.	
	If a proposed building is not subject to the Site Plan Approval process, the fire department access is reviewed by Toronto Building under the Building Permit process. Fire department access shall meet the requirements of the Ontario Building Code, Division B, Subsection 3.2.5.	
	When the design is complete, Fire Prevention should review the buildings at 50 Resource Road, the passenger terminals and at the Ordnance Street (Triangle) and any other associated buildings.	
	Fire Prevention had previously provided comments for the Ordnance Street (Triangle), but as the design is still preliminary, final approval has not been given. When the fire access route exceeds 90 metres in length, a turnaround facility is required. See attached pdf for acceptable turnaround facilities.	
	Urban Design and Community Planning Comments	Safety and quality are always the higher loadership will be in place during the d
	The Union Pearson Express corridor will become a major gateway to the city and should be a source of civic pride through its design. Quality design should be the highest priority. Ensure there is proactive design leadership throughout the detailed design process.	
	Carefully examine the need for all proposed infrastructure within the corridor, with the objective of installing it only where absolutely necessary (i.e. minimize the number of structures required).	<ul> <li>Acknowledged. Metrolinx is committe requirements as part of the design pro</li> </ul>
	Minimize the visual and physical impacts of the elements especially the gantries and the support structures.	
	• Elements must not result in barriers or impediments to larger development opportunities along the corridor.	
	Integrate the individual elements into larger development (e.g. at Mount Dennis incorporate the Paralleling Station into the Maintenance and Storage Facility building).	
	Develop a comprehensive vision for the overall design, details and treatment, including materials, of all elements within the corridor. The vision should include objectives which strive to:	<ul> <li>Acknowledged. Metrolinx is committe of the design process.</li> </ul>

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

lemetry infrastructure is anticipated.

ess obstructions to Toronto Water infrastructure will be analyzed in detail s electrification implementation stage. Metrolinx will engage Toronto Water gn stage to ensure that physical access to the Toronto Water infrastructure I with the 25kV duck banks.

cal) bonding of Toronto Water Infrastructure will be identified as part of the UP project, (similarly for any additional maintenance costs associated with the Toronto Water assets). There are two grounding connections per location for ider the ROW. The additional maintenance cost is associated with the s for these grounding connections.

is taken into account the space for fire truck turn around at the end of the vithin the paralleling station and parking space outside the paralleling station

rdinated with the proposed development and the design of the City Park and

rail lines in maintenance facility when required. Fire apparatus will have access s will be coordinated with maintenance staff and follow proper procedure that tment and Metrolinx during detail design.

e barriers will be regulated by the emergency power down procedures. Fire bridge barriers. However, the access will be coordinated with operation staff y. The process will be discussed and agreed to between the Fire department

on comments and will review during the detailed design. r the buildings at 50 Resource Road, Ordnance Street (Triangle), and 3500 ervices for review.

est priorities of the design of UP Express electrification. A proactive design etail design stage and throughout the entire project life cycle.

ed to design excellence and will review the electrification infrastructure ocess.

d to design excellence and will review the infrastructure requirements as part







Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
	<ul> <li>enrich the pedestrian realm         enrich the sensory experience of users along the corridor, creating a positive impression of this gateway to the city         Design elements should be cohesive and be reflective of this vision:         Consider the various character areas within the corridor; different design treatments may be warranted to ensure         they are contextually sensitive         Integrate elements into larger developments. If this is not possible, ensure that the design of the elements adds         positively to the experience along the corridor and contributes positively to the surrounding context.</li> <li>Group elements such as the Paralleling Stations and the Gantries, in order to reduce the number of structures         Ensure treatments are coordinated with proposed Noise Wall designs, where proposed</li> <li>Create coordinated rhythms and sequences of elements</li> </ul>	Acknowledged. Metrolinx is committee of the design process. We will consider that could be made while still providing
	<ul> <li>Bridges are a relatively rare urban experience, therefore, their treatment requires special attention.</li> <li>Views available from the Bridges of Interest include views to the city and of the skyline. They also provide a variety of perspective views along the rail corridor, such as from Dundas Street Bridge, and Wallace Avenue Pedestrian Bridge. The views should be studied and preserved or enhanced.</li> <li>Views to bridges should not be obscured by the OCS support structures. It is recommended that the wires be supported by the bridge structures rather than have the support structures located on either side of the bridges.</li> <li>OCS should not be placed within right-of-way bridge vistas: -Seek maximum 65m spacing distance between</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acknowledged. Metrolinx is committee of the design process.</li> <li>During the detail design Metrolinx will a ROW bridge vistas.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ocs should not be placed within right-of-way bridge Vistas: -seek maximum osm spacing distance between placement of OCS on bridges</li> <li>Bridge Barrier Protections</li> <li>The requirement of 1.8 metre high Bridge Barrier Protections on all bridges over the rail corridor requires sensitive design solutions, unique for every bridge. Develop a creative design concept that is suitable to the significant civic importance of these structures:         <ul> <li>High quality design is required on all bridges</li> <li>Bridge Barrier Protections should not obscure views</li> <li>Design concepts should embrace the experiential quality of these structures from the perspective of a pedestrian</li> <li>The pedestrian experience should be enhanced, not detracted, by the Protection system as it relates to views and sense of openness that is afforded by bridges</li> <li>Concept should celebrate the civic gateway quality of the rail corridor; the Barriers should not detract from the visual appearance of the city</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Bridge Barrier Protection shown in the precedent image on page 26 of the document dated Feb 19th 2014 decement of the obsume abienting and is unprecedent the City are a calculated by interpretent of the obsume abienting and is precedent the City of the city of the rail corridor; the Barrier Should not detract from the visual appearance of the city.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acknowledged. Metrolinx is committee of the design process.</li> <li>The bridge barrier protection shown in a typical preliminary reference design.</li> <li>Bridge protection barrier design will be with the City.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Place-making, Public Art, Lighting Plan</li> <li>Develop an integrated lighting plan for Bridge Barrier Protections to ensure a safe night-time environment.</li> <li>Lighting and public art should be used to enhance the pedestrian experience and facilitate place making.</li> <li>Different designs/expressions may be warranted to define exceptional locations and to help with place-making.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acknowledged. Metrolinx is committee of the design process.</li> <li>Alternative gaptry locations (part of Or</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The City does not support the location of the proposed gantry at Strachan Avenue. A substantial investment has been made by the City and Metrolinx to improve connectivity in the rail corridor, as well as the quality of the urban environment so that Strachan will become a lively, liveable city street. The proposed gantry at Strachan Ave compromises this city building objective and the overall ambitions of the grade separation project by adding significant visual clutter to an area that has been carefully designed and "repaired" in recent years.</li> <li>The following is recommended as an alternative:</li> <li>Relocate the gantry to an area that is less significant in terms of its gateway qualities, heritage context, and public realm impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alternative gantry locations (east of Orconsidered as part of the preliminary difeasible due to space constraints and the result, the preferred gantry location was complicated current railway track confile</li> <li>It is also noted that a Visual Impact Assidetailed design phase, the gantry design potential screening options that would</li> </ul>

### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

d to design excellence and will review the infrastructure requirements as part r these themes as part of the detailed design to determine any modifications g a safe/reliable design.

d to design excellence and will review the infrastructure requirements as part

also investigate various options to avoid placing the OCS portals within the

d to design excellence and will review the infrastructure requirements as part

the precedent image on page 26 of the document dated Feb 19<sup>th</sup> 2014 is only This is not detail design for construction

e developed bridge by bridge during the detailed design stage in consultation

d to design excellence and will review the infrastructure requirements as part

rdnance paralleling station, adjacent/in front of paralleling station) were design phase, however these alternatives were not considered technically the special trackwork (crossovers and track switches) in these areas. As a as identified as the Strachan Ave. location, based on consideration of the figuration (location of cross-overs) and signaling safety requirements.

sessment was completed as part of the TPAP. As the next step, as part of the gns will be further reviewed by Metrolinx's Design Review Panel to determine I minimize the extent of visual impacts associated with this infrastructure.







<b>Consultation Phase</b>	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Considera
	<ul> <li>If a suitable alternative location for the gantry cannot be found, work in consultation with the City and the local community to determine an appropriate treatment. This could include:         <ul> <li>Locating the gantry fully underground</li> <li>Locating it partially underground, and creating a screen by mounding and other landscape design techniques</li> <li>Screening the gantry with vegetation or an integrated artistic design element, structure, screen, or veil</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Paralleling Station / Communication Tower / Access Road(Ordnance Street)</li> <li>Continue to work with city Staff, Friends of Fort York, the developers and their designers, and the local community to ensure the visual impact of the proposed paralleling station, access road, and relocation of the existing communication tower is minimized, and does not detract from the quality of the proposed public park, or from views and vistas in this vicinity (such as from the proposed pedestrian and cycling bridge, and from Fort York).</li> <li>The grading of the proposed Ordnance Park should be finalized by city Staff, in consultation with Metrolinx, prior to the finalization of the design for the Paralleling station. Consideration should be given to locating at least part of the proposed paralleling station below-grade to ensure the station does not impact eastward views from the proposed Ordnance Park into the Downtown.</li> <li>Metrolinx should provide information to the City for review detailing any potential noise and/or electromagnetic field issues which may impact public enjoyment of the adjacent park space.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Metrolinx will continue work with City S impacts are considered in the detailed d the detailed design of the Paralleling Sta</li> <li>A Noise and Vibration Assessment was c related to electrification of the UP Expres (DMU) trains with Electric Multiple Unit the EMUs to be either the same or more operation of EMUs are anticipated. Wit Facility at Resources Rd., the nearest pa o Fairhaven Park located approxim o Pine Point Park, located approxim o Crawford-Jones Memorial Park, lo</li> <li>The predicted sound level attributable t the sound level contour plot provided in plot indicates that the predicted sound I 43 dBA at Fairhaven Park, 45 dBA at Pina at or below the most stringent MOE sou MOE does not consider park space to be municipality. Pine Point Park and Crawf levels at these locations are expected to the EMU Maintenance Facility would no copy of the Noise and Vibration Assessm period for the EPR in April 2014.</li> <li>Metrolinx has prepared the EMC Plan th electromagnetic field effects and mitigate exposure of EMF are anticipated as a rest the proposed future work/studies that v phase in order to ensure that there are a system (including public enjoyment of th public review during the 30 day review privation and the and the and the are and the and the are and the proposed future work and the are and the public review during the 30 day review privation and the proposed future work and the previse of the public review during the 30 day review private the proposed future work and the previse private and the previse during the 30 day review private the proposed future work and the previse private and the previse the proposed future work and the previse private and the previse that the previse the proposed future work and the previse that the previse t</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Consult with city Staff, Fort York, and the Friends of Fort York on the position on the OCS to ensure views and vistas to this National Historic Site are not impacted.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Metrolinx has met with staff from the F Toronto Heritage Preservation Services Fort York.</li> <li>Metrolinx will continue to engage with F minimized and an animized and an an an animized and an an</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Paralleling Station (3500 Eglinton Ave W – Mount Dennis)</li> <li>Refer to the Metrolinx Mount Dennis Mobility Hub Study, particularly related to the Industry Street and Ray Avenue street frontages and the need to achieve active, interesting and attractive building edges facing the Black Creek Business Area within the Employment Area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Metrolinx recognizes a need to achieve a Business Area.</li> <li>The proposed Paralleling Station is integ</li> <li>Coordination effort with Eglinton Crosst as to optimize the siting of the paralleling</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The proposed Paralleling Station should be integrated within the Maintenance and Storage Facility or alternatively, should be setback on the Metrolinx lands and should not occupy a prominent location along the street frontages.</li> <li>The street frontages are valuable and should be treated as such with active and animated buildings. The current proposed location for the Paralleling Station will prevent these objectives from being achieved.</li> <li>Efforts should be made to reduce the amount of infrastructure required. A more compact proposal which reduces</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>During the detailed design, Metrolinx wi property or burying the duct bank in the Ray Avenue.</li> <li>Metrolinx acknowledges the City's recor potentially minimize impacts upon views will be reviewed during detailed design.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>the length of the duct banks required and reduces the overall footprint of the proposals should be explored.</li> <li>The duct bank should be located on the Metrolinx lands rather than within the municipal right-of-way and should be buried by at least 1.5 metres of soil depth in order to accommodate tree planting along the Industry Street and</li> </ul>	

#### ation of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

Staff, Build Toronto, Developer, City parks etc. to ensure that any visual design. The design of the City park will be taken into consideration as part of ation.

carried out as part of the EA to assess potential noise and vibration effects ess. As the scope of the project involves replacement of Diesel Multiple Unit (EMU) trains, and since Metrolinx intends to require noise specifications for e stringent than those for DMU, no adverse noise effects related to the th respect to the noise assessment carried out for the EMU Maintenance arks include:

nately 380 m south of the Facility;

mately 420 m north of the Facility; and

located approximately 500 m east of the Facility.

to the EMU Maintenance Facility at these locations can be ascertained from in the UP Express Electrification Noise and Vibration Assessment Report. This level due to the EMU Maintenance Facility at these parks are approximately the Point Park, and 40 dBA at Crawford-Jones Memorial Park. These are each und level limit for an urban area (45 dBA), though it should be noted that the e a sensitive point of reception unless designated as a Quiet Zone by the ford-Jones Memorial Park are each adjacent to Highway 401, and sound to be dominated by traffic noise. The predicted sound levels attributable to ot be expected to adversely affect public enjoyment of these park spaces. A ment Report will be made available for public review during the 30 day review

hat summarizes the EMI and EMF assessment, and addresses potential ation. Based on this assessment, no adverse effects related to human esult of UP Express electrification. This report also contained a summary of will be carried out during detailed design phase and testing/commissioning no EMF effects related to implementation of the electrified UP Express the adjacent park space). A copy of this report will be made available for period for the EPR in April 2014.

ort York National Historic Site on held on November 8, 2013 and with City of on January 20, 2014 . In addition, an overview was provided to Friends of

Fort York stakeholders during detailed design regarding visual effects and tential impact.

active, interesting and attractive building edges facing the Black Creek

grated within the future Eglinton Crosstown Maintenance Facility. town team will be made during the detailed design to investigate possibilities ng station .

rill review the feasibility of locating the duck banks within the Metrolinx e road right of way to allow for tree planting along the Industry Street and

mmendations in terms of considering other gantry locations that could /s from the 15 – 20 story buildings on Denarda Street and Oxford Drive.. This .







	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Considera
		Ray Avenue street frontages. The location of gantries should minimize impacts on adjacent consistive land uses including limiting the impacts	
		upon views from the 15- and 10-storey buildings on Denarda Street and Oxford Drive.	
		It appears that the landscape triangle on the north side of Ray Avenue, west of the rail corridor, may be better able to accommodate the gantry and have a lesser impact upon residential lands. Proposed Maintenance and Storage Eacility at 50 Resources Road	Based on the concentual design for the
		<ul> <li>Can the Mount Dennis Maintenance and Storage Facility be designed to accommodate the Union Pearson Express vehicles and eliminate the need for two Metrolinx Maintenance Facilities in such close proximity to one another?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Based on the conceptual design for the Eglinton Crosstown Maintenance Facilit</li> <li>As a Crown Agency, Metrolinx is not bo and as such does not have a requirement</li> </ul>
		► The Site Specific By-law 844-2010 for 50 Resources Road prohibits the use of the site for a maintenance yard. A copy of the By-law is attached for reference. It is recommended that a Zoning Amendment/Site Plan application process be undertaken for the maintenance facility.	and have regard for, the City of Toronto and have regard for, the City's requests Resources Rd., the barrier was found to
		The impact of the maintenance facility on the adjacent land uses and proposed mitigation requires additional consideration. The proposal to construct a 4.5 metre high and 190 metre long noise barrier along the northern edge of the Weston Golf and Country Club appears to be excessive. Could the noise mitigation be achieved with a berm in combination with lower grades on the 50 Resources Road site?	simultaneously on the storage tracks fo to block the line of sight from the full le
		Request for information:	<ul> <li>Acknowledged.</li> <li>The requested information was provide</li> </ul>
		1. AutoCAD drawings showing the locations of the catenary posts (pdf provided already)	
		2. Typical detail of the catenary posts including foundations in case there is a conflict with the drainage	
		3. Metrolinx plan in the vicinity of 99 Sudbury St - with regard to the catenary structure, any possible sound or barrier walls or fences, and with regard to ameliorating the city property. We understand the current Metrolinx construction access will be closed and ameliorated, and that a catenary structure may be located near to or within city property. The Rail path will transition from an "off-road" facility in the City-owned green space adjacent Sudbury, to a "sidepath" facility located closer to the roadway. To achieve this transition, we may need to modify the existing stepped stone landscaping north of the construction access.	
		Information regarding City of Toronto permitting / approval and utility review requirements were provided to Metrolinx on March 20, 2014.	<ul> <li>As a Crown Agency, Metrolinx is exemp and obtain building permits and Site Pla Toronto on specific projects (or comportion)</li> </ul>
Toronto Transit Commission (TTC)	TPAP Phase	Not enough information (voltage, AC/DC, isolated/grounded, etc.) about the traction power system to help us evaluate any interaction between TTC traction power network and the proposed electrification. The EA concentrates only on OCS and bonding and grounding for utilities. We should recommend that EA to further investigate possible interaction between proposed electrification system and existing TTC traction power network.	The traction power voltage is 2 x 25 kV 60 the TTC for their information/review, as re UP Express Electrification OCS Pr UP Express Grounding and Bondi UP Express Traction Power Suppl
		<ol> <li>Union Station - subway station and streetcar tunnel crossing below Union Station rail tracks;</li> <li>Spadina between Front and Bremner - streetcar tracks on bridge over rail tracks;</li> <li>Bathurst between Fort York and Front - streetcar tracks on bridge over rail tracks;</li> <li>King West between Strachan and Dufferin - rail tracks on bridge over streetcar tracks;</li> <li>Queen West@ Dufferin - rail tracks on bridge over rail tracks;</li> <li>Dundas West @ Lansdowne - streetcar tracks on bridge over rail tracks;</li> <li>Boor West@ Dundas West - rail tracks crossing over subway tunnel;</li> <li>St. Clair West between Keele and Old Weston - rail tracks on bridge over streetcar tracks."</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>The Union Railway Station North tracks Union Subway Station and TTC street ca the electrified tracks would be located of TTC street car tracks.</li> <li>Further investigation of the interaction location and existing TTC infrastructure and based on the data collected includio</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>not anticipated to have adverse impacts</li> <li>In addition, please note:</li> <li>The TTC tracks are grade-separated fror parallel and in close proximity to the fut noted above). It was observed that, in a traction power and communication line or overhead) and the OCS. According to communication lines.</li> </ul>

### ation of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

UP Express Maintenance Facility, it will not fit with the requirements for the y.

und by zoning by-laws passed by municipalities under s.34 of the Planning Act nt to apply for and obtain zoning amendments. Metrolinx will consult with, o's planning policies with regard to specific projects (or components thereof) s when and where reasonable.Regarding the proposed noise barrier at be necessary through predictive modelling based on a conservative cual level design for the maintenance facility), including EMU units idling or a full hour during the night. The barrier height and length were established ength of the storage tracks to the full row of houses along Golfwood Heights

ed to the City for review.

ot from Municipal Bylaws as such does not have a requirement to apply for an approvals. Wherever possible, GO/Metrolinx will engage the City of nents thereof) and will adhere to requirements when and where reasonable.

Hz. In addition, the following preliminary design reports were provided to equested:

eliminary Design Report (January, 2014) ing Preliminary Design Report (March. 2014) ly Preliminary Design Report (February 2014)

s are more than 50 m away from the Union Subway Station South tracks. The car tunnel would not cross under the future UP Express electrified tracks, since on the North-West side of the Union Station minimum 150 m away from the

between the proposed UP Express electrification system at the Union Station will take place during the detail design, however at this stage of the design ng distances between TTC and UP Express tracks, UP Express electrification is s to TTC infrastructure, equipment, or rolling stock.

m the UP Express railway lines. In addition, the TTC tracks are not running in ture UP Express electrified tracks (except in the case of Union Station as all instances, there is more than 1 metre of separation between the TTC is (including fiber optics, copper, twisted pairs, triads, and coax, underground to CSA C22.3 No. 1 and No. 7, no EMI is anticipated to the TTC power and







	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
			<ul> <li>Since the EMF at the UP Express railwakV/m, and TTC streetcar and subway sybe immune to conducted interference TTC equipment and communication line</li> </ul>
			Bathurst, Dundas & Spadina bridges - T addition, the TTC tracks are not running It was observed that there is, in all insta communication lines (including fiber op the OCS. According to CSA C22.3 No. 1
			Since the EMF at the UP Express railwark kV/m, and TTC streetcar and subway sy be immune to conducted interference ITTC equipment and communication line
		Metrolinx to investigate potential impacts of electrolysis caused by the electrification of the GO line with respect to existing TTC rails/poles on bridge decks and submit mitigation report/recommendations prepared by a NACE certified consultant for review.	<ul> <li>Corrosion control study will be underta will be 2 x 25kV, 60 Hz, similarly to othe control mitigation measures is anticipal</li> </ul>
		TTC rails cannot be grounded within 10m zone. Metrolinx to provide alternate recommendations for review.(see comment TTC-002).	Please note that grounding of TTC rails
		Detailed design of bridge deck modifications affecting poles supporting TTC overhead to be submitted for review and comment.	The requested information will be prov
		Before drilling into the bridge structure in order to attach wires, OCS, or any other equipment, locates have to be done. Drilling through bridge reinforcement must be avoided.	Locates will be completed during detail
		City comments already cover bridge maintenance and rehabilitation issues related to installation/attachment of new cables, OCS or any other equipment. City comments also already cover issues related to design and maintenance of bridge barrier protection.	<ul> <li>Acknowledged.</li> </ul>
		Please verify whether any provision has been made to conduct investigation into the whether the UP electrification and associated infrastructure may impact TTC assets as a result of stray currents.	<ul> <li>In DC electric traction, if there is any budc stray return current, the current flow electrical conductivity than ground) and when direct current flows from ground metallic object to ground, it causes ion corrective action taken, the metallic bo</li> <li>However, in the case of UP Express AC Therefore, since the AC current profile polarity 60 times in a second, there wo along the path of the AC current flowin</li> <li>Experience from other similar projects electrification preliminary design to ass detailed design, further studies and inv TTC assets related to stray current.</li> </ul>
Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA)	Pre-Planning Phase	TRCA staff may have an interest in the conceptual design if there are structural changes/construction activities required for traction power supply, traction power distribution and maintenance requirements within the study area in relation to the TRCA program and policy areas.	TRCA regulated areas were identified a proposed works/activities related to im project components (OCS structures, b bridge), no adverse effects to the Huml operations/maintenance activities or co
		Please forward a copy of the June 2013 POH Display Panels for their information	The June 2013 POH Display Panels for t
		As the detailed design process moves forward, please confirm permitting requirements under Ontario Regulation 166/06 with TRCA staff.	As a Crown Agency, GO/Metrolinx is ex requirement to apply for and obtain pe engage the conservation authority on s when and where possible.
	TPAP Phase	Available mapping and program information regarding Areas of Interest were sent as per your request in July 2012. Please ensure that the status, potential impacts and opportunities for enhancement related to these Areas of Interest are documented and assessed through a review of background material, technical study, field assessment and detailed evaluation, as appropriate.	The available mapping and program inf incorporated into the baseline conditio appropriate.
		In consideration of TRCA's programs and policies, staff requires that the preferred alternative meets the following criteria:	A meeting with TRCA was held on January policies that may be applicable to the policies

#### ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

y system edge of the right-of-way is not expected to exceed 250 mG and 9 ystems and rolling stock should comply to EN-50121-3-1 and levels as defined in EN 50121-3-2, no EMI related effects are expected to the les due to UP Express electrification.

The TTC tracks are grade separated from the UP Express railway lines. In g in parallel and in close proximity to the future UP Express electrified tracks. ances, more than 1m of separation between the TTC traction power and ptics, copper, twisted pairs, triads, and coax, underground or overhead) and L and No. 7, no EMI is anticipated to the TTC power and communication lines.

y system edge of the right-of-way is not expected to exceed 250 mG and 9 ystems and rolling stock should comply to EN-50121-3-1 and levels as defined in EN 50121-3-2, no EMI related effects are expected to the les due to UP Express electrification.

aken during the detail design, however considering that the traction voltage er infrastructure along the UP Express ROW no stray current and corrosion

is not being recommended as part of the UP Express electrification project.

vided to the TTC during detailed design.

led design. Drilling through bridge reinforcement will be avoided.

uried metallic object, such as pipes, conduits, steel rebar etc., in the path of ows through the metallic objects (because metallic bodies have better d then through ground back to the TPS. There would be no damaging impact d into buried metallic object, however when DC current emerges from the nic corrosion of the metallic object. If stray current is not detected in time and body may get corroded and damaged.

traction electrification AC current reverses its direction 60 times in a second. is uniform and sinusoidal (which is the generally the case) and change the buld be no noticeable corrosion impact on buried metallic objects located ing through ground.

and industry best practices have been applied to the UP Express sess potential impacts of AC stray current. Notwithstanding this, during vestigations will be carried out to confirm there will be no adverse effects on

as part of the Natural Environmental Impact Assessment in relation to the nplementing UP Express Electrification. Although portions of the proposed ridges) are situated within TRCA regulated areas (e.g., RRMF, Humber River ber River or Black Creek watercourses are anticipated during construction.

their information were provided to TRCA on July 11, 2013.

xempt from the Conservation Authorities Act and as such does not have a ermits from conservation authorities. Wherever possible, GO/Metrolinx will specific projects (or components thereof) and will adhere to requirements

formation provided by TRCA in July 2012 was reviewed in detail and one mapping and Natural Environmental Baseline Conditions Report, as

ary 28, 2014 to discuss the project, including potential TRCA programs and proposed undertaking.







	Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
		<ul> <li>a) Prevents the risk associated with flooding, erosion or slope instability</li> <li>b) Protects and rehabilitates existing landforms, features and functions</li> <li>c) Provides for aquatic, terrestrial and human access</li> <li>d) Minimizes water/energy consumption and pollution</li> <li>e) Addresses TRCA property and heritage resource concerns.</li> </ul>	An overview of the watercourse crossin proposed construction methods was di- part of the January 28 <sup>th</sup> meeting. Metri- be provided to the TRCA for further rev
		TRCA staff recommends that a summary of detailed design commitments be included in the EA as a pre-design brief. The completion of the pre-design brief will result in a more timely and streamlined permit approval process in the future.	The EPR document contains a list of con anticipated to be required (see Chapter Authorities Act and as such does not ha authorities. Wherever possible, GO/Me components thereof) and will adhere to
		Prior to selecting the preferred alternative solution and design, please arrange a meeting to discuss issues that related to our program and policy concerns. In addition, please add TRCA's Watershed Specialists Chandra Sharma, Nancy Gaffney, and Sonia Dhir to the project mailing list to receive any public information updates.	<ul> <li>A meeting with TRCA was held on Janua</li> <li>The additional TRCA contacts were add</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Please provide the following to expedite TRCA review:         <ul> <li>a) Notices of public meetings and display materials and handouts</li> <li>b) Copies of the Phase 1, 2, and 3 report</li> <li>c) Draft and Final EA Document</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Notifications as follows were provided</li> <li>Notice of Public Open House #1</li> <li>Notice of Commencement of TPAP</li> <li>Notice of Public Open House #2</li> </ul>
			In addition, copies of the presentation ma Phase 1, 2, 3 reports do not apply to the T Electrification undertaking), rather they ar as a formal pre-submission review of the E Final Draft Natural Environmental Impact / February 21, 2014.
Toronto Hydro	TPAP Phase	<ul> <li>'City Report' refers to the draft document 'City of Toronto Summary Package_Feb 2014 FINAL_Revision 1.pdf'. Other documents reviewed include presentations from Metrolinx, as well as reports from 2010-2012 available on the Metrolinx website.</li> </ul>	The UP Express preliminary design was Metrolinx's recommendation is to have Section 75. During the implementation and implementation schedule that will
		► The City Report indicates that all THESL overhead crossings will have to be relocated under the rail corridor. There are 44 locations identified in the report where THESL crosses the existing GO rail corridor with overhead infrastructure. These relocations will have a significant impact on THESL. The reason for relocation is cited from the Ontario Electrical Safety Code, Section 75. This point will need to be discussed in greater detail because, as a local distribution company (LDC), THESL is not governed by the Ontario Electrical Code.	
		➤ What is the projected timeline for this project, and in turn, what is the required timeline for THESL relocations? THESL will require significant resources and time to complete any required relocations. The City Report estimates overhead to underground relocations for THESL to be \$250,000 per location. Past experience has shown that overhead to underground relocation projects of this nature will be at least \$1,000,000 per location. In addition to the incredible cost impact, past experience has shown that these type of relocation projects take 1-2 years per location. This could pose a challenge, given the extensive number of locations. Significant lead time is required for any THESL relocations. Metrolinx needs to engage Toronto Hydro Relocates at utility.relocations@torontohydro.com for all relocation projects.	THESL will be engaged closely in the ne utility relocation.
		<ul> <li>Are there more details available to the timing of the other aspects of the project, such as financial approval, detailed design, construction staging, construction, and energization? When will Metrolinx be able to confirm that this project will be moving forward?</li> <li>Will the construction methods used impact any existing THESL infrastructure outside of the rail corridor? Will any</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The EA will be completed April 1, 2014 June 2014. Following the required envir design and construction of the infrastri</li> <li>Based on the current Metroling design</li> </ul>
		Metrolinx infrastructure be installed outside of the rail corridor that could be in conflict with existing THESL infrastructure?	electrification to impact the existing TH utility report performed by Metrolinx ir rail corridor that will be in conflict with
		Based on the report, it is assumed that no bridges will need to be reconstructed as a result of the electrification process. This leads to the assumption that the only impact to THESL infrastructure on bridges should be proper grounding and bonding.	According to the preliminary design, the
		Will Metrolinx require an additional low voltage, or high voltage, connections from THESL?	There will be a need for utility power (h facility as well as selected signal houses during the detail design stage.
		<ul> <li>Prior to any mitigation measures being put into place, THESL will require additional time for the Standards team to review the following:         <ul> <li>grounding and bonding techniques</li> <li>the effect of radiated and conducted electrical emissions as a result of electrification</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Metrolinx has prepared a preliminary g part of the preliminary design / EA proc develop applicable grounding and bond equipment emission and radiation data

### ation of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

ngs, proposed electrification facility locations, OCS infrastructure, and scussed. In general, there were no major issues/concerns raised by TRCA as olinx stated that a copy of the Natural Environment Assessment Report would riew/comment. This report was provided on February 21, 2014.

nmitments (see Chapter 7) as well as a list of permits and approvals that are 9). As a Crown Agency, GO/Metrolinx is exempt from the Conservation we a requirement to apply for and obtain permits from conservation etrolinx will engage the conservation authority on specific projects (or prequirements when and where possible.

ary 28, 2014 to discuss the project, including potential TRCA areas of interest. ed to the project contact list as requested.

to the TRCA as part of the EA process:

Aterial from both public open houses were provided to TRCA. It is noted that TPAP process (which is being followed by Metrolinx for the UP Express re typically completed as part of the Municipal Class EA process. Furthermore, Draft EPR document was not carried out, Metrolinx provided a copy of the Assessment Report prepared as part of the EA for TRCA's review/comment on

developed in accordance with the applicable local codes therefore the listed THESL overhead crossings relocated as required by the OES code of the project Metrolinx will work with THESS to further develop the design be mutually agreed between Mx and THELS.

xt step of the project to discuss the schedule resource plan for the required

and if there are no objections the Statement of Completion will be issued in ronmental approvals the project needs to be funded to start the detailed ructure required for electrification

it is not envisioned for any of the proposed construction methods used for IESL infrastructure outside the railway corridor. Current impacts studies and ndicate that there will be no Metrolinx infrastructure installed outside of the THESL infrastructure.

e THESL assumption is correct

ouse power) to be sourced to the traction power facilities, EMU maintenance . Metrolinx will confirm the utility power needs and discuss them with THESL

grounding and bonding design and electromagnetic compatibility report as cess. During the implementation of the project, Metrolinx will further ding techniques and designs as well as evaluate in more details electrification and implement mitigation measures where required. These will be provided







Consultation Phase	Comment/Issue Raised by Review Agency	Consider
	<ul> <li>Based on the City Report (pg. 68), it is assumed that there is no stray current from the proposed electrification.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>during the detail design stage.</li> <li>Experience from other similar projects a electrification preliminary design to ass detailed design, further studies and inv. THESL assets related to stray current.</li> <li>UP Express AC traction electrification current profile is uniform and sinusoida second, there would be no noticeable current flowing through ground.</li> </ul>
	Will there be any changes to the existing, or proposed, clearances for underground infrastructure crossing under the rail corridor?	The intent is to physically avoid any exist OCS structures. This will be assessed and

## ration of Comment/Issue by Metrolinx

s and industry best practices have been applied to the UP Express ssess potential impacts of AC stray currents. Notwithstanding this, during vestigations will be carried out to confirm there will be no adverse effects on

current reverses its direction 60 times in a second. Therefore, since the AC dal (which is the generally the case) and change the polarity 60 times in a corrosion impact on buried metallic objects located along the path of the AC

isting underground TH infrastructure when locating the foundations for the nd confirmed at each occurrence during the detailed design phase.







## 8.6 Other Stakeholders

### 8.6.1 Canadian National Railway

As part of the EA process, Metrolinx held three meetings with Canadian National Railway (CN) to discuss the UP Express Electrification project:

### CN Meeting #1 – June 20, 2013

Metrolinx held an initial meeting with Canadian National Railway (CN) on June 20, 2013 to discuss UP Express Electrification in relation to CN operations and trains. Some of the topics discussed included EMI, OCS design, grounding and bonding requirements, induced currents/voltages, radio communications, etc. New maintenance procedures associated with implementation of electrification equipment were also discussed.

### CN Meeting #2 - July 11, 2013

The purpose of the second meeting with CN in July 2013 was to provide an update on the UP Express Electrification project, and discuss potential implications for CN operations including: safety of staff and public, new staff training requirements, OCS maintenance procedures (potential freight service disruptions), hardening of equipment/infrastructure (i.e., immunizing the freight railroad's rolling stock and infrastructure from electrification, as required), infrastructure maintenance considerations related to implementation of OCS, additional resources (e.g., staff training and certification).

### CN Meeting #3 - September 4, 2013

A follow up meeting was held with CN in Montreal in September 2013 to review how CN operates the EMUs for the electrified Deux-Montagnes commuter rail line. The key points discussed were: CN's operation and maintenance of the electrified system, grounding and bonding implementation, bridge barrier implementation. In addition, the meeting included a site visit to an existing traction power facility.

### 8.6.2 Canadian Pacific Railway

A meeting with Canadian Pacific Railway (CP) was held on February 4, 2014 to discuss the UP Express Electrification project including an update on the preliminary design and EA process, as well as operational effects to be considered, safety rules and procedures, grounding and bonding, electromagnetic compatibility, and clearance requirements related to the proposed OCS wires. In addition, examples of similar electrified systems from the United Stations were provided. A





Memorandum of Understanding between Metrolinx and CP is to be prepared. There were no significant concerns raised by CP based on the information presented at the meeting.

### 8.6.3 Canada Lands Company/CN Tower

An information package was provided to Canada Lands Company (CLC) on October 15, 2013 to illustrate proposed OCS attachments to the CLC/CN Tower-owned retaining wall within the Union Station Rail Corridor.

The OCS design information package contained the following:

- Cross Section drawings showing how the OCS portal structure could be attached to the retaining wall
- Photos of a sample wall bracket that would be used to attach to the retaining wall

In addition, Metrolinx met with CN Tower officials on October 25, 2013 to further discuss the project. It is noted that following this meeting, refinements were made to the OCS preliminary design, and the proposed attachment to retaining wall is no longer required.

## 8.6.4 Oxford Properties

A meeting was held with Oxford Properties on March 21, 2014 to discuss the proposed OCS design. Specifically, the current OCS design involves proposed OCS attachments to a retaining wall in this area owned by Oxford Properties. This is due to the horizontal constraints in this section of the rail corridor. In addition, the results of the EMI inventory and EMF site survey conducted as part of the EA were discussed, and an overview of the proposed grounding and bonding methods were provided. Oxford Properties also outlined future development plans that may need to be considered during detailed design.

### 8.6.5 Weston Golf Club

A meeting was held on June 13, 2013 with the Weston Golf Club to provide an overview of the UP Express Electrification project, and to solicit any comments/feedback on the proposed design, as the golf club is located in the vicinity of the rail corridor (vicinity of Humber River Bridge). A site walk in the vicinity of the golf course was completed, and the current Humber River Bridge project works being constructed as part of the Georgetown South project was discussed. In addition, a description of the proposed electrification design (OCS) for the Humber River Bridge and the Maintenance Facility was provided and discussed with the Weston Golf Club; no major concerns or issues were identified.

### 8.6.6 Utilities





As part of the EA, a comprehensive Utilities report was prepared in order to identify known utilities within the UP Express Electrification study area, and to assess potential impacts on utilities due to the implementation of electrification. Based on the results of this assessment, individual letters were sent to all utilities identified in order to provide them with the following information:

- Overview of the UP Express Electrification project;
- Design work completed to date;
- EA process and timelines;
- Locations where their specific utilities were identified in the study area;
- Potential impacts on their utility due to electrification (if applicable);
- Proposed mitigation measures to be implemented; and
- Outline of future work that will be carried out during detailed design with respect to more detailed utility assessments.

The utilities that were provided with a copy of this correspondence are as follows<sup>5</sup>:

- Allstream
- Canada Packers
- Canadian Gypsum Co
- Enbridge Gas
- Hydro One Networks Inc.
- Imperial Oil
- Private Owners
- Sun Canadian Pipeline
- Telus
- Rogers
- Trans-Northern Pipelines
- Woodbine Entertainment Group

Copies of the correspondence sent to Utilities are provided in Appendix J-10.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> It is noted that letter correspondence was not sent to Toronto Hydro, Toronto Transit Commission, or Hydro One as Metrolinx held meetings with both stakeholders to discuss potential issues/concerns.